APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): June 24, 2016 Α.

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CESAJ-RD-NC; SAJ-2016-00426, Blue Origin Launch Complex В.

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Cape Canaveral Air Force Station (CCAFS) LAUNCH

COMPLEX 36 & 11. This project entails the construction of a launch complex by Blue Origin at the former LC 36 and 11 launch complexes. Space Florida has executed a lease with the CCAFS and has subsequently executed a sublease to Blue Origin to use the property for the construction of their facilities.

County/parish/borough: BREVARD City: KENNEDY SPACE CENTER State: FLORIDA

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 28.472 N, Long. 80.541 W.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Banana River to the west and Atlantic Ocean to the east.

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Banana River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): North Banana River (030802020201)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. \boxtimes

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s): February 10, 2016

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas



- Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 64.4 acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known): NOT KNOWN.

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ 2.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; <u>otherwise, see Section III.B below</u>.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 67 square miles Drainage area: 67 square miles Average annual rainfall: 52 inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>
 ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ☐ Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are3-5 river miles from TNW.Project waters are1 (or less) river miles from RPW.Project waters are1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.Project waters are1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: On-site wetlands are interdunal systems that do not have a direct surface water conncetion to a RPW or TNW. They are hydrologically conncected via groundwater to a canal (RPW or Tributary) that runs along the northern boundary of the project site and flows west to Banana River (TNW).

Tributary stream order, if known: NA.

(b) <u>General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):</u> Natural

Tributary is:

Artificial (man-made). Explain: Large canal at the north end of project site flows west to Banana River. Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 20 feet Average depth: 5 feet Average side slopes: 2:1 Pick List.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Sands Gravel

Silts	
Cobbles	
Bedrock	
Other. Explain:	

Vegetation. Type/% cover: 100

Concrete Muck

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1 %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 6-10

Describe flow regime: Slow flow to the west as the canal stages up. Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: Flow is confined within banks and as it stages up it flows to the west and discharges into Banana River.

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):

inoutary mus (encont an unat approxi)	
Bed and banks	
OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply):	
clear, natural line impressed on the bank	\boxtimes the presence of litter and debris
changes in the character of soil	destruction of terrestrial vegetation
shelving	the presence of wrack line
vegetation matted down, bent, or absent	sediment sorting
leaf litter disturbed or washed away	scour
sediment deposition	multiple observed or predicted flow events
water staining	abrupt change in plant community
other (list):	
Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:	
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine	ne lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
High Tide Line indicated by:	Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
\square oil or scum line along shore objects	survey to available datum:
\Box fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)	physical markings:
nhysical markings/characteristics	\boxtimes vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
\square tidal gauges	
other (list)	

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water in the large canal at north end that drains to TNW is clear but tanic from dissolved organic carbon. Water quality is unknown but the canal does drain the former Launch Complex 36 and 11 which likely had elevated hydrocarbons and other spent fuel materials that were released during launches.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):

- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: *Two wetlands are adjacent to this RPW/tributary*.
- Habitat for:
 - Explain findings: May provide limited foraging for Wood Stork.
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:.
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: *Forage fish occur in canal when flowing*.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u>
 - Properties:

Wetland size:64.4 acres

Wetland type. Explain: Exotic Wetland Hardwood. Wetlands are primarily historical wet prairies that are now dominated by Brazilian pepper or Carolina willow.

Wetland quality. Explain: Low.

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: NO.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Rare to intermittent**. Explain: **Majority of wetlands have no direct surface water connection to the RPW**. Water stages up in direct relation to the surficial aquifer levels. Wetlands have subsurface connection to the RPW via slow groundwater flow to the north.

Surface flow is: Discrete

Characteristics: Only two wetlands has surface flow to the RPW/Tributary.

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: **Two on-site wetlands can stage up and discharge into large** canal at north end of site that is the RPW/Tributary described herein. Remaining and majority of wetlands are not directly abutting and there are uplands in between.

- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are 3-5 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Flow is from: Wetland to RPW/Tributary via groundwater flow.
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 50 - 100-year floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: **Clear water that is tanic**. Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Greater than 80% FACW or OBL vegetation.
- Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Eastern indigo snake likely are found on the Cape and wood storks may forage in wetlands.

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: NA.



Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Wetlands are low quality due to presence of exotics...

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 1 Approximately 64.4-acres of wetlands and surface waters are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
-----------------------	-----------------

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The on-site wetlands receive rainfall and overland flow from adjcent uplands. These wetlands protect water quality of TNW and Atlantic Ocean.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into 2. TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:.
- Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of 3. presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Ad	jacent Wetlands.	Check all that ap	oply and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs:	linear feet	width (ft), Or,	acres.
	Wetlands ad	jacent to TNWs:	acres.	

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
 - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
 - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: **Two wetlands (Wetlands 30 and 31) are connected to the RPW in question and have a direct surface water hydrologic connection.**
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 4.76 acres.

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. See attachment.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 59.64 acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.0 acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
 - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
 - Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ¹⁰ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands:0.0acres.
F.	 NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: See B1(ii). Other: (explain, if not covered above): .
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
	 Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A .	 SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:Jones Edmunds. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:. USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: . USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Brevard County. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

Summary

The Blue Origin Launch Complex (BOLC) lease at the Cape Canaveral Air Force Station (CCAFS) includes 39 wetlands (waters of the United States), totaling 64.4 acres. Wetland 33 (10.8 acres) is a surface water located approximately 325 feet west of the Atlantic Ocean (mean high water), and is considered adjacent to a Section 10 water. All other wetlands within the Blue Origin Launch Complex lease at CCAFS are adjacent to tributaries (ditches) to the Banana River. This determination is consistent with relevant case law and existing guidance, including the December 2, 2008 joint Environmental Protection Agency and Department of the Army legal memorandum entitled *Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court's Decision in Rapanos v. United States & Carabell v. United States* (Rapanos Guidance).

Site Description

Approximately 100 acres of wetlands are found in the greater CCAFS area; some are interdunal swales wetlands typical of a barrier island. There are numerous ditches constructed through the CCAFS that function as tributaries. These tributaries have perennial flow, draining west to the northern portion of the Banana River. Wetlands on the CCAFS are surrounded by marine deposited fine sands, typical of a barrier island, and can be characterized as palustrine freshwater scrub/shrub.

BOLC uplands are at an elevation of approximately 10 feet NGVD 29. Soils are predominately characterized as *Canaveral-Anclote complex, gently undulating (9)*. The typical soil profile includes sand and course sand to 80 inches and slopes of 0-5 percent. The capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat) is high to very high (5.95-19.98 in/hr).

Significant Nexus Determination

BOLC wetlands are situated in physiographic conditions where they interact with surrounding tributaries to provide a physical, chemical, and biological nexus with the Banana River (Traditional Navigable Water).

Physical Functions: Given sandy soil conditions and the high Ksat, wetlands on the barrier island have subsurface flow connections with tributaries. These connections serve to detain and attenuate tributary instream flows. This attenuation supports perennial tributary flow to ensure freshwater is present for dependent species, and regulates the discharge of nutrients.

Chemical Functions: The wetlands provide some level of treatment for surrounding land uses and hardscape runoff. This has occurred in the past while the launch facilities were active and will continue to occur in the future. Runoff from surrounding land uses is likely to include nitrogen and phosphorous, numerous metals and unidentified compounds generated through launch operations. Treatment is critical prior to discharge to the Banana River.

Biological Functions: BOLC wetlands provide habitat or lifecycle support functions for aquatic species present in the TNW. For example, American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) are present in the northern portion of the Banana River, moving between the estuarine and freshwater environments. Existing freshwater tributaries and wetlands are important for the physiology of this species, as American alligator cannot osmoregulate in estuarine waters for long periods of time. These tributaries are also important freshwater sources for Florida manatee (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*). The tributary/estuary interface are important areas for killifish (*Fundulus* spp.), an important prey species that supports the sport fishery.