Appendix F Section 404(b)(1)

APPENDIX F. SECTION 404(B)(1) EVALUATION SHORT VERSION

Herbert Hoover Dike

Dam Safety Modification Study

Appendix F Section 404(b)(1)

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix F Section 404(b)(1)

CWA Section 404 Compliance Forms – Section 404(b)(1) Guideline Short Form

EVALUATION OF SECTION 404(b)(1) GUIDELINES (SHORT FORM)

Herbert Hoover Dike Dam Safety Modification Study

Description of Action: During staging or preparing site access for construction of the proposed alternative, it is possible that up to one half acre of wetlands may be temporarily impacted. These impacts would be minor and short term. The impacts would be considered during the Water Quality Certification process.

EVALUATION OF SECTION 404(b)(1) GUIDELINES (SHORT FORM)

PROPOSED PROJECT: Herbert Hoover Dike Dam Safety Modification Study

	Yes	No*
1. Review of Compliance (230.10(a)-(d))		
A review of the proposed project indicates that:		
a. The placement represents the least environmentally damaging practicable alternative and, if in a special aquatic site, the activity associated with the placement must have direct access or proximity to, or be located in the aquatic ecosystem, to fulfill its basic purpose (if no, see section 2 and information gathered for EA alternative).	X	
b. The activity does not appear to:		
1) Violate applicable state water quality standards or effluent standards prohibited under Section 307 of the Clean Water Act;	X	
2) Jeopardize the existence of Federally listed endangered or threatened species or their habitat; and	X	
3) Violate requirements of any Federally designated marine sanctuary (if no, see section 2b and check responses from resource and water quality certifying agencies).	X	
c. The activity will not cause or contribute to significant degradation of waters of the U.S. including adverse effects on human health, life stages of organisms dependent on the aquatic ecosystem, ecosystem diversity, productivity and stability, and recreational, aesthetic, an economic values (if no, see values, Section 2)	X	
d. Appropriate and practicable steps have been taken to minimize potential adverse impacts of the discharge on the aquatic ecosystem (if no, see Section 5)	X	

	Not Applicable	Not Significant	Significant*
2. Technical Evaluation Factors (Subparts C-F) (where a 'Significant' category is checked, add explanation below.)			
a. Physical and Chemical Characteristics of the Aquatic Ecosystem (Subpart C)			
1) Substrate impacts		X	
2) Suspended particulates/turbidity impacts		X	
3) Water column impacts		X	
4) Alteration of current patterns and water circulation		X	
5) Alteration of normal water fluctuation/hydroperiod		X	
6) Alteration of salinity gradients		X	
b. Biological Characteristics of the Aquatic Ecosystem (Subpart D)			
1) Effect on threatened/endangered species and their habitat		X	
2) Effect on the aquatic food web		X	

3) Effect on other wildlife (mammals, birds, reptiles and	V	
amphibians)	1	

	Not Applicable	Not Significant	Significant*
2. Technical Evaluation Factors (Subparts C-F) (where a 'Significant' category is checked, add explanation below.)			
c. Special Aquatic Sites (Subpart E)			
1) Sanctuaries and refuges	X		
2) Wetlands			X
3) Mud flats	X		
4) Vegetated shallows	X		
5) Coral reefs	X		
6) Riffle and pool complexes	X		
d. Human Use Characteristics (Subpart F)			
1) Effects on municipal and private water supplies	X		
2) Recreational and Commercial fisheries impacts		X	
3) Effects on water-related recreation		X	
4) Aesthetic impacts		X	
5) Effects on parks, national and historical monuments, national seashores, wilderness areas, research sites, and similar preserves	X		

	Yes
3. Evaluation of Dredged or Fill Material (Subpart G)	
 a. The following information has been considered in evaluating the biological availability of possible contaminants in dredged or fill material (check only those appropriate) 	
1) Physical characteristics	X
2) Hydrography in relation to known or anticipated sources of contaminants	X
3) Results from previous testing of the material or similar material in the vicinity of the project	X
4) Known, significant sources of persistent pesticides from land runoff or percolation	X
5) Spill records for petroleum products or designated (Section 311 of Clean Water Act) hazardous substances	X
6) Other public records of significant introduction of contaminants from industries, municipalities or other sources	X
7) Known existence of substantial material deposits of substances which could be released in harmful quantities to the aquatic environment by man-induced discharge activities	X
8) The material to be placed in the water consists of sand and rock. The material is considered to be exempt from contaminant testing.	NA

List appropriate references: Draft EA Sections, 3.1.4, 3.1.5, 3.3.7, 4.1.4, 4.1.5, 4.3.7

	Yes	No
b. An evaluation of the appropriate information in 3a above indicates that there is reason to believe the proposed dredge or fill material is not a carrier of contaminants, or that levels of contaminants are substantively similar at extraction and placement sites and not likely to degrade the placement sites, or the material meets the testing exclusion criteria.	X	

	Yes
4. Placement Site Delineation (230.11(f))	
a. The following factors as appropriate, have been considered in evaluating the placement site:	
1) Depth of water at placement site	X
2) Current velocity, direction, and variability at placement site	X
3) Degree of turbulence	X
4) Water column stratification	X
5) Discharge vessel speed and direction	X
6) Rate of discharge	X
7) Fill material characteristics (constituents, amount, and type of material, settling velocities)	X
8) Number of discharges per unit of time	X
9) Other factors affecting rates and patterns of mixing (specify)	X

List appropriate references:

- 1) Some fill may be temporarily placed in the toe ditch adjacent to the levee or other adjacent water body/ wetland during to facilitate construction or site access to the levee. The toe ditch is one to four foot deep has low quality aquatic vegetation along the shoreline.
- 2) The toe ditches typically are in a non-flowing state with stagnant water. Isolated low areas also have minimal flow.
- 3) No flow / stagnant conditions will dominate during placement of temparary fill.
- 4) The toe ditch or low areas are shallow and not prone to stratification from either temperature or water salinity.
- 5) Placement by hydraulic excavator or bulldozer in a manner that minimizes turbidity.
- 6) Discharge of fill will be from side bank using "dry" fill rather than dredge slurry.
- 7) Material composition of existing levee fill is primarily shelly sand with peat soils. Material brought onsite will be either clean sand or sand/limerock mix.

- 8) Discharge of fill for temporary work pads or access will be done continuously over a period of a couple of weeks at each site. After each discharge, there will be significant periods of no discharge until the next work area is prepared.
- 9) For reasons discussed in 3) and 8) transport and current forces affecting rate of mixing are not issues.

	Yes	No
 An evaluation of the appropriate factors in 4a above indicates that the placement site and/or size of mixing zone are acceptable. 	X	
	Yes	No
5. Actions to Minimize Adverse Effects (Subpart H)		
All appropriate and practicable steps have been taken, through application of recommendations of 230.70-230.77 to ensure minimal adverse effects of the proposed discharge.	X	

List actions taken:

Specifically, the actions listed in 230.70(a) Locating and confining the discharge to minimize smothering of organisms, (d) Selecting a disposal site at which the substrate is composed of material similar to that being discharged, and (3) Selecting the disposal site, the discharge point, and the method of discharge to minimize the extent of any plume.

	Yes	No*
6. Factual Determination (230.11)		
A review of appropriate information as identified in items 2-5 above indicates that there is minimal potential for short- or long-term environmental effects of the proposed discharge as related to:		
a. Physical substrate at the placement site (review Sections 2a. 3, 4, and 5 above)	X	
b. Water circulation, fluctuation and salinity (review Sections 2a. 3, 4, and 5)	X	
c. Suspended particulates/turbidity (review Sections 2a. 3, 4, and 5)	X	
d. Contaminant availability (review Sections 2a. 3, and 4)	X	
e. Aquatic ecosystem structure and function (review Sections 2b and c, 3, and 5)	X	
f. Placement site (review Sections 2, 4, and 5)	X	
g. Cumulative impacts on the aquatic ecosystem	X	
h. Secondary impacts on the aquatic ecosystem	X	

7. Evaluation Responsibility

a. This evaluation was prepared by: Mark D Shafer, P.E.

Position: Environmental Engineer, US Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division

Jacksonville District.

8. Findings	Yes
a. The proposed placement site for discharge of or fill material complies with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines.	X
b. The proposed placement site for discharge of dredged or fill material complies with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines with the inclusion of the following conditions:	X

List of conditions:

1) Fill acton may require wetland mitigation if the local regulatory authority FDEP determines that this is necessary to obtain the WQC.

c. The proposed placement site for discharge of dredged or fill material does not comply with the Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines for the following reason(s):	N/A
1) There is a less damaging practicable alternative	
2) The proposed discharge will result in significant degradation of the aquatic ecosystem	
3) The proposed discharge does not include all practicable and appropriate measures to minimize potential harm to the aquatic ecosystem	

4/15/2016 Date

NOTES:

* A negative, significant, or unknown response indicates that the permit application may not be in compliance with the Section 404(b)(1) Quidelines.

Negative responses to three or more of the compliance criteria at the preliminary stage indicate that the proposed projects may not be evaluated using this "short form" procedure. Care should be used in assessing pertinent portions of the technical information of items 2a-e before completing the final review of compliance.

Negative response to one of the compliance criteria at the final stage indicates that the proposed project does not comply with the Guidelines. If the economics of navigation and anchorage of Section 404(b)(2) are to be evaluated in the decision-making process, the "short form" evaluation process is inappropriate.