COVER SHEET

Responsible Agency: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)

Title: Final Areawide Environmental Impact Statement (AEIS) on Phosphate Mining in the Central Florida

Phosphate District (CFPD)

Location: Charlotte, DeSoto, Hardee, Hillsborough, Lee, Manatee, Polk, and Sarasota Counties, Florida

For additional information or for copies of this Draft AEIS, contact:

John Fellows, AEIS Project Manager

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

10117 Princess Palm Avenue, Suite 120

Tampa, FL 33610-8302 Telephone: 813-769-7067

This document is also available on the AEIS website (http://www.phosphateaeis.org/).

Abstract: The USACE has received four applications for Department of the Army permits under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) from Mosaic Fertilizer, LLC (Mosaic) and CF Industries, Inc. (CF Industries) (the Applicants) for four proposed phosphate mining projects. The specific projects being reviewed by the USACE, and the Department of the Army permit application numbers, are Mosaic's Desoto Mine (SAJ-2011-01968), Mosaic's Ona Mine (SAJ-2011-01869), Mosaic's Wingate East extension of the Wingate Creek Mine (SAJ-2009-03221), and CF Industries' South Pasture Mine Extension (SAJ-1993-01395). The USACE has identified and assessed alternatives in this Final AEIS: (1) a No. Action Alternative, (2) an Applicant's Preferred Alternative for the Desoto location, (3) an Applicant's Preferred Alternative at the Ona location, (4) an Applicant's Preferred Alternative at the Wingate East location, (5) an Applicant's Preferred Alternative at the South Pasture Mine Extension location, and four additional offsite locations identified as Alternatives 6 through 9. Under the No Action Alternative, the mining that has already been authorized in the CFPD would continue as scheduled under currently approved state and Federal permits. The evaluations under this AEIS were performed with the assumption that once existing mining was completed, there would be no future mining authorized by the USACE. The Applicants would have the option to pursue mining that does not involve the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States. Under the other alternatives, mining could continue until as late as 2060.

The geographic scope varies with the resource being evaluated. In general, the affected environment includes the area within the CFPD, an area of approximately 1.32 million acres (or +/-2,100 square miles) in Hardee, Hillsborough, Manatee, Polk, Sarasota and DeSoto Counties, the Peace and Myakka, River watersheds downstream of the CFPD, areas of the listed counties outside of the CFPD, and areas within Charlotte and Lee Counties. Analyses indicate that the environmental impacts of the proposed mine projects are closely tied to the number of acres proposed to be mined, with alternatives proposing the largest amount of mining having the largest environmental impacts for most of the areas of concern. The primary discriminators are ecological resources including waters of the United States, groundwater quality and levels, surface water quality and quantity, and regional economic effects. Mitigation plans are being been evaluated as part of permit review actions that could offset many of the potential environmental impacts.

Public Involvement: In preparation of this *Final AEIS*, the USACE considered comments received from the public during the scoping period (February 18, 2011 to April 30, 2011). The availability of the Draft AEIS was published in the Federal Register on June 1, 2012 and two public meetings were held to receive comments on the 19th and 21st of June in Lakeland and Punta Gorda, respectively. The period for receiving comments was extended from 45 days to 60 days and ended on July 30, 2012. There will be a 30-day waiting period (40 CFR 1506.10) after publication of the *Final AEIS*.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS 10117 PRINCESS PALM DRIVE, SUITE 120 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33610

April 26, 2013

Tampa Regulatory Office

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Regulation (33 CFR 230.18), this letter constitutes the Notice of Availability of the Final Areawide Environmental Impact Statement (AEIS) on Phosphate Mining in the Central Florida Phosphate District. A copy of the Final AEIS is attached. The Final AEIS is also downloadable from the AEIS project website at http://www.phosphateaeis.org. Printed copies of the Final AEIS also will be available for review in the reference section of the public libraries identified in Chapter 10 of the Final AEIS and on the website.

Any comments you may have must be submitted within 30 days of the date on which the NOA appears in the Federal Register, which is expected to be on May 3, 2013. Comments may be provided in writing to the letterhead address or by email to teamaeis@phosphateaeis.org.

Questions concerning the project, or requests for additional copies of the Final AEIS should be directed to John Fellows, by phone at 813-769-7070, or email at john.p.fellows@usace.army.mil. Thank you for your interest in the Final AEIS.

Sincerely,

Kevin D. O'Kane

Chief, Tampa Section

Enclosure

FINAL

AREAWIDE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT ON PHOSPHATE MINING IN THE CENTRAL FLORIDA PHOSPHATE DISTRICT



US Army Corps of Engineers_®

Jacksonville District



VOLUME 1 OF 3

Table of Contents

1.8.3

1.8.4

1.8.5

1.8.6

1.8.7

46

47

48

49

50

1

3	Cover Sheet		
4	List of Figures		ix
5	List of Tables		xii
6	List of Acronyn	ns	xix
7	1. Project	Purpose and Need	1-1
8		Introduction	
9		1.1.1 Purpose of the Areawide Environmental Impact Statement	1-1
10		1.1.2 Organization of the AEIS	1-1
11		1.1.3 Description of the Applicants	1-2
12		1.1.4 Location	1-3
13		1.1.5 Overview of Phosphate Development in the CFPD	
14	1.2	Project Purpose and Need	
15		1.2.1 The Public's Need	
16		1.2.2 Applicants' Purpose and Need	
17		1.2.3 USACE Defined Project Purpose and Need	
18		Scope of the AEIS	
19		1.3.1 Proposed Action	
20		1.3.2 Scope of Analysis	
21		1.3.3 Scope of Impacts	
22		Agency Goal or Objective for this AEIS	
23		Permit Actions Required	
24		Decisions to be Made	
25		Related Environmental Documents	1-34
26		1.7.1 Central Florida Phosphate Industry Final EISs, Volumes 1, 2, and 3	
27		(USEPA, 1978a; USEPA, 1978b; USEPA, 1978c)	1-36
28		1.7.2 2007 FDEP and SWFWMD Peace River Cumulative Impact Study	
29		(PBS&J, 2007)	
30		1.7.3 Peace River Basin Resource Management Plan (FDEP, 2007a)	1-36
31		1.7.4 Estech General Chemical Corporation Duette Mine, Manatee County	4.0=
32		Draft EIS (USEPA, 1979)	1-37
33		1.7.5 Mississippi Chemical Corporation, Hardee County Phosphate Mine,	4.07
34		Hardee County Draft EIS (USEPA, 1981a; USEPA, 1981b)	1-37
35		1.7.6 Farmland Industries, Inc. Phosphate Mine, Hardee County, Florida	4.07
36		Final EIS (USEPA, 1981c)	1-37
37		1.7.7 Phosphate Rock Plants – Background Information for Promulgated	4.00
38		Standards (USEPA, 1982a)	1-38
39		1.7.8 Mobil Chemical Company South Fort Meade Mine, Polk County, Florida	1 20
40		Final EIS (USEPA, 1982b)	1-38
41		1.7.9 CF Mining Corporation Final EIS for New Source NPDES Permit	1 20
42	1.0	(USEPA, 1989)	
43 44		Public Involvement	
44 45		1.8.1 Public Involvement in Advance of the Scoping Process	
45		1.O.Z INULICE UL ILILETIL	เ-งษ

Scoping and Issues......1-39

Project Website1-42

Notice of Availability1-43

1			1.8.8	Public Involvement Following Publication of the Draft AEIS	1-43
2			1.8.9	Public Comments	1-43
3 4			1.8.10	Additional Analyses Conducted and Differences Between the Draft AEIS and the Final AEIS	1-53
_	2.	Altorn	otivoo		2.4
5 6	۷.				
		2.1		ction	
7		2.2		of Alternatives Considered	
8			2.2.1	Alternatives Considered for Inclusion	
9			2.2.2	No Action Alternative	
10			2.2.3	The Applicants' Preferred Alternatives	
11			2.2.4	Offsite Alternatives in the CFPD	2-9
12			2.2.5	Onsite Alternatives Analysis	
13			2.2.6	Functional Alternatives	2-16
14		2.3	Alterna	tives to be assessed in more Detail	2-22
15	3.	Affect	ed Envir	onment	3-1
16		3.1	Phosph	nate Mining in the CFPD	3-1
17			3.1.1	Site Preparation	
18			3.1.2	Matrix Excavation and Conveyance	3-2
19			3.1.3	Beneficiation	3-4
20			3.1.4	Waste Management and Mine Reclamation	
21			3.1.5	Practicable Pumping Distance for Phosphate Ore	
22		3.2		xtensions and New Mines	3_11
23		3.3	Kov Na	itural and Human Resources of Concern	3 11
23 24		5.5	3.3.1	Surficial Geology and Soils	
24 25			3.3.1	Water Resources	
25 26			3.3.2		
				Water Quality	3-02
27			3.3.4	Aquatic Biological Communities	
28			3.3.5	Wetlands	3-118
29 30			3.3.6 3.3.7	Wildlife Habitat and Listed Species. The Human Environment	
31	4			l Consequences	
32		4.1		ction	
33			4.1.1	Scope of the Effect Assessment	
34			4.1.2	Direct versus Indirect Effects	
35			4.1.3	Short-term versus Long-term Effects	
36			4.1.4	Cumulative Effects	
37			4.1.5	Intensity of Effects	
38			4.1.6	Significance	
39			4.1.7	Detail of Analyses	
40			4.1.8	Issues Which Are Not Significant	
41			4.1.9	No Action Alternative Scenarios	4-14
42		4.2	Surface	e Water Resources	4-14
43			4.2.1	Alternative 1: No Action Alternative	4-19
44			4.2.2	Alternative 2: Desoto Mine	
45			4.2.3	Alternative 3: Ona Mine	4-36
46			4.2.4	Alternative 4: Wingate East Mine	
47			4.2.5	Alternative 5: South Pasture Extension Mine	
48			4.2.6	Alternative 6: Pine Level/Keys Tract	
49			4.2.7	Alternative 7: Pioneer Tract	
50			4.2.8	Alternative 8: Site A-2	
51			4.2.9	Alternative 9: Site W-2	
52		4.3	_	Water Resources	
53		1.0	4.3.1	Alternative 1: No Action Alternative	
53 54				Alternative 2: Desoto Mine	

1		4.3.3 Alternative 3: Ona Mine	
2		4.3.4 Alternative 4: Wingate East Mine	4-93
3		4.3.5 Alternative 5: South Pasture Extension	4-93
4		4.3.6 Alternative 6: Pine Level/Keys Tract	4-94
5		4.3.7 Alternative 7: Pioneer Tract	
6		4.3.8 Alternative 8: Site A-2	
7		4.3.9 Alternative 9: Site W-2	
8	4.4	Water Quality	4-96
9		4.4.1 Alternative 1: No Action Alternative	4-96
10		4.4.2 Impacts Common to All Action Alternatives	4-97
11		4.4.3 Alternative 2: Desoto Mine	4-120
12		4.4.4 Alternative 3: Ona Mine	4-120
13		4.4.5 Alternative 4: Wingate East Mine	4-121
14		4.4.6 Alternative 5: South Pasture Extension Mine	4-122
15		4.4.7 Alternative 6: Pine Level/Keys Tract	4-122
16		4.4.8 Alternative 7: Pioneer Tract	4-123
17		4.4.9 Alternative 8: Site A-2	4-123
18		4.4.10 Alternative 9: Site W-2	4-124
19	4.5	Ecological Resources	4-124
20		4.5.1 Aquatic Biological Communities	4-124
21		4.5.2 Wetlands	
22		4.5.3 Wildlife Habitat	4-149
23		4.5.4 Listed Species	4-167
24	4.6	Economic Resources	
25		4.6.1 Alternative 1: No Action Alternative	4-185
26		4.6.2 Alternative 2: Desoto Mine	
27		4.6.3 Alternative 3: Ona Mine	4-191
28		4.6.4 Alternative 4: Wingate East Mine	4-193
29		4.6.5 Alternative 5: South Pasture Extension Mine	4-195
30		4.6.6 Alternative 6: Pine Level/Keys Tract	
31		4.6.7 Alternative 7: Pioneer Tract	4-198
32		4.6.8 Alternative 8: A-2 Alternative	
33		4.6.9 Alternative 9: W-2 Alternative	4-201
34	4.7	Environmental Justice	
35		4.7.1 Alternative 1: No Action Alternative	
36		4.7.2 Alternative 2: Desoto Mine	
37		4.7.3 Alternative 3: Ona Mine	
38		4.7.4 Alternative 4: Wingate East Mine	
39		4.7.5 Alternative 5: South Pasture Extension Mine	
40		4.7.6 Alternative 6: Pine Level/Keys Tract	
41		4.7.7 Alternative 7: Pioneer Tract	
42		4.7.8 Alternative 8: Site A-2	
43		4.7.9 Alternative 9: Site W-2	
44	4.8	Radiation	
45		4.8.1 Alternative 1: No Action Alternative	
46		4.8.2 Alternatives 2 through 9	
47	4.9	Cultural Resources and Historic Properties	
48		4.9.1 Effects of No Action Alternative on Cultural Resources	
49		4.9.2 Alternative 2: Desoto Mine:	
50		4.9.3 Alternative 3: Ona Mine	
51		4.9.4 Alternative 4: Wingate East Mine	
52		4.9.5 Alternative 5: South Pasture Extension Mine	
53		4.9.6 Alternative 6: Pine Level/Keys Tract	
54		4.9.7 Alternative 7: Pioneer Tract	
55		4.9.8 Alternative 8: A-2	
56		4.9.9 Alternative 9: W-2	4-217

1		4.10	Surficial Geology and Soils	
2			4.10.1 Alternative 1: No Action Alternative	4-218
3			4.10.2 Alternatives 2 through 9	4-218
4		4.11	Summary of Direct and Indirect Effects	4-221
5		4.12	Cumulative Impacts Analysis	
6			4.12.1 Introduction	
7			4.12.2 Surface Water Resources	
8			4.12.3 Groundwater Resources	
9			4.12.4 Surface Water Quality	
10			4.12.5 Ecological Resources (Wetlands/Waters and Upland Habitat)	
11			4.12.6 Economic Resources	
12		4.13	Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of the Applicants' Preferred	
13			Alternatives	4-313
14			4.13.1 Air Quality and Noise	
15			4.13.2 Geology and Soils	
16			4.13.3 Water Resources	
17			4.13.4 Ecological Resources	
18			4.13.5 Socioeconomics	
19			4.13.6 Radiation	
20		4.14	Relationship Between Short-Term Use of the Environment and Long-Term	
21		7.17	Productivity	<i>1</i> _317
22			4.14.1 Air Quality	
23			4.14.2 Water Resources	
23 24				
24 25			4.14.3 Ecology	
25 26			4.14.5 Land Use	
20 27		4.15	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	
		4.15		
28			4.15.1 Soils and Geology	
29 30			4.15.2 Ecological Resources	
30			4.15.3 Energy Use	
31	5.		tion	
32		5.1	Introduction	
33			5.1.1 Mitigation under the Public Interest Review	
34			5.1.2 Mitigation under the Clean Water Act	
35		5.2	Mitigation Goals and Concepts	
36			5.2.1 Watershed-based Approach	
37			5.2.2 Use of Soils	
38			5.2.3 Vegetation Sources and Planting Methods	5-5
39			5.2.4 Development of Appropriate Hydrology	5-6
40			5.2.5 Implementation of Best Management Practices	5-6
41			5.2.6 Determination of Mitigation Requirements	
42			5.2.7 Assessment of Mitigation Success	5-9
43			5.2.8 Relationships of Mining Activities and Mitigation	
44		5.3	Evolution of Mitigation	
45			5.3.1 Wetlands	
46			5.3.2 Streams	
47		5.4	Proposed mitigation framework	
48			5.4.1 Introduction	
49			5.4.2 Background and Purpose	
50			5.4.3 Steps of Framework	
51		5.5	Compensatory Mitigation Options	
52		0.0	5.5.1 Onsite Mitigation	
53			5.5.2 Offsite Mitigation	
53 54			5.5.3 Ecological Performance Standards for Mitigation	
55			5.5.4 Monitoring and Adaptive Management	
رر			J.J. T INDITIONING AND AGADINE MANAGEMENT INDITIONS TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		5.6 5.7	USACE Evaluation of Applicants' Proposed Mitigation Reclamation 5.7.1 Mandatory Reclamation 5.7.2 Non-Mandatory Reclamation 5.7.3 Evolution of Reclamation 5.7.4 Relationships of Mining Activities and Reclamation 5.7.5 Reclamation of Clay Settling Areas 5.7.6 Reclamation of Native Upland Habitats 5.7.7 Reclamation Rates and Financial Responsibility 5.7.8 Reclamation Compliance and Enforcement 5.7.9 Reclamation Variances 5.7.10 Regulatory Release of Reclamation Land	5-49 5-49 5-51 5-52 5-53 5-53 5-54 5-54
13 14		5.8 5.9	Environmental Resource Permitting Conservation of Wildlife and Listed Species	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	6.	Comple 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.10 6.11 6.12 6.13 6.14 6.15 6.16 6.17 6.18 6.19 6.20	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 Endangered Species Act of 1973 National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 Clean Water Act of 1972 Clean Air Act of 1972 Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 Solid Waste Disposal Act of 1965 Estuary Protection Act of 1968 National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918 and the Migratory Bird Conservation Act of 1929 Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1940, as amended Executive Order 11988 – Floodplain Management Executive Order 11990 – Protection of Wetlands Executive Order 12898 – Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations Executive Order 13112 – Invasive Species Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Executive Order 13045 – Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act/Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976	6-16-26-36-46-46-56-66-76-86-96-10
39	7.	Refere	ences	7-1
40	8.	List of	Prepararers	8-1
41	9.	Distrib	ution List	9-1
42	10.	Glossa	ary	10-1
43 44	11.	Index .		11-1

1 VOLUME 2 OF 3

2	Appen	dixes
3 4	Α	Public Comments on the Draft AEIS on Phosphate Mining in the CFPD (includes comment/response tables)
5		
6		VOLUME 3 OF 3
7		
8	В	Offsite Alternatives Screening for the Final AEIS on Phosphate Mining in the CFPD
9	С	Aerial Photographs of Alternatives
LO	D	Surface Water Quality Evaluations for the Final AEIS on Phosphate Mining in the CFPD
l1 l2	E	Ecological Resource Impact Analysis Methods and Supplemental Data for the Final AEIS on Phosphate Mining in the CFPD
L3	F	Groundwater Impact Analysis for the Final AEIS on Phosphate Mining in the CFPD
L4	G	Surface Water Hydrologic Impact Analysis for the Final AEIS on Phosphate Mining in the CFPD
L5	Н	Economic Analysis for the Final AEIS on Phosphate Mining in the CFPD
16 17	I	Examples of Compensatory Mitigation Performance Standards, Monitoring Requirements, and Adaptive Management Permit Conditions
L8	J	Impact Evaluation Methods for the Final AEIS on Phosphate Mining in the CFPD
L9		
20		

List of Figures

1

2	1-1 1-2	General Location of the Study Area Including the CFPD and Adjacent AreasCFPD and Regional Watersheds and Estuaries	1-4
	1-2		
4 5		Applicants' Stated Purpose and Need Historical Mining Areas and Applicants' Preferred Alternatives in the CFPD	1 -1
	1-4 1-5		
6 7	1-5 1-6	USACE-Jurisdictional Wetlands and Streams on Mosaic's Desoto Mine SiteUSACE-Jurisdictional Wetlands and Streams on Mosaic's Ona Mine Site	
	1-6		
8		USACE-Jurisdictional Wetlands and Streams on Mosaic's Wingate East Mine Site	1-27
9	1-8	USACE-Jurisdictional Wetlands and Streams on CF Industries' South Pasture Mine	4 00
10	4.0	Extension Site	
11	1-9	Locations of Two Offsite Alternatives	
12	1-10	The Relationship between the NEPA and the Permit Decision-Making Processes	1-35
13	2-1	Alternative 1 – The No Action Alternative (Currently Permitted Phosphate Mines)	2-6
14	2-2	Alternatives 2 through 5 – The Applicants' Preferred Alternatives	2-8
15	2-3	Geological Characteristics of the CFPD	2-11
16	2-4	Summary of All Four Offsite Alternatives to be Carried Forward for More Detailed	
17		Analysis	2-13
18	2-5	Conceptual Drawing of Stream Buffer Illustrating Relationship to Ditch and Berm	
19		System Proposed by the Applicants	2-16
20	2-6	Illustration of How Wetlands and Other Natural Areas May be Protected by Buffers	
21	2-7	Dredge-Based Phosphate Mining at the Wingate Creek Mine	2-18
22	2-8	Eight Alternatives (plus Alternative 1, No Action) to be Assessed in More Detail	
23		Including the Applicants' Preferred Alternatives	2-23
24	2.4	Typical Elements of a Dragline-Based CFPD Phosphate Mine Operation	2.2
	3-1 3-2	Concretized Stratigraphy and Hydrostratigraphy of Southwest Floridg in the CERD	3-3
25	3-2	Generalized Stratigraphy and Hydrostratigraphy of Southwest Florida in the CFPD	2 40
26	3-3	Study Area	3-12
27		Locations of Cores Evaluated on North – South Transect through the CFPD	
28	3-4	Phosphate Deposits and Depth Relationships in the CFPD	3-14
29	3-5	Generalized Geologic Cross-Section of the AEIS Study Area	3-15
30	3-6	Surficial Geology, Locations of Historical and Ongoing Phosphate Mining, and	0.40
31	0.7	Major River Watersheds in the AEIS Study Area	3-10
32	3-7	Distribution of Surface Soil Hydrologic Groups in the CFPD	3-20
33	3-8	River Basins Draining Major Portions of the CFPD	3-24
34	3-9	Generalized Spatial Relationships between the Polk Uplands, DeSoto Plain, and	0.00
35	0.40	Coastal Lowland Physiographic Regions in the Vicinity of the CFPD	3-26
36	3-10	LiDAR Acquisition in the AEIS Study Area	3-28
37	3-11	Monthly Average Rainfall in the Peace River Watershed Based on Gages in Bartow,	0.00
38	0.40	Wauchula, Arcadia, and Punta Gorda (1932-2004)	3-29
39	3-12	Median Rainfall by Decade Averaged from 27 Long-Term Rainfall Monitoring	0.00
40	0.40	Stations in Western Central Florida	3-30
41	3-13	Atlantic Ocean Sea Surface Temperature	
42	3-14	Peace River Subbasins	3-33
43	3-15	Annual Average Discharge Records for Three USGS Gage Stations on the Mainstem	0.00
44	0.40	of the Peace River	3-36
45	3-16	Annual Average Discharge Records for USGS Gage Stations on Four Major Creeks	0.07
46	0.47	Tributary to the Peace River	3-37
47	3-17	Selected USGS Gages in the CFPD Region	
48	3-18	5-Year Moving Average Total Annual Flows Standardized by Basin Area (Square Miles)	
49	3-19	The Upper Myakka River Watershed Study Area	
50	3-20	The Location of the Pine Level/Keys Tract in the Big Slough Watershed	3-43
51	3-21	Charlotte Harbor Estuary Segmentation Scheme, Coastal Charlotte Harbor Water	
52		Quality Monitoring Program	3-52
53	3-22	Charlotte Harbor Estuary Segmentation Scheme, FDEP Water Body Segments for	
54		Water Quality Assessment under the Total Maximum Daily Load Program	3-53

List of Figures

1	3-23	Hydrobiological Monitoring Program Water Quality Monitoring Locations in the	
2		Lower Peace River	
3	3-24	2009 Floridan Aquifer Water Use Allocations in the SWUCA Planning Area	3-57
4	3-25	General North – South Hydrogeologic Cross Section through SWFWMD Including	
5		the CFPD	3-59
6	3-26	Approximate Extent of the Intermediate Aquifer System/Intermediate Confining Unit	
7		in the AEIS Study Area	3-61
8	3-27	Areas of Recharge to and Discharge from the Floridan Aquifer in the SWUCA	3-62
9	3-28	Locations of Known Sinkholes in the Upper Peace River Basin	
10	3-29	NPDES Discharge Records from the CF Industries South Pasture Mine	
11	3-30	Mosaic Four Corners Mine NPDES Discharges	
12	3-31	Mosaic South Fort Meade Mine NPDES Discharges	
13	3-32	Example of Dewatering Effects on Water Table Levels in an Adjacent Monitoring Well	
14	3-33	Example of Dewatering Effects on Shallow vs. Deeper Water Table Levels of Paired	5-1-
15	0-00	Monitoring Wells	3_75
16	3-34	Shallow and Deep Piezometer Monitoring Records for Water Levels in a Preserve	0-7 0
17	J-J -	Area with Recharge Ditch Designs Included	3 76
18	3-35	Locations of Completed TMDL Studies in the CFPD	3 00
19	3-36	Concentrations of Selected Constituents in the Surficial Aquifer System of	3-90
20	3-30	Central FloridaCentral Florida	2 105
	3-37	Concentrations of Selected Constituents in the Intermediate Aquifer System of	3-103
21	3-31		2 400
22	0.00	Central Florida	
23	3-38	Distribution of Total Dissolved Solids Concentrations in the Floridan Aquifer System	
24	3-39	Distribution of Total Phosphorus Concentrations in the Floridan Aquifer System	3-108
25	3-40	Locations of FDEP Macroinvertebrate Sampling Stations in the Peace River	0.444
26		Watershed, with the Four Impaired Stations Highlighted	3-114
27	3-41	Stream Condition Index Scores Based on a 2008 FDEP Survey of Macroinvertebrate	
28		Communities in the Peace River Watershed	3-115
29	3-42	2009 Wetland Coverage in and Surrounding the CFPD	3-119
30	3-43	2009 Surface Water Coverage in and Surrounding the CFPD	
31	3-44	2009 Coverage of Rangeland and Upland Forest within and Surrounding the CFPD	
32	3-45	FDEP's Conceptual Integrated Habitat Network within and Surrounding the CFPD	3-135
33	3-46	FDEP's Conceptual Integrated Habitat Network and Agricultural Land Use	
34		Coverage in the CFPD	
35	3-47	Population Densities in the Counties Containing the CFPD	
36	3-48	Comparison of Regional Population Growth Projections for SWFWMD	3-155
37	3-49	2020, 2040, and 2060 Regional Urban Growth Projections for South Central	
38		Florida by 1000 Friends of Florida	3-157
39	3-50	2009 Level 1 FLUCCS Land Use Map of the AEIS Study Area	
40	3-51	The Counties and Water Use Caution Areas in SWFWMD	3-169
41	3-52	Surface and Groundwater Use in 2009, Summarized by County in the SWFWMD	3-170
42	3-53	Surface Water Intake, Treatment, and Water Storage Infrastructure of the	
43		Peace River Manasota Regional Water Supply Authority	3-172
44	3-54	Predicted Indoor Radon Concentrations in Counties in the United States	3-177
4 -	4.4		
45	4-1	Annual Average Flows for USGS Gage Station, Horse Creek	4 4-7
46	4.0	(Station ID 02297310)	4-17
47	4-2	Annual Average Flows for USGS Gage Station, Upper Myakka River	
48		near Sarasota (Station ID 02298830)	4-18
49	4-3	Desoto Mine Stormwater Capture Area Graph	
50	4-4	Ona Mine Stormwater Capture Area Graph	
51	4-5	Wingate East Mine Stormwater Capture Area Graph	4-45
52	4-6	South Pasture Extension Mine Stormwater Capture Area Graph	
53	4-7	Pine Level/Keys Tract Mine Stormwater Capture Area Graph	4-57
54	4-8	Stormwater Capture Area Graph for a Conceptual Pioneer Tract	
55	4-9	Locations of Selected Paired Shallow and Deep Monitoring Wells in the AEIS Study Area.	
56	4-10	UFA, IAS, and SAS Monitoring Well Clusters, ROMP 85	4-84
57	4-11	UFA, IAS, and SAS Monitoring Well Clusters, ROMP 70	4-85

List of Figures

1	4-12	UFA, IAS, and SAS Monitoring Well Clusters, ROMP 40	4-86
2	4-13	UFA, IAS, and SAS Monitoring Well Clusters, ROMP 25	
3	4-14	UFA, IAS, and SAS Monitoring Well Clusters, ROMP 30	
4	4-15	UFA, IAS, and SAS Monitoring Well Clusters, ROMP 13	
5	4-16	Aquatic Biological Monitoring Stations in Horse Creek, Horse Creek Stewardship Program	4-111
6	4-17	Macroinvertebrate Community Assessment Results (SCI Scores), 2003 - 2008,	
7		Horse Creek Stewardship Program	4-112
8	4-18	Fish Community Assessment Results (Species Richness), 2003 - 2008, Horse Creek	
9		Stewardship Program	4-113
10	4-19	Macroinvertebrate Monitoring Stations for the Wingate Creek Mine	
11		(NPDES Permit No. FL0032522)	4-114
12	4-20	South Pasture Mine Groundwater Monitoring Water Quality Records, 2005-2010	4-119
13	4-21	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Phosphate Mine Projects	4-234
14	4-22	CFPD Lands Mined During the Non-Mandatory Reclamation Period (Pre-1975) and the	
15		Mandatory Reclamation Period (Post-1975)	4-238
16	4-23	Past and Present Phosphate Mines in the CFPD	4-239
17	4-24	Phosphate Lands Mined and Not Yet Reclaimed	
18	4-25	2009 Land Use Information for the AEIS Study Area	
19	4-26	Transient 2025B Model Simulated Water Change in the IAS Zone 1	4-292
20	4-27	Transient 2025B Model Simulated Water Change in the IAS Zone 2	
21	4-28	Transient 2025B Model Simulated Water Change in the UFA	
22	5-1	Mosaic's Maron Run Stream Creation Project	5-22
23	5-2	Locations of Priority Avoidance Criteria on Mosaic's Proposed Desoto Mine Site	
24	5-3	Locations of Priority Avoidance Criteria on Mosaic's Proposed Ona Mine Site	5-29
25	5-4	Locations of Priority Avoidance Criteria on Mosaic's Proposed Wingate East Mine Site	5-30
26	5-5	Locations of Priority Avoidance Criteria on CF Industries' Proposed South Pasture	
27		Mine Extension Site	5-31
28	5-6	Schematic of Typical Ditch and Berm System	5-35
29	5-7	Photograph of Typical Ditch and Berm System	5-36
30	5-8	Conceptual Buffer for Water Quality Protection	5-37
31 32	5-9	Conceptual Buffer for Wildlife Protection	5-39

33

1

2	1-1	Partial Historical Timeline of CFPD Mining	1-9
3	1-2	Active Phosphate Mines in the U.S. as of 2010	1-14
4	1-3	Relationships between Rock Production Rates and Operation Periods for Existing	
5		and Proposed Phosphate Mine Projects in the CFPD	1-16
6	1-4	Estimated Job and Economic Benefits Derived from Operation of Proposed Mines	
7		under the Applicants' Preferred Alternatives	1-18
8	1-5	Summary of Proposed Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Wetlands and Streams	1-29
9	1-6	Comment Submissions Received on the Draft AEIS as of September 5, 2012	
10	1-7	Draft AEIS Commenter Category	
11	2-1	Alternatives Reviewed for Consideration in the AEIS	2 2
12	2-1	The No Action Alternative (Currently Permitted Phosphate Mines)	
13	2-3	Waters of the U.S. Potentially Impacted by the Applicants' Preferred Alternatives	2 0
13 14	2-3 2-4	Alternatives to be Assessed in More Detail	2-3 2-24
			2 27
15	3-1	Acreage and Percent Soil Hydrologic Groups Coverage in the CFPD, Peace River	
16		Basin, and Myakka River Basin	
17	3-2	Soil Map Units in CFPD Classified as Farmlands of Unique Importance	3-22
18	3-3	Peace River Subbasin Summary	3-34
19	3-4	Summary of Myakka River USGS Flow Data	3-41
20	3-5	River Reach Definition and Summary of MFL Establishment for AEIS Surface	
21		Water Bodies	
22	3-6	2009 FAS Water Allocations for All Water User Categories in the SWUCA	3-58
23	3-7	Comparison of 2009 FAS Water Allocations and Historical Water Use for All Water	
24		User Categories District-Wide	3-58
25	3-8	Reported Water Budgets for the Peace and Myakka River Basins	3-78
26	3-9	Surface Water Classifications in Florida per Chapter 62-302, F.A.C.	3-83
27	3-10	Summary of Completed TMDLs for Water Body Segments in the CFPD as of 2012	3-88
28	3-11	Total Phosphorus Annual Geometric Mean Values (mg/L) for Mine Outfall, Upstream	
29		and Downstream Stations	3-97
30	3-12	Total Nitrogen Annual Geometric Mean Values (mg/L) for Mine Outfall, Upstream	
31	-	and Downstream Stations	3-99
32	3-13	Chlorophyll a Annual Geometric Mean Values (µg/L) for Mine Outfall, Upstream	
33		and Downstream Stations	3-101
34	3-14	Ranges in Concentration of Selected Constituents in Precipitation	
35	3-15	Descriptions of FLUCCS Wetland and Surface Water Types	
36	3-16	2009 Acreages of Wetlands within the CFPD Portions of the AEIS Study Area	3-122
37	3-17	2009 Acreages of Surface Water Types within the CFPD Portions of the AEIS	
38	•	Study Area	3-123
39	3-18	Estimated Wetland Acreages in Selected AEIS Study Area Watersheds during	
40	0.0	1990, 1999, and 2009	3-124
41	3-19	2009 Acreages of Rangeland and Upland Forest within the CFPD Portions of the	
42	0.0	AEIS Study Area	3-128
43	3-20	Federally Listed Species with the Potential to Occur in the AEIS Study Area	3-138
44	3-21	State and Federally Listed Plant and Animal Species Observed on the	
45	0 2 1	Desoto Mine Site	3_141
46	3-22	State and Federally Listed Plant and Animal Species Observed on the Ona Mine Site	3-141 3_1 <u>4</u> 3
47	3-23	State and Federally Listed Plant and Animal Species Observed on the Wingate	0-140
48	J-ZJ	East Mine Site	3_1/5
+0 49	3-24	State and Federally Listed Plant and Animal Species Observed on South Pasture	3- 140
	J-24	Mine Extension Site	2 1/0
50 51	3-25	Selected Social and Economic Profile Metrics for the AEIS Study Area Counties	
51 52	3-25 3-26	Historical Population Growth Records for the AEIS Study Area Counties	
	3-26 3-27	Population Projections for the AEIS Study Area Counties	3-154 2 1 <i>EE</i>
53		Selected Demographic Metrics for the AEIS Study Area Counties	
54	3-28	Sciedieu Demographic iviethos for the AEIS Study Ared Counties	১- 1၁୪

1	3-29	Results of Screening Minority and Low-Income Population 2010 Census Data for	
2		Mine Sites and Alternatives Considered	3-160
3	3-30	Proposed or Alternative Mining Sites Containing Minority or Low-Income Population	
4		(2010 Census Block Groups)	3-161
5	3-31	Agriculture-Related Jobs for AEIS Study Area Counties	
6	3-32	Current Severance Tax Rates Applicable to Phosphate Rock Producers	3-165
7	3-33	CF Industries' Annual Phosphate Rock Production and Acres Mined, 2009 - 2011	
8	3-34	Annual State Severance Taxes and Hardee County Property Paid by CF Industries,	
9		2009 - 2011	3-166
10	3-35	Severance Tax Revenues Distributed by Fiscal Year to AEIS Study Area Counties,	
11		2004-2011	3-167
12	3-36	Representative Archaeological Site Studies in the AEIS Study Area	
13	3-37	Summary of Representative Historical Structure Site Investigations in the	
14	0 01	AEIS Study Area	3-189
15	4-1	Principles of Cumulative Impacts Analysis	4-2
16	4-2	Summary of Current and Projected Land Uses for Each of the Applicants' Preferred	
17		Alternatives	4-12
18	4-3	Summary of Current Land Uses for Each of the Offsite Alternatives	
19	4-4	Area of Action Alternatives in Watersheds and Subwatersheds as Mapped on GIS	
20		Coverage	4-16
21	4-5	No Action Alternative – Predicted Peace River No Action Alternative Conditions for	
22	7 0	Average Rainfall Year	<i>1</i> _21
23	4-6	No Action Alternative – Predicted Myakka River No Action Alternative Conditions for	7 2 1
23 24	4-0	Average Rainfall Year	1 22
2 4 25	4-7	No Action Alternative – Predicted Charlotte Harbor No Action Alternative Conditions	4-22
	4-7	for Average Rainfall Year	4-23
26	4.0	No Action Alternative – Predicted Lower Peace River No Action Alternative Conditions	4-23
27	4-8		4.05
28	4.0	for Low Rainfall Year	4-25
29	4-9	No Action Alternative – Predicted Myakka River No Action Alternative Conditions for	4.00
30	4.40	Low Rainfall Year	4-26
31	4-10	No Action Alternative – Predicted Charlotte Harbor No Action Alternative Conditions for	
32		Low Rainfall Year	4-27
33	4-11	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
34		and 100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Desoto Mine	4-30
35	4-12	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
36		and 50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Desoto Mine	4-31
37	4-13	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows During Low Rainfall Year and	
38		100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Desoto Mine	4-32
39	4-14	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
40		50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Desoto Mine	4-32
41	4-15	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year and	
42		100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Desoto Mine	4-33
43	4-16	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year and	
44		50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Desoto Mine	4-34
45	4-17	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	_
46		100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Desoto Mine	4-34
47	4-18	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
48	0	50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Desoto Mine	4-35
49	4-19	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	1 00
50	1 -15	and 100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Ona Mine	4-38
50 51	4-20	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	+-50
52	4-20	and 50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Ona Mine	4-39
52 53	4 24		4 -39
	4-21	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	4 40
54	4.00	100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Ona Mine	4-40
55	4-22	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	4-40
56		50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Ona Mine	4-40

1	4-23	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
2		and 100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Ona Mine	. 4-41
3	4-24	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
4		and 50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Ona Mine	. 4-42
5	4-25	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
6		100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Ona Mine	.4-42
7	4-26	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
8		50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Ona Mine	.4-43
9	4-27	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
10	7-21	and 100 Percent Capture at the Upper Myakka Flow Station with the Wingate East Mine	1 16
11	4-28		
	4-20	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	4 47
12	4.00	and 50 Percent Capture at the Upper Myakka River Flow Station with the Wingate East Mine	4-47
13	4-29	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
14		100 Percent Capture at the Upper Myakka River Flow Station with the Wingate East Mine	.4-48
15	4-30	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
16		50 Percent Capture at the Upper Myakka River Flow Station with the Wingate East Mine	.4-48
17	4-31	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
18		and 100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the South Pasture	
19		Extension Mine	. 4-51
20	4-32	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
21		and 50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the South Pasture	
22		Extension Mine	.4-51
23	4-33	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	. T -J I
23 24	4-33	100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the South Pasture	
		·	4 50
25	4.04	Extension Mine	. 4-52
26	4-34	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year	
27		and 50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the South Pasture	
28		Extension Mine	. 4-52
29	4-35	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
30		and 100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the South	
31		Pasture Extension Mine	.4-53
32	4-36	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
33		and 50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the South	
34		Pasture Extension Mine	. 4-54
35	4-37	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
36	4 01	100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the South Pasture	
30 37		Extension Mine	. 4-54
	4.20		.4-54
38	4-38	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
39		50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the South Pasture	4
40		Extension Mine	. 4-55
41	4-39	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
42		and 100 Percent Capture in Lower Myakka/Big Slough Watershed with the Pine Level/Keys	
43		Tract	. 4-58
44	4-40	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
45		and 50 Percent Capture in Lower Myakka/Big Slough Subwatershed with the Pine	
46		Level/Keys Tract	.4-59
47	4-41	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
48		100 Percent Capture in Lower Myakka/Big Slough Subwatershed with the Pine	
49		Level/Keys Tract	. 4-60
50	4-42	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
	⊤ -†∠	50 Percent Lower Myakka/Big Slough Subwatershed with the Pine Level/Keys Tract	. 4-60
51	4 40		.4-00
52	4-43	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	4.04
53		and 100 Percent Capture in Horse Creek with the Pine Level/Keys Tract	. 4-61
54	4-44	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
55		and 50 Percent Capture in Horse Creek with the Pine Level/Keys Tract	. 4-61
56	4-45	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
57		100 Percent Canture in Horse Creek with the Pine Level/Keys Tract	4-62

1	4-46	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
2		50 Percent in Horse Creek with the Pine Level/Keys Tract	4-62
3	4-47	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
4		and 100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Pioneer Tract	4-65
5	4-48	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
6		and 50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Pioneer Tract	4-66
7	4-49	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
8		100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Pioneer Tract	4-66
9	4-50	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
LO		50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with the Pioneer Tract	4-67
l1	4-51	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
L2		and 100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Pioneer Tra	ct4-69
L3	4-52	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
L4		and 50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Pioneer Trace	t4-69
15	4-53	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
16	. 00	100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Pioneer Tract	4-70
17	4-54	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	1 70
18	7 0 7	50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with the Pioneer Tract	4-70
19	4-55	Simulated SAS Monitor Well Water Level Change Relative to 2010, No Action Alternative	
20	1 33	(Existing Mining Only without Agricultural Reduction) Layer 1	⊿_78
21	4-56	Simulated IAS Zone 1 Monitor Well Water Level Change Relative to 2010, No Action	10
22	4-50	Alternative (Existing Mining Only without Agricultural Reduction) Layer 2	4 70
23	1 57	Simulated IAS Zone 2 Monitor Well Water Level Change Relative to 2010, No Action	4-19
	4-57	Alternative (Existing Mining Only without Agricultural Reduction) Lower 2	4 00
24	4.50	Alternative (Existing Mining Only without Agricultural Reduction) Layer 3	4-80
25	4-58	Simulated FAS Monitor Well Water Level Change Relative to 2010, No Action	4.04
26	4 50	Alternative (Existing Mining Only without Agricultural Reduction) Layer 4	
27	4-59	Historical Groundwater Elevation	4-90
28	4-60	Phosphate Mine Discharge Mean Water Quality Values for Selected Active Mine	4 400
29		NPDES Outfalls (Averages for Period of Record 2005 – 2010)	4-100
30	4-61	Phosphate Mine Discharge Mean Water Quality Values for Selected Inactive Mine	
31			4-101
32	4-62	Summary Statistics for Specific Conductance at Background, Outfall and Downstream	
33		Stations (Exceedances Based on Values Greater than 1,275 µmho/cm)	4-102
34	4-63	Summary Statistics for pH at Background, Outfall and Downstream Stations	
35		(Exceedances Based on Values Greater than 8.0 SU and Less than 6.5 SU)	4-103
36	4-64	Summary Statistics for Dissolved Oxygen at Background, Outfall and Downstream	
37		Stations (Exceedances Based on Values Greater than 5 mg/L)	4-104
38	4-65	Summary Statistics for Turbidity at Background, Outfall and Downstream Stations	
39		(Exceedances Based on Values Greater than 29 NTU)	4-105
10	4-66	Locations of Upstream and Downstream Monitoring Stations	4-106
11	4-67	Water Quality Comparisons for Outfall, Upstream, and Downstream Stations at Mine	
12		NPDES Outfalls	4-108
13	4-68	Stream Condition Index Scores for Wingate Creek Mine's Outfalls D-001 and D-002	4-115
14	4-69	Groundwater Monitoring Parameters, South Pasture Mine, Hardee County	
15	4-70	Current Summary of Avoidance of and Impacts to Waters of the United States for	
16		Desoto Mine	4-133
17	4-71	Current Summary of Avoidance of and Impacts to Waters of the United States for	
18		Ona Mine	4-135
19	4-72	Current Summary of Avoidance of and Impacts to Waters of the United States for	
50		Wingate East Mine	4-137
51	4-73	Current Summary of Avoidance of and Impacts to Waters of the United States for	107
52	- -13	South Pasture Extension Mine	⊿ _130
53	4-74	Wetlands/Waters Summary for Pine Level/Keys Tract Offsite Alternative	
54	4-74 4-75	Estimated Wetland Quality Based on CLIP for Pine Level/Keys Tract Offsite Alternative	 1 1/1 /
	4-75 4-76		
55		Wetlands/Waters Summary for Pioneer Tract Offsite Alternative	4-143 م
56	4-77 4-79	Estimated Wetland Quality Based on CLIP for Pioneer Tract Offsite Alternative	
57	4-78	Wetlands/Waters Summary for A-2 Offsite Alternative	4-145

1 2	4-79 4-80	Estimated Wetland Quality Based on CLIP for A-2 Offsite Alternative	
3	4-81	Estimated Wetland Quality Based on CLIP for W-2 Offsite Alternative	
4	4-82	Current Summary of Upland Wildlife Habitat Avoidance, Impact, and Reclamation	1-0
5	4-02	for Desoto Mine	4-151
6 7	4-83	Current Summary of Upland Wildlife Habitat Avoidance, Impact, and Reclamation for Ona Mine	
8	4-84	Current Summary of Upland Wildlife Habitat Avoidance, Impact, and Reclamation for	
9		Wingate East Mine	4-156
LO	4-85	Current Summary of Upland Wildlife Habitat Avoidance, Impact, and Reclamation for	
L1		South Pasture Extension Mine	
L2	4-86	Upland Wildlife Habitat Summary for Pine Level/Keys Tract Offsite Alternative	
L3	4-87	Upland Wildlife Habitat Summary for Pioneer Tract Offsite Alternative	
L4	4-88	Upland Wildlife Habitat Summary for A-2 Offsite Alternative	
L5	4-89	Upland Wildlife Habitat Summary for W-2 Offsite Alternative	4-166
L6	4-90	Existing CFPD Phosphate Mines, Anticipated Mining Periods, and Directly Affected	4 405
L7	4.04	Counties	
18	4-91	DeSoto County No Action Alternative Forecast Direct Impacts by Decade	
L9	4-92	Manatee County No Action Alternative Forecast Direct Impacts by Decade	
20	4-93	Hardee County No Action Alternative Forecast Direct Impacts by Decade	4-188
21	4-94	DeSoto and Manatee Counties Combined No Action Alternative Forecast Direct Impacts	4.400
22	4.05	by Decade	
23	4-95	DeSoto County with Desoto Mine Forecast Direct Impacts by Decade	
24	4-96	Net Impacts of Desoto Mine as Compared to No Action Alternative on DeSoto County	
25	4-97	Hardee County With Ona Mine Forecast Direct Impacts by Decade	
26	4-98	Net Impacts With Ona Mine as Compared to No Action Alternative on Hardee County	
27	4-99	Manatee County With Wingate East Mine Forecast Direct Impacts by Decade	4-194
28	4-100	Net Impacts of the Wingate East Mine as Compared to the No Action Alternative on	4 404
29	4-101	Manatee County Hardee County South Pasture Extension Mine Forecast Direct Impacts by Decade	
30	4-101 4-102	Net Impacts South Pasture Extension Mine as Compared to No Action Alternative on	4-195
31 32	4-102	Hardee County	4 106
33	4-103	Forecast Direct Impacts by Decade on Manatee-DeSoto Counties With Pine Level/Keys	
34		Alternative	
35	4-104	Net Impacts on Manatee-DeSoto Counties With Pine Level Keys Extension Alternative	
36	4-105	Forecast Direct Impacts by Decade on Hardee County With Pioneer Alternative	
37	4-106	Net Impacts on Hardee County With Pioneer Alternative	
38	4-107	Degree of Effect of the No Action, Applicants' Preferred, and Offsite Alternatives	4-222
39 10	4-108	Siginificance Determination of the No Action, Applicants' Preferred, and Offsite	4 227
+U 11	4-109	Alternatives	4-221
+1 12	4-109	Summary of Land Use Changes Between 1974 and 2009 in the Myakka River and Peace River Watersheds in the CFPD	4 242
+2 13	4-110	2009 FAS Water Allocations for all Water User Categories in the SWUCA	4-242 1 251
+3 14	4-111	Comparison of 2009 FAS Water Allocations and Historical Water Use for All Water User	4-234
15	4-111	Categories District-Wide	1 251
1 6	4-112	Wetlands Acreage in Peace and Myakka River Watersheds, 1990, 1999, and 2009	
+0 17	4-113	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	4-230
+7 18	4-113	and 100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with Three Current Actions	
19		and Two Reasonably Foreseeable Actions in the Horse Creek Subwatershed	4-261
50	4-114	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	7-201
51	→ -।। →	and 50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with Three Current Actions	
52			4-262
53	4-115	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	202
54		100 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with Three Current Actions and	
55		Two Reasonably Foreseeable Actions in the Horse Creek Subwatershed	4-263

1	4-116	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
2		50 Percent Capture at the Horse Creek Flow Station with Three Current Actions and	
3		Two Reasonably Foreseeable Actions in the Horse Creek Subwatershed	4-264
4	4-117	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
5		and 100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with Three Current	
6		Actions and One Reasonably Foreseeable Action in Peace River at Arcadia	4-265
7	4-118	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year	
8		and 50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with Three Current	
9		Actions and One Reasonably Foreseeable Action in Peace River at Arcadia	4-266
LO	4-119	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year and	
L1		100 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with Three Current	
L2		Actions and One Reasonably Foreseeable Action in Peace River at Arcadia	4-267
L3	4-120	Projected Flows and Percent Change from 2009 Flows during Low Rainfall Year	
L4		and 50 Percent Capture at the Peace River at Arcadia Flow Station with Three Current	
L5		Actions and One Reasonably Foreseeable Action in Peace River at Arcadia	4-267
L6	4-121	Projected Contributions to the Charlotte Harbor Estuary and Percent Change from	
L7		2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year and 100 Percent Capture with All Four Current	
L8		Actions and the Two Foreseeable Actions in the Myakka and Peace River Watersheds	4-270
L9	4-122	Projected Contributions to the Charlotte Harbor Estuary and Percent Change from	
20		2009 Flows during Average Rainfall Year and 50 Percent Capture with All Four Current	
21		Actions and the Two Foreseeable Actions in the Myakka and Peace River Watersheds	4-270
22	4-123	Projected Contributions to the Charlotte Harbor Estuary and Percent Change from 2009	
23		Flows during Low Rainfall Year and 100 Percent Capture with All Four Current Actions	
24		and the Two Foreseeable Actions in the Myakka and Peace River Watersheds	4-271
25	4-124	Projected Contributions to the Charlotte Harbor Estuary and Percent Change from 2009	
26		Flows during Low Rainfall Year and 50 Percent Capture with All Four Current Actions and	
27		the Two Foreseeable Actions in the Myakka and Peace River Watersheds	
28	4-125	Simulated ROMP SAS Monitor Well Water Level Change Relative to 2010,	
29		Alternatives 2, 3, 4, and 5 (All Users with Agricultural Reduction)	4-283
30	4-126	Simulated ROMP IAS Zone 1 Target Water Level Change Relative to 2010,	
31		Alternatives 2, 3, 4, and 5 (All Users with Agricultural Reduction)	4-284
32	4-127	Simulated ROMP IAS Zone 2 Target Water Level Change Relative to 2010,	
33		Alternatives 2, 3, 4, and 5 (All Users with Agricultural Reduction)	4-285
34	4-128	Simulated ROMP UFA Target Water Level Change Relative to 2010,	
35		Alternatives 2, 3, 4, and 5 (All Users with Agricultural Reduction) Layer 4	4-286
36	4-129	Surface Water Available to Meet Public Supply Demand	4-291
37	4-130	Combined Currently Proposed Impacts of Applicants' Preferred Alternatives on	
38		Ecological Resources	4-300
39	4-131	DeSoto and Manatee Counties Combined No Action Alternative Forecast Impacts	
10		by Decade	4-305
11	4-132	by Decade	4-306
12	4-133	No Action Alternative Cumulative Analysis Projected Impacts by Decade	4-307
13	4-134	Forecast Impacts by Decade for DeSoto and Manatee Counties Combined based on	
14		Desoto and Wingate East Mines and the Pine Level/Keys Tract	4-308
15	4-135	Net Impacts for DeSoto and Manatee Counties Combined Based on Desoto and	
16		Wingate East Mines and the Pine Level/Keys Tract	4-309
17	4-136	Forecast Impacts by Decade on Hardee County based on Ona and South Pasture	
18		Extension Mines and the Pioneer Tract	4-309
19	4-137	Net Impacts for Hardee County with Ona and South Pasture Extension Mines and	
50		the Pioneer Tract	4-310
51	4-138	Forecast Impacts by Decade based on Desoto, Wingate East, South Pasture Extension,	
52		and Ona Mines and the Pine Level/Keys and Pioneer Tracts	4-311
53	4-139	Net Impacts based on Desoto, Wingate East, South Pasture Extension, and Ona Mines	
54		plus the Pine Level/Keys and Pioneer Tracts Compared to the No Action Alternative	4-312
55	4-140	Comparison of Land Areas Allocated for Mine Use and Operations	
56	4-141	Estimated Extraction of Rock and Ore over Life of Mine	
57		Estimated Energy Use for Each Project	

1	5-1	Temporal Lag Table Used by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	5-7
2	5-2	Physical and Biological Characteristics of Selected Forested Seepage Wetland	
3	-	Creation Sites	5-17
1			_

List of Acronyms 1 2 **AEIS** Areawide Environmental Impact Statement 3 AFT American Farmland Trust 4 AMO Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation 5 **ATSDR** Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry 6 BG billion gallons; block group 7 BioRecon bioreconnaissance 8 **BMAP** Basin Management Action Plan 9 **BMP** best management practice BoCC 10 **Board of County Commissioners** 11 BOT **Board of Trustees BRC Bureau of Radiation Control** 12 13 CAA Clean Air Act 14 CAAA Clean Air Act Amendments CARL Conservation and Recreational Lands 15 16 CCMP Comprehensive Conservation Management Plan 17 CEQ Council on Environmental Quality 18 **CF** Industries CF Industries, Inc. CFPD Central Florida Phosphate District 19 CFR 20 Code of Federal Regulations 21 cfs cubic feet per second 22 cfsm cubic feet per second per square mile 23 **CHNEP** Charlotte Harbor National Estuary Program 24 CIR color infrared 25 CIS Cumulative Impact Study 26 CLIP Critical Lands and Waters Identification Project 27 centimeter cm

carbon monoxide

28

CO

1	CSA	clay settling area
2	CSP	Conservation Security Program
3	CUP	Consumptive Use Permit
4	CWA	Clean Water Act
5	CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
6	DA	Department of the Army
7	DAEIS	Draft Areawide Environmental Impact Statement
8	DAP	diammonium phosphate
9	dBA	decibels A-weighted
10	DFIRM	Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map
11	DNR	Department of Natural Resources
12	DO	dissolved oxygen
13	DWRM2	District-Wide Regulation Model Version 2
14	EFH	essential fish habitat
15	EIS	environmental impact statement
16	ELAPP	Environmental Lands Acquisition and Protection Program
17	EMP	Environmental Management Plan
18	EO	Executive Order
19	ERDC	Engineer Research and Development Center
20	ERP	Environmental Resource Permit
21	ESA	Endangered Species Act
22	ET	evapotranspiration
23	F.A.C.	Florida Administrative Code
24	FAS	Floridan aquifer system
25	FCMP	Florida Coastal Management Program
26	FDAB	Future Development Area Boundary
27	FDACS	Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
28	FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection

1	FDER	Florida Department of Environmental Regulation
2	FDOH	Florida Department of Health
3	FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
4	FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
5	FF	Florida Forever
6	FFBOT	Florida Forever Board of Trustees
7	FFWCC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
8	FGDL	Florida Geographic Data Library
9	FGS	Florida Geological Survey
10	FHM	FIPR Institute Hydrologic Model
11	FIPR Institute	Florida Industrial and Phosphate Research Institute
12	FIRM	flood insurance rate map
13	FLUCCS	Florida Land Use and Cover Classification System
14	FMSF	Florida Master Site File
15	FNAI	Florida Natural Areas Inventory
16	FOB	free on board
17	fpm	feet per minute
18	F.S.	Florida Statutes
19	ft/d	feet per day
20	FY	fiscal year
21	GHG	greenhouse gas
22	GIS	geographic information system
23	gpm	gallons per minute
24	GPS	global positioning system
25	НВМР	Hydrobiological Monitoring Program
26	HCSP	Horse Creek Stewardship Program
27	HEC-RAS	Hydrologic Engineering Center River Analysis System
28	HID	hydrologic impact distance

1	HUC	Hydrologic Unit Code
2	IAS	Intermediate aquifer system
3	ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection
4	ICU	Intermediate Confining Unit
5	I-4	Interstate 4
6	I-75	Interstate 75
7	IFA	International Fertilizer Industry Association
8	IHN	Integrated Habitat Network
9	IMC	IMC-Agrico Company
10	in/yr	inches per year
11	IWHRS	Integrated Wildlife Habitat Ranking System
12	kWh	kilowatt hour
13	LEDPA	least environmentally damaging practicable alternative
14	LESA	Land Evaluation Site Assessment
15	LiDAR	light detection and ranging
16	m	meter
17	MANPHO	Mandatory Phosphate Program
18	MAP	monoammonium phosphate
19	MARS	Manatee Agricultural Reuse System
20	MCL	maximum contaminant level
21	MFL	minimum flows and levels
22	MG	million gallons
23	mg/L	milligrams per liter
24	mgd	million gallons per day
25	MIA	Most Impacted Area
26	Mosaic	Mosaic Fertilizer, LLC
27	mrem/yr	millirems per year
28	MSHA	Mine Safety and Health Administration

1	MSL	mean sea level
2	mt	metric ton or tonne
3	Mt	million metric tons
4	NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
5	NAD 1983	North American Datum of 1983
6	NAGPRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
7	NCEE	National Center for Environmental Economics
8	NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection & Measurement
9	NEP	National Estuary Program
10	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
11	NGO	non-governmental organization
12	NHD	National Hydrography Dataset
13	NIR	near infrared
14	NISC	National Invasive Species Council
15	NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
16	NNC	numeric nutrient criteria
17	NOA	Notice of Availability
18	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
19	NOI	Notice of Intent
20	NORM	naturally occurring radioactive material
21	NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide or nitrite nitrogen
22	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
23	NPK	nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium
24	NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
25	NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
26	NTB-WUCA	Northern Tampa Bay Water Use Caution Area
27	OFW	Outstanding Florida Waters
28	O ₃	ozone

1	pCi/g	picoCuries per gram
2	pCi/L	picoCuries per liter
3	PEIS	programmatic environmental impact statement
4	PM	particulate matter
5	PM10	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 microns
6	PM2.5	particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 microns
7	PMAP	powdered monoammonium phosphate
8	ppm	parts per million
9	ppt	parts per thousand
10	PRCIS	Peace River Cumulative Impacts Study
11	PRG	Peace River Greenway
12	PRMRWSA	Peace River Manasota Regional Water Supply Authority
13	RV	recreational vehicle
14	P_2O_5	phosphorus pentoxide
15	RACEC	Rural Areas of Critical Economic Concern
16	RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
17	ROD	Record of Decision
18	ROD/SOF	Record of Decision/Statement of Findings
19	ROMP	Regional Observation and Monitor-well Program
20	SAS	Surficial aquifer system
21	SBEP	Sarasota Bay Estuary Program
22	SCI	Stream Condition Index
23	SFA	Sustainable Fisheries Act
24	SFWMD	South Florida Water Management District
25	SHCA	Strategic Habitat Conservation Area
26	SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
27	SIP	State Implementation Plan
28	SMCL	Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level

1	SOF	Statement of Findings
2	SOP	standard operating procedure
3	SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
4	sq. mi.	square mile
5	SWFWMD	Southwest Florida Water Management District
6	SWIMAL	Saltwater Intrusion Minimum Aquifer Level
7	SWUCA	Southern Water Use Caution Area
8	TAF	Technical Advisory Forum
9	TBNEP	Tampa Bay National Estuary Program
10	TDS	total dissolved solids
11	TENORM	technologically enhanced naturally occurring radioactive material
12	TKN	total Kjeldahl nitrogen
13	TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
14	TN	total nitrogen
15	TP	total phosphorus
16	TPH	tons per hour
17	T&E	threatened and endangered
18	UF-BEBR	University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research
19	UF-IFAS	University of Florida – Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences
20	UMAM	Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method
21	USAC	U.S. Agrichemicals
22	USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
23	USBM	U.S. Bureau of Mines
24	U.S.C.	United States Code
25	USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
26	USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
27	USF	University of South Florida
28	USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

1	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
2	UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
3	WBID	Water Body Identification
4	WHRS	Wildlife Habitat Ranking System
5	WMD	water management district
6	WQC	water quality certification
7	WRAP	Wetland Rapid Assessment Procedure
8	WUCA	Water Use Caution Area
9	WUP	water use permit
10	μS/cm	microSiemens per centimeter
11	μmho/cm	micromhos per centimeter