1	LAKE OKEECHOBEE WATERSHED NEPA PUBLIC MEETING
2	
3	July 26, 2016
4	6:30 P.M.
5	3800 NW 16th Boulevard
6	Okeechobee, Florida
7	
8	Corps Team Members:
9	David Tipple, Deputy Chief of Planning & Policy
10	Jeff Couch, Ecosystem Branch Chief Tim Gysan, Project Manager
11	Lisa Aley, Planning Technical Lead Dr. Gretchen Ehlinger, Environmental Lead
12	Rick McMillen, Operations Division Jim Riley, Environmental Engineer
13	Kim Taplin, Program Manager David Apple, Watershed Section Chief
14	Jenn Miller, Corporate Communications Erica Skolte, Corporate Communications
15	
16	South Florida Water Management District Team Members:
17	Matt Morrison, Federal Policy & Coordination Chief
18	Lesley Bertolotti, Watershed Project Manager Jennifer Leeds, Restoration Planning Unit Leader
19	Libby Maxwell, Sr. Regional Representative Peter Doering, Coastal Ecosystems Section Admin. Magan Jacoby Project Manager Western Everglades
20	Megan Jacoby, Project Manager - Western Everglades Project
21	Armando Ramirez - Tribal & Federal Affairs Liaisor
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. TIPPLE: Good evening, everyone. May I have your attention, please? Appreciate everybody coming to discuss the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project this evening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We are -- we have a lot of -- I really appreciate y'all being here. And in the interest of the number of you that are here at this time, we have scheduled an open house to run from 6:00 P.M. to 7:00 P.M., but given the capacity of the room and the number of people that are already here and those that are still coming in, we intended to start the formal presentation at 7:00 P.M., we're going to retool a little bit and we're going to do two presentations to accommodate everybody that is here and in recognition of the capacity of this room. So we're going to start the first presentation at 6:30, and then that -- we believe it will probably run 30, 35 minutes and then we will go into public comment and your input period, depending on those number of people that have expressed interest in making a formal statement with the cards that you could have picked up as you registered. Then we're going to do a second presentation and public comment session at 7:30. So we'll start the first one at 6:30 and the second presentation and comment period at 7:30.

1 Thank	you	very	much	for	your	interest.
---------	-----	------	------	-----	------	-----------

2 (Brief recess.)

MR. TIPPLE: Good evening, everyone. Good evening. Thank you, everyone, for coming this evening to talk about the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project. My name is David Tipple, I am the Deputy Chief of Planning and Policy Division with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District, and I will be presiding over tonight's public meeting for the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project.

We're aware that there's a lot of interest in what we're doing with everybody's restoration and how it is being implemented. I would like to assure you that we understand your concerns. Through projects such as the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project, we are working to increase storage and operational flexibility within the system. This will help provide some relief to the estuaries.

The Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project is an Everglades restoration planning effort that aims to improve the quantity, quality, timing and distribution of water entering Lake Okeechobee.

The objectives of this project are to improve system-wide operational flexibility, reduce undesirable releases to the Caloosahatchee and

St. Lucie Estuaries down through the Lake, improve the quality of water entering Lake Okeechobee, and restore isolated wetlands in the Watershed.

This is the first of many meetings that will provide the opportunity for public input into the development of the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project. We are here tonight to provide information and ask for your input on the National Environmental Policy Act Assessment for the project.

Before we begin, I would like to thank you for all of you taking time out of your busy schedules to get involved in the planning process.

I would also like to recognize and thank the following individuals: Florida State Representative Heather Fitzenhagen; Commissioner Terry Burroughs and Commissioner Ryan Culpepper of Okeechobee County; City Councilman Dowling Watford; Commissioner Mali Soto Gardner of the City of Clewiston; Commissioner Karson Turner from Hendry County; and Sherry McCorkle from Congressman Rooney's office. Thank you very much for attending the meeting tonight.

This meeting is being held in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act with the sole purpose of listening to you. I would like to remind

you of the importance of filling out these cards that were available at the check-in office -- check-in table. These cards serve two purposes. First, they let us know that you're interested in this project so we can help keep you informed. And second, to provide me with a list of individuals who wish to speak tonight. If you did not fill out a card, they are available, again, over at the registration table where you came in.

So let me see if I can get this to move forward.

All right. Let's give that a shot. All right.

So before we begin the presentation, let me introduce the Project delivery team that's here with me tonight.

From the Corps of Engineers, Jeff Couch, our
Ecosystem Branch Chief; Tim Gysan, the Corps Project
Manager on this study; Lisa Aley, Planning Technical
Lead; Dr. Gretchen Ehlinger, Environmental Lead;
Rick McMillen, Operations Division; Jim Riley,
Environmental Engineer; Kim Taplin, Program Manager;
Dave Apple, Watershed Section Chief in Planning
Division; and Jen Miller and Erica Skolte from our
Corporate Communications Office.

And from the South Florida Water Management District, our cost share partner, Matt Morrison,

1	Federal Policy and Coordination Chief; Lesley
2	Bertolotti, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project
3	Manager; Jennifer Leeds, Federal Restoration,
4	Planning and Coordinator Unit Leader; Libby Maxwell,
5	Senior Regional Representative for the Okeechobee
6	Region; Peter Doering, Coastal Ecosystem Section
7	Administrator; Megan Jacoby, Project Manager for the
8	Western Everglades Restoration Project; and Armando
9	Ramirez, Tribal and Federal Affairs Liaison.
10	In a few minutes, I'll turn the floor over to
11	Matt Morrison, Lisa Aley, and Dr. Ehlinger, who will
12	provide you with a brief overview of the project and
13	planning process. Once the presentation is complete,
14	I will then open the meeting to public comments.
15	And to ensure everyone gets a chance to provide their

comments, I will ask that you all hold your comments and input until the end of the presentation.

And again, we're going to run two mirror

And again, we're going to run two mirror presentations and public comment periods, this one starting at 6:30 to 7:30 and then a second 7:30 to 8:30 due to the wonderful interest that you expressed in this project.

So with that, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like to introduce Matt Morrison.

MR. MORRISON: I'm not going to use the

microphone. Can everybody hear me okay?

2 MEMBERS OF THE AUDIENCE: No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MORRISON: No? You want me to use the mic?
All right. Very good. Just quickly on the overhead,
that's the agenda for today's meeting. We just got
through the welcome and introductions.

I'm Matt Morrison with the South Florida Water Management District. I'm going to provide a quick and brief overview of the Everglades Restoration Program that the South Florida Water Management District works in consultation and coordination with your Army Corps of Engineers out of the Jacksonville District and then we'll take some time to talk about the Lake Okeechobee Watershed itself and kind of a project overview, if you will, and then the Corps will go into the actual National Environmental Policy Act and the requirements that are there and then we'll talk about the schedule for this planning project as we move forward in developing a project plan that at the end of the day we'll put together and send up to Congress for their approval and appropriations for funding so we can actually build storage for the Lake. And then at the end, of course, as mentioned by the previous introductory comments, we will be taking public comment.

So with that said, I'm going to jump to this slide real quick and I'm going to talk a little bit about the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's an overhead slide here that shows three different cartoon depictions of the 16 counties that the South Florida Water Management District operates and maintains. On the far left is a representation of what the Greater Everglades system really looked like before humans were introduced into the Recognizing that north of the Lake when environment. rainfall hit, it was collected in what we now call the Upper Chain of Lakes. That water moved south through the central part of the state into Lake Okeechobee. And as that water drained and moved into the Lake, those Lake levels came up naturally and then basically overspilled south into what we call the River of Grass or the Greater Everglades system on down to Florida Bay.

Now, with that said, over the last hundred years in order for all of us to live and thrive here in South Florida and enjoy the beautiful weather that we have, the system has been modified fairly extensively with a series of canal networks, there is a dike around Lake Okeechobee to protect residents around

the Lake and to allow us better management opportunities of water that comes into the system, and there are a series of canal networks that are south of the Lake that provide flood control for agricultural operations and the developed communities and the cities that we live in along the lower East Coast.

So the way the system operates today is much different than it was before humans were introduced into South Florida. The rainfall is collected in the Upper Chain of Lakes. It still moves down the system into Lake Okeechobee, but it moves down the system much quicker than it did historically. And since we have a compartmentalized channelized system south of the Lake, we don't have the ability to move water the way it did historically, and today we move some of those flood control releases out to the northern estuaries as undesirable regulatory discharges.

So the future flow on the right is really a graphical representation of the implementation of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan where we're looking at better managing the Lake, better managing the hydrology in the system, and being able to reduce those undesirable discharges to the northern estuaries, and being able to move more water through

the central portion of the Everglades on down to Florida Bay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So CERP in general, the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, was developed back in 1999 under a comprehensive review study. And in that document, it contained 68 different project components that were identified to improve the environmental conditions in the South Florida ecosystem. And with those 68 components, it acknowledged that it was a pretty big task to implement those projects that were identified and could take as long as thirty years to actually do the planning, do the design, get the funding and then actually construct the projects and make them operational. So in the re-study that I mentioned from back in 1999, that's kind of the framework from which we move forward and plan. The Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project is one of those 68 components in the comprehensive review study.

In the review study itself, it included a number of different features that would be placed on the landscape over time to improve ecological conditions and they're listed there. There's Aquifer Storage and Recovery. That is basically utilizing a well system where you capture excess runoff from the landscape, you move that water into the upper portion

of the Floridan Aquifer when water is plentiful and then when times are drier, you bring that water back up, you put it back in the regional system for environmental purposes. So that was one mechanism for storage that was identified in the re-study to help improve the South Florida environmental system.

In addition to that, there were surface water storage reservoirs. And between the ASR systems and the surface water storage reservoirs, those will really be the two different type of project components that the project team members formulating the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project will be looking at. We really want to be able to place storage reservoirs north of the Lake, probably with ASR technology, aquifer storage technology, to improve the storage that we have north of the Lake for operational flexibility in reducing the undesirable discharges to the northern estuaries.

In addition to that, there were a series of other management measures. Stormwater treatment areas for improving water quality. There were some seepage management features, in particular along the lower East Coast of Florida, recognizing that as we move more water into the central portion of the Greater Everglades system, we would have to control

seepage to make sure that the developments to the east were protected.

And then we have a very compartmentalized system today as a result of the canals and the impoundments and the levies. And really, in order to move more water south the way it did historically, we have to remove those barriers to flow. So those were identified as well.

So combining a series of these different management measures or components are really, over the long term, are the solution for improving the hydrologic conditions in the Greater Everglades system. As they come on line over time and they're built and they become operational, there was also an acknowledgment in the last one up there that we would be revising the way that we operate the surface water management system in South Florida because as these additional facilities come on line, they do different things, we revise operations to accommodate those new features and improve the way that we actually operate the system as a whole.

So where are we today. I thought it was important just to give a quick overview of the progress that's been made to date in the Everglades Restoration Program, the South Florida Ecosystem

Restoration Program that we've all been working on since 1999.

Up at the top are what we call the pre-Comprehensive Everglades Restoration projects. They're known as our foundation projects. Those are the ones that predated the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan itself. And they include Kissimmee River restoration where we're working with the Army Corps to eliminate the channelization in a portion of the Kissimmee River and restore the natural oxbows north of the Lake which improve storage and water quality for water that comes from the upper watersheds into Lake Okeechobee. We've made significant progress on that over the last twenty years and that project is expected to be complete in the next four years.

In addition to that, down in the southeast part of the system we have what are called modified water deliveries in the C-111 South Dade project. Those are projects along the East Coast protective levy adjacent to Everglades National Park that are also nearing completion and those facilities are really designed to hold the new water, the additional flows that come into the Everglades system in the natural system and prevent that water from seeping out into

the developed areas. And in order to move more water through the system in the Everglades National Park, we have to be able to provide those seepage management features. And those two projects are foundation projects, so they need to take place before we move more water south.

And then we get into what we call the first and second generation CERP projects. The first generation projects were approved by Congress in 2007. We have a Melalucca Eradication facility, I'm not going to spend a lot of time talking about that one or the Picayune Strand project. They're either completed or under construction, but I am going to focus on the IRL-South Project where we have a C-44 reservoir and stormwater treatment area that are currently under construction on the St. Lucie River that will provide storage and treatment for water that comes from Lake Okeechobee before it actually enters the estuary in the Indian River Lagoon.

And then the second generation projects, that was the last feed of projects and limitation reports that Congress authorized in 2014. They're listed there. Again, I'm going to kind of focus on the C-43 Reservoir. It's very similar to the C-44 Reservoir on the Caloosahatchee, but the -- or the

St. Lucie. And the C-43 is on the Caloosahatchee River. We just initiated construction of that reservoir this year and that will be a reservoir that will be on the Caloosahatchee River that will help store water that comes from Lake Okeechobee and then return that water to the Caloosahatchee Estuary when it's needed.

So again, two big projects on the East Coast and West Coast that are going to help reduce some of the undesirable discharges to the northern estuaries.

And then the last set here, really kind of the completed or active planning studies, we have the Central Everglades Planning Project that was finished in 2014 that is now teed up for Congressional authorization. That is a project that took about three and a half years to formulate, and that is the project that provides the additional storage south of the Lake and de-compartmentalizes that system south of the Lake to allow us to actually move water from Lake Okeechobee south into the Greater Everglades system.

So in a nutshell, a lot of the foundation projects that are required are nearing completion.

The big storage reservoirs to the east and west are under construction and we're really just waiting for

Congress to approve and appropriate the Central

Everglades Planning Project that has storage features
south of the Lake.

So really what's next. Oops, what did I do?

There we go. So really, to help us identify from a planning perspective what is next on the horizon, we turn to what we call the Integrated Delivery Schedule. And I saw a number of people carrying that around when they walked in the room today.

If you look at the color coding here, the color on the top are those foundation projects. The green ones are the Gen 1, the purple ones are the Gen 2 projects. And if you go all the way to the bottom, those are the planning projects that we're initiating now, one of which is the Lake Okeechobee Watershed and ASR Project that we're here tonight to kind of kick off.

I think it's important to recognize that the sequencing for the implementation of these projects is very important and it's been based on a lot of technical information.

And you know, really why are we looking at storage north of the Lake as the next planning effort? There's a number of reasons that we're doing that.

21

22

23

24

25

One, I mentioned earlier, we have big storage reservoirs on the east and the west and we have the Central Everglades Planning Project that is going to open up the southern end of the system and provide storage south of the Lake. But just as importantly, the Water Institute at the University of Florida did a comprehensive review of our program, the South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Program and made it pretty clear in that report that if you're really going to move forward and reduce the damaging discharges to the northern estuaries, you need to have storage north of the Lake. So with the storage that's being constructed to the east and the west, and the storage that's associated with the Central Everglades Planning Project, acknowledging that if you really want to take a dent out of the undesirable discharges to the northern estuaries, you really need to start focusing on storage north of the Lake. that's why it's sequenced like that and that's why we're here today to talk to you about this project and expediting the planning effort to completion.

So just on this overhead, just a quick overview of the planning boundaries, you'll see this slide again in the presentation, I don't want to spend a lot of time on this, other than to let everybody know

that there are a number of tributaries that are north of Lake Okeechobee in these colored sub-basins that bring water into Lake Okeechobee, and as part of this planning project we'll be looking at these individual colored sub-basins and identifying the most cost effective beneficial storage options that will help capture some of that water that moves very quickly down the system from the upper watershed, and intercept it and store it before it makes its way to the Lake. And then when we put that water aside in storage, it will also allow us to take that water that's been stored and return it to the Lake when we're in drought conditions or dry conditions when the Lake is low.

So that's kind of an overview of the program.

And I would just like to personally thank everybody for coming. We're really looking forward to launching this effort and there will be a lot of public input as we move forward. In addition to the meetings that the Army Corps will be scheduling, we'll be giving regular updates at our Water Resources Advisory Committee at the South Florida Water Management District, as well as our Governing Board. So please stay tuned for our website, the Jacksonville District's website as we move forward

1	during this very important planning effort.
2	So thank you very much.
3	(Applause.)
4	MS. ALEY: Hi, everyone. My name is Lisa Aley,
5	I am the Planning Technical Lead for this project,
6	and I'll provide just a brief overview of the study
7	area and what the purpose and objectives of this
8	project are.
9	So the primary purpose of the Lake Okeechobee
10	Watershed Project is to improve the quality,
11	quantity, timing and duration of water entering
12	Lake Okeechobee. We'll also be looking at some
13	ecosystem restoration opportunities within the
14	project area.
15	A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Can you use your mic?
16	MS. ALEY: Yes. Everyone can hear me now?
17	So this map here shows an overview of where the
18	project area is within the Lake Okeechobee boundary.
19	The Lake Okeechobee Watershed drains south from
20	Orlando into the Everglades. Water flows into the
21	Lake primarily through the Kissimmee River,
22	Fisheating Creek, and Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slough and
23	then flows out to the east through the St. Lucie
24	Canal into the St. Lucie Estuary, and out to the west
25	through the Caloosahatchee River out to the

Caloosahatchee Estuary. And then south through four major canals into the Everglades Agricultural Area and then into the water conservation areas.

So one of our primary challenges in operating
Lake Okeechobee is that it fills up in storm events
sometimes six times quicker than we can release the
water. So the Corps operates Lake Okeechobee through
the LORS, the Lake Okeechobee Regulation Schedule,
and we strive to keep the Lake between 12 and a half
and 15 and a half feet. But because of this
operational challenge, and also because the
conveyance capacity to the estuaries is much greater
than down south, occasionally we do have to make
releases down to the estuaries during flood events.

So here is the project area. It consists of Fisheating Creek, Indian Prairie, the S-65D and E, and Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slough sub watersheds.

When I say "the project boundary," I mean that would be the area that we would potentially place project features. The study boundary where we would look at potential impacts or benefits is within that project boundary, the Lake and the estuaries as well.

So there are a lot of problems that we've been going through and working with -- working with other agencies to identify the primary problems.

Over time, the spatial extent of wetlands has degraded in the project area due to conversions of land to agricultural, industrial uses and drainage and channelization, which has led to a substantial reduction in the extent of the wetlands and estuaries. Meaning that also the historic water-holding capacity and filtration capacity has been decreased, which has set up a whole slew of other problems in the area. So led to degraded water quality in Lake Okeechobee within the Watershed and also in the estuaries.

The inflows to Okeechobee greatly exceed the outflow capacity, making water management challenging. There are extreme high and low water levels in Okeechobee. Those high water levels harm the littoral zone, but the low water levels can lead to the spread of invasives and exotics in the project area.

We have undesirable high-volume discharges to the Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie Estuaries.

And as I mentioned earlier, there's a substantial reduction in the spatial extent of wetlands, and all of this has damaging effects to wildlife and threatened and endangered species.

So we've identified some opportunities that this

project can potentially address. So there's an opportunity to improve system-wide operational flexibility if we create additional storage north of the Lake that helps with our flexibility and water management. We could improve the water quality of -the quality of water entering Lake Okeechobee. We would like to reconnect and restore fragmented wetlands. There would be some potential ancillary water supply and flood control benefits. We're hoping to increase or improve existing recreational opportunities. And also we would like to coordinate with other agencies on ongoing restoration activities in the Watershed. So we're not working in a bubble; we're working with other agencies to see what they're doing and how can we add on to the projects they're doing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our project objectives. So what we would like to do with this project. We would like to reduce the undesirable discharges from the Lake to the estuaries. We would like to improve the quality, quantity, timing and duration of water entering Lake Okeechobee. We want to improve the system-wide operational flexibility. And we would also like to restore wetlands in the project area.

So our constraints: what we want to avoid.

1	We do not want to affect existing water supply or
2	flood protection benefits. We want to avoid any sort
3	of impacts to cultural, historical and archeological
4	resources. We will follow applicable laws,
5	regulations and standards. We want to maintain
6	existing navigability throughout the Lake and
7	throughout the Watershed. And we want to work within
8	the existing flexibility of LORS, the Lake Okeechobee
9	Regulation Schedule. So we will not be updating that
10	as part of this study.

So with that, I would like to introduce Dr.

Gretchen Ehlinger, who will go over the NEPA process.

DR. EHLINGER: Thank you. Good evening. I'm going to go over the reason we are here. We are here because this is part of the National Environmental Policy Act Scoping Meetings, NEPA.

And so NEPA is a Federal law that requires consultation with the public. It requires us to present to you a NEPA project that is going to have a significant impact on the environment and -- or proposed potential impact to the environment and provide an opportunity for the public, for stakeholders, for agencies, for tribes and local governments to provide input on any project that we're going to be doing.

So for the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project,
we are looking to in the scoping area -- in the
scoping meeting hear input on the purpose, objectives
and all of this is going to be taken into
consideration as the project moves forward.

So the NEPA process begins with determining how much of an impact you're going to have. For projects that are going to have a cumulative, no significant impact, you would go to a categorical exclusion. However, when there is more significant effects, you go into an environmental assessment. Or if you know we're going to have significant impacts, either positive or negative, you go through Environmental Impact Statements.

So we will be preparing an Environmental Impact
Statement for the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project.
And the very first part of this project starts with
the scoping process. And through the scoping
process, we're going to be getting input from the
public and it will factor into the formulation of the
project and the array of alternatives and then it
will result in the drafting of an Environmental
Impact Statement. And this Environmental Impact
Statement is going to analyze all of the
environmental effects of the project, as well as

1	the no	action	alternative	or	 you	know,	if	we
2	do not	do this	s project.					

Now, the Corps has a six-step planning process and this coincides with the NEPA process as well.

Right now in Step 1, we're at the very start of the study, we are looking at the problems, opportunities, the goals and objectives of this study, and that fits in with the NEPA part of it, which is the purpose and need.

And then the second step is looking at your existing conditions and forecasting future conditions of the project. And that looks -- on the NEPA side is your affected environment, as well as your no action; if you don't do the project, what are the effects.

And then Step 3 is developing alternatives.

Since this is an ecosystem restoration project, we're looking at developing alternatives that provide the best ecosystem restoration benefits for the least cost. So we're looking at developing an array of alternatives, looking to give us the most lift or the most environmental benefits in this project area.

And that is equivalent to the range of alternatives in the NEPA process.

Then we need to evaluate those plans. We're

going to be analyzing everything from water quality, threatened and endangered species, hydrology, cultural resources, air quality, noise, aesthetics, you know, all of those factors, environmental factors will be analyzed in those plans and we'll be comparing those plans and then selecting a final array of alternatives and a preferred plan that gives us the most environmental benefits.

Through that full NEPA assessment -- through the six-step planning process, we follow along with the NEPA assessment, which will get us to an Environmental Impact Statement at the end. And the Corps, through the six-step planning process, develops a Project Implementation Report. And so the final product is going to be an integrated Project Implementation Report and Environmental Impact Statement.

The Corps planning process has changed recently. We've listened to the -- been hearing that the process for Corps planning takes too long and it costs too much money. So we've instituted a three-by-three-by-three planning process, which means no more than three years to plan a project, no more than three million dollars, and it has to go through three levels of Corps review, which makes it more of

an efficient process. And this is a decision-based process. So as the decisions are made, they're approved and the project is moving forward. So hopefully this planning process can be done faster. And it identifies the risks and uncertainty at each step as you go along. So it identifies -- you know, we don't have all the data that we need, but we'll focus our resources on the data that's required to reduce certains risks and then move on. And so through this process, the report is developed as we go through the planning process.

So what is important for you in this process is that we are at the very beginning. We are at the NEPA scoping process, the red arrow. And what this means is that we have the scoping period, and we have extended the comment period to August 12th. It's on a future slide. Just wanted to let you know that we have extended that. And so by the 18th of October, we have our first milestone of developing the alternatives. So it's a very fast process, so we want your input as soon as possible so that can be incorporated into alternatives or -- alternatives. What we're proposing will be our group of projects or our group of management measures for this project.

So once we have our alternatives, then we're

going to select our preferred plan, or our TSP, our Tentatively Selected Plan. And that is where we'll draft the Environmental Impact Statement. And once we have that Draft Environmental Impact Statement, that will go out for public review so you'll have a chance to review that document, it will be integrated with our Project Implementation Report, and that will go out for a 45-day public and agency comment period. We'll then incorporate those changes and then there will be a Final Environmental Impact Statement that will get sent out again for a 30-day review period. And then once that is approved, goes through our agency reviews, and eventually you end up with, you know, a finalized Environmental Impact Statement, Project Implementation Report, and gets sent up through the Corps' chain to get a Record of Decision. And then a signed Record of Decision will be at the end of the process. This is a, you know, 18- to 36-month process. So it's a quick one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so the public participation starts today with the scoping meeting. We have comment cards, we have -- you're able to make public comment here. We do have scoping comment cards that you're more than welcome to draw, you know, where you think you want project features, what you want included, where

you think water should flow. Feel free to draw on these, leave them here with us or mail them in. You can send e-mails in. You can make a public comment tonight. There's other meetings. Our project delivery team meetings will be open to the public in terms of providing feedback and providing We have the South Florida Ecosystem comments. Restoration Task Force meetings, there's working group meetings, status coordination group meetings, we'll have additional NEPA meetings when we have the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. We have the Water Resources Advisory Commission meetings. You have Governing Board meetings, other CERP meetings. So there's a whole lot of opportunities for the public to be engaged and to provide your input, which we highly value. We value -- we look at every single comment received. They're involved in comment matrices, and you'll get a response for all the comments that we do receive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So as I said, we have extended our scoping comment period to -- until August 12th. So feel free to send e-mails, the e-mail is up there (indicating). You can mail comments to me. And I highly recommend if you have specific things that you want and it's easier to do on a map, to please, you know, write all

over this, write on the back, you know, do whatever you want with it and send it to us and we'll enter it into the public record.

All of this information, this presentation, as well as the posters are posted on the web page, which is listed down there (indicating). And written comments, e-mailed comments and comments that you say when you are here at these meetings all have the same weight. And we -- you know, we reply to all of those.

So with that, I'm going to turn it back over to Dave.

MR. TIPPLE: Okay, everyone. I'm probably going to reinforce a few things that Gretchen just laid out to you, but in my opening comments I talked about opportunities for operational flexibility as we're looking at restoration opportunities within the system. So I'm asking you to work with us to have some operational flexibility for our public meeting. We have quite a few people out there waiting, very warm, and we have 44 comment cards asking -- a few that are in the room wanting to express some comments, and that doesn't include people that have expressed that they would like to make comments as well.

So I'm going to ask for you to go with me on a little flexibility here that we are not going to do a second formal presentation for the sake of time. And looking at those folks that are in the room that want to make comments and provide that input at the microphone here, as well as allowing -- I'm asking for those folks that are in the room that don't wish to make any comments to consider allowing those that are waiting outside to come in and we can do a little metering; we got some people that are kind of -- as people come out, people be allowed in to give those comments.

And as Gretchen mentioned, there's an opportunity, one, to provide comments via e-mail, as well as forward comments through August 12th, but also one of our team members from the Corps is videotaping this public forum and also we're having a transcript. And both of those things, the video, as well as the transcript will be available as well as the PowerPoint presentation. So if you missed anything or the folks, you know, that are out there that didn't see the presentation, they will have an opportunity to view the video, as well as see the presentation, as well as look through the transcript. So I would ask for your consideration there.

But at this time, we're going to, again -it's warm in here, I know. It's warmer out there.
But you are -- you are extremely important to the
process and it's really important for your voices to
be heard. And so we're here to listen to your
comments, understand your concerns, and to provide
you, again, with this opportunity for input with your
opinions on the record, should you care to do so.

So, again the transcript of this public meeting will be prepared and the record will remain open until August 12th and then written comments may be submitted to the e-mail address here on the slide and the mailing address also shown.

So with that said, individuals speaking tonight, again, 44 cards submitted that would like to say something in this room alone, with more outside. You'll be called to the microphone, so I guess we need to make sure that the microphone is in the right spot. Okay? So please come forward to the microphone as I call your name and state your name and, if applicable, what organization you represent.

And given the number of cards we have and some more coming our way, I ask that you keep your comments pertinent to the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project, such as the project area, constraints,

management measures. I'm asking and you get to
say what you want to say in two minutes, if you can,
given the fact that you can submit written comments,
given the number of cards and opportunities for
others to come in and out. Again, ask you to
consider if you're not making a comment, would you
mind making a spot available, because the capacity of
this room is what we're dealing with. And so ebb and
flow of people would be much appreciated.

So again, also after those formal comments, if y'all are still around and want to have some one-on-one dialogue with some of the team members, talk about a few specific things on the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project or related things, they will be around, the poster boards that are around, and we would like to engage with you.

So again, thank you for your time and commitment to being here. So with that said, I'm going to go to the first individual on the card. So, again, step forward and say your name and if you're with an organization, please state that organization that you represent.

Ramon Iglesias.

MR. RAMON IGLESIAS: Good evening, Ramon

Iglesias, Roland and Mary Martin Marina, Clewiston,

1	Florida. We're in support of this program, we just
2	wish that 3/3/3 might turn into a 1/1/1. It's been a
3	big issue for many years, it's important that we
4	clean the water before it leaves Lake Okeechobee.
5	Storing it north of the Lake is what we need and what
6	we're looking for, too. So if you can maybe speed up
7	the progress, I don't know how you do that on the
8	political scene, everybody wants to get involved.
9	But it's time to move it forward.
10	Thank you.
11	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you, Ramon.
12	The card says Captain Don Boss. Again, your
13	name and your affiliation if you're with an
14	organization.
15	CAPTAIN DON BOSS: Captain Don Boss, One Florida
16	Foundation.
17	I appreciate that we're looking at cleaning the
18	water before it gets to Lake Okeechobee and comes to
19	our estuaries. I appreciate that you're slowing down
20	the water. Thank you very much.
21	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you.
22	Paul Carlisle.
23	MR. PAUL CARLISLE: Hi, Paul Carlisle, County
24	Administration for Glades County, Florida. We're one
25	of the two counties that the Corps is looking at to

develop this Watershed. We would ask the Corps a few items.

One is can you expand that program further up the Chain of Lakes that captures the water that is coming out of the Orlando area that is affecting the Lake. And if you're going to utilize lands, utilize lands that the State already owns first before you start taking more lands off our tax roll. It's very important to us; when you start taking lands off the tax roll, it's jobs. You cannot bankrupt the internal counties because of what's happening in the coastal and the Orlando regions.

So work with us, we'll work with you all.

We all know that clean water is good for the entire state. So if you're going to take more lands off the tax roll in our counties, please compensate us for it. If it's good for the entire state, we should be compensated and we should look at lands outside of this area and we should look at lands regulating where development goes as they encroach on the urban areas into the agricultural areas and their discharges that they put into the watersheds.

Thank you.

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you.

25 Newton Cook?

MR. NEWTON COOK: Thank you. This is a great presentation and I spoke with a couple people before I got up here and I want to be real quick.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number one, we've been through this rodeo once The reason we can raise water in the before. Kissimmee chain is that the District has already purchased the easements and the land. A number of years ago we raised two feet for the very same purpose, to hold it back, keep it out of the Lake and to clean the water. Unfortunately a storm came along called Fay, the Corps decided that if they had had two feet of extra water through the system, downtowns of most cities along the Okeechobee Lake would have flooded. So that has kind of gone away, and now it's come back. And it's a very good thing, but you have to remember there's consequences when you start holding water. Back in those days ten years ago, Lake Okeechobee racked. We asked the Corps the question "How many acre-feet new would be stored when we raise this system?" And I spoke with Lisa and the folks here today, and the number is right at about the same, it's 295,000, let's say 300,000 acre-feet for the new storage. And this is where you folks in the estuaries, because you hear me say all the time "Please tell these people the truth." That's eight

inches off the Lake. It's nothing. The Lake today
is 20 inches too high. Eight inches would have been
gone in January.

This is a very good project. It's very important. But it's not going to help the estuaries that much.

The second problem that's coming up is the Endangered Species Act out there. The snail kite is suddenly becoming much more popular among the endangered species folks.

A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Speak up.

MR. NEWTON COOK: And if the snail kites stops the movement of the water up and down from the KCOL like the Cape Sable seaside sparrow has stopped the movement under the Tamiami Trail, then you folks in the estuaries will be bombed every time there's a rainstorm and the snail kites are nesting and they can't find their nest. There are two very crucial things happening here. There is no way to move water south. The bottom of the Lake, max 4- to 6,000 CFS. That's all that can go out of the bottom. Coming in just this last January, 18,000 CFS. Why do you think it goes out the estuaries? There's only three way it can go; C-43, C-44, south to Florida Bay. You cannot send it south today. There is no structure at the

bottom of the Lake that will do 18,000 CFS. There's no flow-way to get to the Tamiami Trail, but if you had it, guess what? It's a dead stop because of the Cape Sable seaside sparrow.

Thank you.

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. And again, all comments will receive equal consideration. Again, state your name and if you have an affiliation, please state that.

The next gentleman is Hugh, and I apologize if I get this wrong, Haring.

MR. HUGH HARING: Thank you. My name is Hugh Haring and I'm with the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council. And we would like to see the effort moved further to the north so that it includes the area that is draining into the Lake itself.

We would also work with the counties and cities that are involved around the Lake. And one of the things that we think would be very helpful would be if the counties and DOT would set up check-downs in their ditch sections so that they would hold the water back and then discharge it. There's -- those type of dams are used all over the State of Florida and if you were able to hold six inches of water back in the upland areas over the time period that we're

1	talking about, a lot of the controls that you're
2	looking for would be helped significantly.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you.
5	Okay. The next person is Maria Bolton-Joubert.
6	And also, to have in queue, if Chris Nolan could be
7	ready as the next commenter.
8	MS. MARIA BOLTON-JOUBERT: Thank you, Good day.
9	Maria Bolton-Joubert. I'm up here or down here,
10	rather, from Orange County. So I'm here today to
11	demand that now now the State, the U.S. Army Corps
12	of Engineers start planning of the storage, the
13	treatment and movement of water south of Lake
14	Okeechobee in the EAA. We need the EAA planning now
15	and not in 2020. We have a problem here. This is a
16	Statewide problem. All 67 counties need to be
17	concerned and take ownership of this issue. The
18	Everglades and Lake O belongs to all of us, not just
19	the counties surrounding the Lake. I live in Orange
20	County, Florida, and yeah, a portion of my county,
21	our water flows into Shingle Creek, into the
22	Kissimmee River and down here into the Everglades.
23	We're all connected to what is happening.
24	I still want the State to purchase the land
25	south of Lake Okeachobee

1	(Applause.)
2	MS. MARIA BOLTON-JOUBERT: I want that in order
3	for there to be built a deep water reservoir to help
4	restore the Everglades. We need to do this. Big
5	Sugar needs to comply.
6	I also want to incentives created throughout ou
7	state in order to help folks update their septic
8	systems, because we all know that is expensive.
9	I am also concerned with what has happened to
10	our ES1 money that 75 percent of the voters voted on
11	I will say this: Local elections matter. I
12	encourage everyone to look up who is running for
13	their August 30th election, the primary, and who is
14	running on the ballot for the November 8th
15	Presidential ballot. The deadline to register, by
16	the way, is in a couple days for the August 30th
17	election. You can actually get that information,
18	it's public record, you can look up who contributed
19	to each and every one of these candidates. And I'm
20	tired of people being bought out by big business in
21	this state. They do not represent the people then.
22	(Applause.)
23	MS. MARIA BOLTON-JOUBERT: And I am talking to
24	some people that are in this room and I do not care.

I am tired of what has been happening to our state

ı	and we need to take it back. We need representation
2	and we live in a democracy.
3	Again, finally, if you need help, you can Google
4	search it, you just insert your county. For example,
5	where I live, you can Google search "Orange County
6	Supervisor of Elections," there's a list of
7	candidates, and they have to file quarterly and all
8	of these different time periods with their
9	expenditures and their contributions. I encourage
10	everybody, look and see who is bought and paid for.
11	It's public record, share it all over social media.
12	Enough is enough. Thank you.
13	(Applause.)
14	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Chris Nolan. And the
15	next person up will be Elda Bass.
16	MR. CHRIS NOLAN: Good evening. How are you?
17	Thank you, Dave, I appreciate the opportunity.
18	I would like to just share something with you,
19	because I'm a proponent of a lot of education.
20	There's a lot of bits and pieces here, a lot of
21	suggestions and recommendations. I'm going to ask,
22	if you have a pen and paper, write this down. I'm
23	going to give you a website: wwwAlgaeWheel.com.
24	AlgaeWheel.com.
25	As bizarre and counterintuitive as it sounds,

one of the things that we absolutely know -- I, by the way, am a consultant for a company called One Water Group, and what I do is I identify the top scientific solutions worldwide for various problems in the environment. The very top solution I contend is at AlgaeWheel.com. It will solve many of the problems outlined here tonight.

I would like to share more information, obviously I'm limited on time.

Matt, you're on the right track with the reservoirs north of the lake. You got to take it a little step further. And if any of you are interested, we would love to speak with you about it, because you have solutions which are as simple as daylight and green algae. You say "Algae solves algae?" Yes, it does. And we have the system to do it. We created it for Disney ten years ago, we created it for the Brookfield Zoo, now we're doing it all over the world. Florida needs AlgaeWheel.com.

I would like you to look at it and then any of you people that are leaders in this particular -- in any of these organizations, you're free to come and sit down with our scientists and share with you the solutions that you're looking for.

Thank you.

1	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you very much.
2	Elda Bass?
3	A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: She left.
4	MR. TIPPLE: She left? How about J.C. Bass?
5	A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: He left.
6	MR. TIPPLE: Okay. So then we have Rebecca
7	Bruner?
8	A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: She left.
9	MR. TIPPLE: She left, okay.
10	Robert Miller?
11	MR. ROBERT MILLER: I'm okay, go ahead. I'm
12	okay.
13	MR. TIPPLE: All right. David Bottomley?
14	MR. DAVID BOTTOMLEY: No, I'm not speaking. No,
15	I'm
16	MR. TIPPLE: I have "yes" checked.
17	MR. DAVID BOTTOMLEY: Oh, no.
18	MR. TIPPLE: All right. Gina LaBruno? Okay.
19	And then after Gina is Ron Hamel? Hamel?
20	MS. GINA LABRUNO: Hi. I just want to point out
21	that three years ago, August 1st makes three years
22	that Governor Scott and Senator Mark Rubio were here
23	addressing the same issue. He promised well,
24	first he blamed the Feds for what has been occurring.
25	He promised 40 million to resolve the issues.

Yet here we are today. So I just wonder when the Army Corps and the people running this state are going to heed towards the issues that we continue to There's a lot of broken promises going on, have. being led by our Governor. And I'm not ashamed to say it either, it is embarrassing. And that's pretty much all I have to say. It's a sad situation and we have to keep Florida waters safe. For us, we have to keep it safe. So thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. I do appreciate y'all keeping -- trying to keep to two minutes. Thank you very much.

Ron Hamel.

MR. RON HAMEL: Ron Hamel with Gulf Citrus
Growers, and I would like to commend the Corps and
everybody for coming out for this -- this meeting
tonight because I think that storage throughout the
system is extremely important, but I think the more
we look north, all the statistics are pointing to
storage north of the Lake and -- throughout the
system, but predominantly north of the Lake to allow
for more flexibility. They did a very good factual
overview, I believe the South Florida Water
Management District did it in conjunction with the

1	Corps, and I would urge all of y'all to pick that up
2	and read it and look at the details.
3	And that's we look forward to participating
4	and, you know, I wish you could speed this up.
5	You know, this has been going on, the process, for
6	over twenty years. And some of us were around when
7	you started putting the Everglades Restoration
8	Program together and developed the yellow book. And
9	there's a lot of elements in that yellow book that
10	are that obviously technology won't won't allow
11	for all of those, but storage, I think everybody
12	agrees storage is really key. And the more we can
13	put north to keep it from moving into the Lake, I
14	think statistically is the way to go.
15	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you very much. Appreciate
16	that.
17	And state the affiliation that you're with, too
18	when you come.
19	Lisa Interlandi? And then the next after Lisa
20	is Allen Stewart.
21	MS. LISA INTERLANDI: Lisa Interlandi with the
22	Everglades Law Center.
23	I want to thank the Corps for providing this
24	opportunity for comment. I would urge you to
25	possibly consider additional scoping meetings closer

to the coast, because I think that there's a lot of people, to the extent that this project is intended to provide benefits from Lake Okeechobee discharges, I think there's a lot of people on the coast who might choose to weigh in if there were meetings that were more conveniently located. So I would urge you to perhaps schedule additional meetings in areas that are convenient to the areas that are supposed to be benefited.

I would like to second the suggestion that this project -- the scope of this project be expanded to include storage south of the Lake. The EAA reservoir project has been languishing and while a Project Implementation Report and an EIS was actually completed back in 2006, the project has not moved forward and in fact has been delayed, with planning not proposed to start until 2021. So we urge you to move that project forward.

Our estuaries cannot afford to wait additional time for storage. You know, the University of Florida study did recommend storage north of the Lake. It also recommended storage within the Everglades Agricultural Area. In fact, significant quantities of storage, much more storage than is currently planned in the south. So in order to stop

the discharges, we need storage north of the Lake, we need storage south of the Lake. You know, for every bit of water that is stored and treated north of the Lake before it's discharged, it has to be sent south. And once it's sent south and left -- and it leaves the Lake, it has to be cleaned again before it can be sent to the Everglades. So we need a place within the Everglades Agricultural Area where we can store water and treat it so that it can be sent south, clean water to the Everglades.

Thank you so much.

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Allen. And then after Allen is Gary Ritter.

MR. ALLEN STEWART: Hello, my name is Allen Stewart. Among other things, I'm a native Floridian, I was reared on the Indian River in the City of Cocoa. I'm an environmental engineer and a biologist, and I have been actively involved with Lake O projects since 1979.

A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Speak up.

MR. ALLEN STEWART: Sorry. I hate microphones.

Many of you remember the Interim Action Plan in 1979. Well, I've been with it ever since. And one of the things I want to bring out -- by the way, I have no financial interest in any of the technologies

I'm going to mention. But one of the things that
I've been involved in over the years is the
development of systems we call "managed aquatic
plants" where we use algae, water hyacinths, other
aquatic plants to remove and recover and reuse
nutrients in Lake Okeechobee. This is important and
we've done several projects with the District and
this technology is presently being used in Indian
River County to help meet their TMDL requirements.

The reason this is important is because we have huge stores of phosphorus in the Lake, in the sediment and in soils, and a lot of the aquatic plants that are sprayed every year and drip down to the bottom. In a recent presentation in Orlando where Dr. David Demoska (phonetic) presented the facts related to this and said that you could remove all of the loads coming into Lake Okeechobee in both phosphorus and sediments, and this legacy phosphorus will continue to send pollution to the estuaries and south.

It is important and critical that we not just remove phosphorus and store it in places like STA's or in BMP's, we have to recover that phosphorus, we need to recycle it and we need to reuse it.

This would be a new ag. industry where aquatic plants

1	would be a crop, it could create jobs and over the
2	long term it could remove not only the incoming
3	phosphorus, but also the legacy phosphorus. If you
4	don't remove legacy phosphorus, the disruption to our
5	estuaries is going to continue.
6	I wrote a letter in 1987 that said this, and I
7	would be happy to give y'all a copy of that if you
8	want it, but it continues to be true.
9	Thank you.
10	(Applause.)
11	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you.
12	Gary Ritter. And next is Ben Butler.
13	MR. GARY RITTER: Good afternoon. Gary Ritter
14	with the Florida Farm Bureau Federation.
15	First of all, I would like to welcome y'all to
16	Okeechobee, and our community here. I live here,
17	long-time resident.
18	Got just a few points that I
19	A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Louder.
20	MR. GARY RITTER: Just a few points I want to
21	bring up.
22	Number one, the Florida Farm Bureau Federation
23	has been and continues to be very supportive of the
24	Everglades Restoration Project and this Lake
25	Okeechobee Watershed Project.

Number two, we are in favor of completing projects within the planning area and looking for opportunities on existing State and Federally-owned lands. We support partnerships with agriculture whenever possible, including conservation easements, disbursed water management, water farming opportunities, in addition to addressing BMP's in the Watershed that we've been doing for decades now.

I would like to also point out that, you know, this planning area -- the map is not up there, but it covers Okeechobee, Highlands and Glades County. One of the things that has really not been discussed is what are the opportunities in the Upper Chain of Lakes. And we would like to see more information, more scoping and planning in the Upper Chain of Lakes to see if there are possible storage opportunities up there. To my knowledge, as long as I've been involved in the process, I have never, you know, seen a plan going on in the Upper Chain of Lakes with the exception of the Kissimmee Restoration Project.

Lastly, and I know this one is not going to be popular, but I'm going to say it anyways. We do not support additional land acquisition, especially that results in more losses of our state and country's food supply. I think that's very, very critical.

- 1 It's very important.
- 2 (Applause.)
- MR. GARY RITTER: And we really need to take
 this into consideration when we're doing this
 planning process.
- 6 Thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7 MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Ben Butler? Followed 8 by Hilary Swain.

> MR. BEN BUTLER: Thank you. Ben Butler, I'm a fourth generation farmer and rancher from Okeechobee County. I've lived here for 30 years of my 37-year life, the other seven years in Gainesville. And I'm going to reserve most of my comments for e-mail. I'm going to echo Gary Ritter's comments. I'm also a member of the Okeechobee County Farm Bureau and we understand the importance of agriculture. And for 30 years, what agriculture has done in the Northern Everglades with our BMP's and the many projects, the many personal dollars, including my family, that have been put into these projects, in addition to public assistance, has taken a toll on agriculture. And I'm thankful that -- thankful that we are still here and still able to produce food for the rest of everybody else in the State of Florida.

25 Again, I'll echo Gary's comments on the

importance of agriculture and the importance of farm land, and what good farms can do to help clean the water, especially in the Northern Everglades.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Hilary Swain and following Hilary will be Keith Pearce.

DR. HILARY SWAIN: Hello, my name is Hilary Swain, I'm the Director of Archbold Biological Station, which is an ecological research center up just north -- just south of Lake Placid. We manage about 20,000 acres in this Watershed.

I, first of all, appreciate the fact that there is renewed emphasis on looking at the headwaters of the Northern Everglades. So we're glad to be back in the eye again, good to be back engaged in the process.

I think what I would really encourage you is looking back at the U.S. data, looking back at your own work, you know, looking at this headwaters Watershed is not the 922,000 acres that you've designated, but really the 2.6 million acres that are in this Watershed, you know, including 17 percent of it is the ancient sand ridges on the west of the Watershed, ranches, rivers, ridge-to-ranch-to-river

1	in this Watershed. And I think we're making a
2	mistake thinking of the Watershed again as a
3	five-gallon bucket of water and we're just messing
4	around with the bottom two gallons. We have to
5	look at the whole five gallons. We're not as
6	compartmentalized as south of the Lake. You know,
7	the lines on these maps are at best fuzzy.
8	They're not straight lines that allow easy
9	compartmentalization of the hydrology.
10	And I think the other extraordinary thing about
11	this Watershed is ownership of lands lying south of
12	the lake. Only 22 percent of this Watershed is
13	either in public or conservation private lands. So
14	we're going to have to think very differently about
15	our strategies north of the Lake, because we don't
16	have the same opportunities that we have south of the
17	Lake, with multiple many of them agricultural.
18	And I think it's it gives us a chance to be very
19	different and very imaginative north of the Lake.
20	So I'm looking forward to the suggestions you
21	have and I'll direct a few of our own. Thank you.
22	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Keith Pearce and then
23	Jo Neeson will be next, if you'd like to work your
24	way up to the front.

MR. KEITH PEARCE: Hi, I'm Keith Pearce, I'm a

I	Titth generation rancher in Grades County. And I
2	just read a recent report that was released by James
3	Madison Institute. Five and a half million acres
4	already belong to Government agencies in South
5	Florida alone. Another three-quarters of a million
6	acres is under conservation easements. My question
7	would be why are we looking at purchasing more land?
8	We need to be looking at utilizing funds to utilize
9	the existing properties that are already in
10	Government ownership.
11	Thank you.
12	(Applause.)
13	MR. TIPPLE: Jo Neeson? No?
14	Colleen Frost?
15	MS. COLLEEN FROST: I'm all right, thank you.
16	MR. TIPPLE: Pass? Okay.
17	Betty Osceola. And then Dowling Watford.
18	I apologize if I didn't get that name quite right.
19	MS. BETTY OSCEOLA: A lot of my statements are
20	going to come in written letter with the organization
21	that I'm a part of. But for myself as an individual
22	who was able to grow up in the Everglades, my family
23	has been here before Florida was Florida, and also
24	it's very sad to hear a lot of people talking about
25	ownership of the land. You do not own this land.

Mother Earth does. You created us. You're only leasing it.

(Applause.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BETTY OSCEOLA: And it needs to be taken care of by everyone. And remember, our people say "When you point your finger, you have four coming right back at you." It's not just the Corps, it's not just the Government, it's everybody who is counting on that production and who is living here, breathing this air.

Also you need to remember, and the lady -- one of the ladies before me mentioned it -- she kind of got it a little bit. You are dealing with a living Just like you breathe, live and die, it does the same thing. The water is not dead. The land is not dead. Your farmers know that. And you should know that. You are not going to control what the Creator does and what this water does. And the better you realize that, the more you can understand If you live and breathe it, you understand how this water works, you understand how the environment works. You're not going to learn it out of a book. You have to live it. And right now, a lot of the projects that I see, all you're -- to me, all you're doing is moving your crap to somebody else.

1	And you're keeping it.
2	(Applause.)
3	MS. BETTY OSCEOLA: That's basically what you're
4	doing. And just like Mr. Stewart said, you have to
5	remove that crap, otherwise it's going to stay there
6	and your septic tank that you're using the
7	environment for is going to get full.
8	That's all I have to say.
9	(Applause.)
10	MR. TIPPLE: Dowling Watford and then Al Perry.
11	COUNCILMAN DOWLING WATFORD: I'm Dowling Watford
12	with the Okeechobee City Council. Gary Ritter didn't
13	tell you, but he's also with the Okeechobee City
14	Council. I don't think he wanted to be associated
15	with me, but thank you, Gary.
16	We had a couple other elected officials that
17	came in. I appreciate every elected official that's
18	here, because we catch a lot of flack, sometimes for
19	things we can't do anything about. Representative
20	Gayle Harrell, we appreciate you being here. And
21	Commissioner Jacqui Thurlow-Lippisch with Sewall's
22	Point, we appreciate you being here. Jacqui is very
23	passionate on the Indian River and I'm sure we'll
24	hear from her.

I would just like to say I support your projects

I would like for you to consider a couple things, and Gary touched on them, and Ben touched on First of all, you need to consider the economy, and I'm sure you will, and the agricultural land. Agriculture is very important to us, particularly here in Okeechobee. It's important to us all because we all eat. So it's very, very important to us.

I would also like for you to strongly consider the conservation easements and water farming. I think that is the way to go rather than purchasing land. So I would appreciate it if you would consider those.

Anything you do -- and I'm kind of like Gary,
I don't know that I'm in favor of purchasing more
land south of the Lake. And Jacqui hates to hear
that, but I don't know that I am. I would encourage
you, encourage all of us to support the CERP projects
that are currently -- what is it called, the
Integrated Delivery Schedule? That needs to be done.
And we don't need to take the focus off of that.
Unfortunately, the recent water quality problems on
the coast have kind of taken the focus off that.
But we need to stay focused on that. And if we all
worked as hard getting those projects funded as we do

complaining about everything else, we would be a lot further along in that process.

I also want to strongly encourage you to consider the health of the dike, the Herbert Hoover Dike. Very important to all the communities around the Lake. And I know you will, but I want you to strongly consider that.

Thank you very much.

MR. TIPPLE: Al Perry, followed by Rick Hartman.

MR. AL PERRY: Good evening. Thanks for hearing out comments tonight. My name is Al Perry, I'm the City Manager of the City of Clewiston. And I want you to commend you folks for finally focusing on north of the Lake. You know, I want to remind some of the people in the audience that we hosted a peaceful rally about three years ago in Clewiston about the water releases and we were singing that song loud and clear and didn't get any traction. And whoever is responsible for getting that traction out there, thank you.

So the water storage north of the Lake is very important to us. And I just want to say one thing. The quality of the Lake is very important to us, too, maintaining proper Lake levels, and the reason for that is our local economy, our fishing economy is

1	just as important to us as yours is to you on the
2	coast. And it's just at a different level, but it's
3	just as important to us as yours is to you.
4	Thank you.
5	(Applause.)
6	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Rick Hartman, followed
7	by Paul Seaver.
8	A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: I saw Rick leave.
9	MR. TIPPLE: Okay. Paul Seaver, are you still
10	with us?
11	MR. PAUL SEAVER: Yep, I'm here.
12	MR. TIPPLE: Okay. And then Steve Weir, I
13	believe.
14	MR. PAUL SEAVER: I'm Paul from Palm Beach
15	Springs Water Company and we're distributors of
16	electric chemistry equipment. And we can help clean
17	up the phosphorus before it ever gets into Lake
18	Okeechobee without any chemicals, without
19	any (inaudible) and we can take it out of the soil.
20	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, if you'll speak
21	up for me.
22	MR. PAUL SEAVER: So anyway, we can take it out
23	of the water, we can also kill the cyanobacteria at
24	the same time and do it without any chemicals.
25	Thank you.

MR. TIPPLE: Steve Weir. Then Shannon Larsen.

MR. STEVE WEIR: Paul Seaver just identified a major problem that you have at this moment with the Lake that seems to be ignored here in this room. And that is there is a major plague of the green algae bloom spreading. It's not only on the Lake, but it's spreading to all the estuaries and it's spreading north and it's spreading south. If you don't kill off the bacteria and do it soon, the whole Lake will be full of it and you'll be flooded with it. Right now it's a plague.

And everyone is talking about storing water here and storing it there. This last gentleman just identified that they have equipment that will kill off that cyanobacteria. If you ever put your hand in it, you'll see the rash that you will get from it. The ability to kill off the bacteria, technology exists, it's being used all over the world except for here. It's a hundred percent organic, it's natural, they just change -- temporarily change the molecular structure of the water which kills off the bacteria. And after the bacteria is killed off, the water is pumped back into the Lake absolutely pure.

In addition to that, the same equipment with a slight alteration also mines the phosphorus that is

in the water, the legacy phosphorus that has been there for years and years and been deposited for a And that legacy phosphorus will always lifetime. plague the Lake unless it's removed. Right now it can be removed by electrolysis. All they do is mount this on big barges, they only take them about a foot of water, and as they go into one foot of water or less even or into the deeper water, they actually mine this phosphorus. Electronically phosphorus has magnetic qualities and those qualities will attach to their equipment by magnet. It's a magnetism that they grab whatever is on the bottom, plus they could do it at the headwaters. So you can stop the phosphorus from coming into the Lake to begin with. You can mine and remove the phosphorus and then It will mix in with the soil. It's got re-sell it. phosphate in it, but it's a different kind of technology. When you have phosphorus being mined on a dry open land pit, that's one thing. But when it's in the water, it entails a different aspect of the science of removing phosphorus. This product and the technology is used all over the world except here in the United States for some reason. It was just never introduced, it was never -- never caught on here. But they're now starting to use it in California.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And Florida is the ideal place for it. Right here in Florida, you've got to get rid of that plague because it's spreading. You can store all the water you want, but all that water will be contaminated. You can't help it, it will be automatically contaminated. And here they have a product and a piece of equipment and a technology that is a hundred percent organic.

(Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Shannon Larsen, followed by Irene Gomes.

MS. SHANNON LARSEN: I'm Shannon Larsen with Ancient Trees. I'm neither for or against this project. I'm stating that because I don't think enough details have been given where the land is going to be and all the other questions I have going on in my mind.

But I think for this project it's essential that they create a citizens watchdog committee over this entire project so we know where the money comes in and where it goes out and what it's being used for. We've all seen the Everglades restoration money, it ends up on study after study after study after study and nothing ever happens. I don't want to see that happen again. We need to take control over this and watch it. I think it should involve a lot of local

input, people that live in the areas that are going to be affected. I don't want to see -- I think on-site visits must be done by the Corps, not just looking at the computers and saying "Well, this can be here or that can be there or that can be there, that can be there." That's what they do. That's what their studies do. They need to get out there and make sure that this system is going to work before they even try to implement it or we're just going to be another twenty years behind.

I think this whole thing can go a lot quicker.

They have studies. They have material already.

It can be shortened down to one-to-one, I think.

I also feel that they need to get out of their STA boxes. There are other systems that have been mentioned today that are far better than the STA's. So they need to look at more innovative and systems that really work in times that we're living in today.

They're talking about they're going to do scoping more with the people. I certainly hope that they really do do this and include the indigenous people also all the way through this. They're notoriously, especially independents, left out of the system and I don't want to see that happen either this time.

I think when the citizens are involved, we can identify the problems as they're occurring. You see them, everybody knew in the beginning that that Lake didn't need to be dammed. Many people spoke out about that, yet they did it anyway. People shouldn't be living there in the first place.

So I just -- thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Okay. Irene Gomes. I'd just like to offer that -- real quick, there's -- appreciate y'all trying to stick to the two minutes. Actually there's a little green, yellow, red, and yellow means 30 seconds. So I'll start doing that. So we have 15 cards from the first go-around. And again, we're really thankful for all the interest expressed and we're doing a little shifting of gears based on some feedback from those that are still waiting out there. We'll actually going to go ahead and do another presentation with these comment cards and bring the next group in, okay? Just want to keep that in mind, we have 15 cards left.

MS. IRENE GOMES: My name is Irene Gomes, I own a motel along the Indian River Lagoon that's the most diverse, biodiverse estuary in North America.

My family has been there since 1958. Ya'll are

1	worried about agriculture, I'm worried about the
2	Florida economy and we're based on tourism. And it's
3	hurting, and it's hurting bad. Over the 4th of July,
4	during that week I lost several thousand dollars.
5	I'm also concerned about the quality of life.
6	I have children and grandchildren, I'm concerned
7	about what we're leaving the next generation.
8	The Everglades needs water, Florida Bay needs
9	water. I mean, we need to get water moved south.
10	I don't understand all this stuff where people are
11	I mean, isn't that obvious, the Everglades needs
12	water? It also provides drinking water. You know,
13	I I don't know, I get so upset when I talk about
14	this because this has been my whole life, since I was
15	seven years old on the Indian River Lagoon. Like I
16	said, the most biodiverse estuary in North America
17	and it's dying. And you need to care. You need to
18	do something about it. We need to do something to
19	save it before it dies, please.
20	(Applause.)
21	MR. TIPPLE: Anna Aergalis (sic), I believe.
22	Apologize if I didn't do your last name justice.
23	Anna? And next will be Kim Streiber, Streiber?
24	MS. ANNA BERGALIS: Yes, my name is Anna

Bergalis. I just want to give you this photo.

It actually looks like poop, but it's not, it's
cyanobacteria. It's coming onto my property and it
reduces the value of my property. Not only that,
it's a cyanobacteria, you know, this blue-green algae
which is really cyano -- it's a bacteria. Forget
about the plant, it's more a bacteria.

And when I have friends coming down from Ohio,
Pennsylvania, New York, they're going to look at this
and they're going to think it's poop, they're not
going to think it's blue-green algae.

And also, too, you say restore the estuaries? Here, I'll give you this photo, you can give it to the Governor, if you would.

When you're -- you're saying "restore," you have to have salt to restore. You can't have fresh water. I don't care if you clean it up a hundred times, you're dumping fresh water on us. What is it doing? It's killing our seagrasses. Why do we say seagrasses? Seagrasses are salty. Salty. And that acts as a little nursery for our fish. And by killing those grasses, what you do is you're going to be putting more phosphates and nitrates in your water and you're going to start it all over. The cycle goes on and on. And how long has this cycle been going on? Forty, 50 years? A hundred? You know.

- 1 I mean, it's ridiculous.
- 2 And I always say it's God's -- God's salty
- water, it's man's hell, Okeechobee. So restore.
- 4 (Applause.)
- 5 MR. TIPPLE: Kim and then Bobby Billie.
- 6 MS. KIM STREIBER: Good evening, everybody.
- 7 My name is Kim Streiber, I'm an eighth generation
- 8 Florida native. Sorry.
- 9 I very much appreciate the agriculture and
- farming here as much as the coastal estuaries.
- 11 It is all what makes Florida such an amazing place.
- 12 I agree that slowing and cleaning water coming into
- the Lake is necessary, but that is not going to stop
- 14 the discharges east and west. Only a flow-way south
- 15 will do that.
- I want to make one thing very clear to everybody
- 17 here tonight. The people and organizations taking
- part in the movement to purchase Everglades
- 19 agricultural land are not doing it with the intention
- of putting farmers or ranchers around the Lake out of
- 21 business. Nor is it our intention to displace the
- residents of Belle Glade, Clewiston, South Bay, or
- any other community currently in place south of Lake
- Okeechobee. What we are fighting for is to restore
- 25 the natural flow of clean water south to the River of

Grass. It needs to replenish the aquifer. We only need a small portion of EAA land to clean and convey water south.

A contract was signed in 2008 that allowed the State to purchase land at fair market value. Funds exist due to the passing of Amendment One. The contract expires in 2020. That's why they want to hold off until 2021.

What we need is the political will to get that done before the contract is up. We all have to work together and do our part to clean our water, but so do our State leaders and governing boards.

MR. TIPPLE: 30 seconds.

MS. KIM STREIBER: Did you know the DEP and ERC, both Governor appointed, approved higher levels of carcinogens in our ground water today? They will now allow higher levels of benzine and other chemicals used in the fracking industry. Is that what you want for your grandchildren? It's not what I want.

(Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Bobby Billie?

MR. BOBBY BILLIE: Thank you. I'm a 15th generation since the beginning of creation. It looks so sad to see the people rely on the money, not by life, and you can't eat money. You can't drink

1	money. And you can't create the food. But people
2	manipulate so long and they think it's God now.
3	And we really do need to wake up before it's too
4	late. It's already too late. What things we see,
5	the Mother Earth we call, we understand it,
ô	indigenous people understand that God create us and
7	that's why we call it the Mother Earth.

When you cut yourself, it bleeds. So when you cut canals, it bleeds. It's simple. It's not difficult. You don't have to be a scientist to understand that. You're killing yourself. You're killing your future generations.

We talk about water, we tell them, the Water
Management and Army Corps of Engineers and anybody
else, developers, all those people, they need to
cover up those ditches. You can't live in the
wetlands complaining that we're underwater. You have
brain. The way that God had gave you, simple: Build
your home on the higher land. Simple. Be human. Be
human beings. Take care for your future generations,
their survival. That's what -- we all need to
understand that right now, we're killing our future
generations. Wake up. Tell Water Management, Army
Corps of Engineers and the Army "Cover all the
ditches, plant the grass." It's the reason that God

1	plant the grass in the water. It cleans the water.
2	That's what they're for. It's the reason why that
3	God create the trees, to renew the air. This
4	concrete, it don't create the air. It don't
5	regenerate anything, it just pollute and make
6	pollution.
7	In Florida we don't used to have mountains.
8	But now it's everywhere. Dumps the size 150 feet
9	high now everywhere.
10	Think about all of these. People needs to slow
11	down, if you want to live on God's creation. It's
12	given to us to take care of it so we can pass it on
13	to the next generation. Think about that.
14	Thank you.
15	(Applause.)
16	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Ira Cor. Ira? And
17	Daniel Andrews.
18	MR. IRA COR: Thank you for having this this
19	evening.
20	My name is Ira Cor, I'm the president of
21	Government Services Trust and Biochar Technology.
22	We did not expect to have only two minutes, we have
23	brought tangible plans, some to kill the
24	cyanobacteria, some to harvest the phosphorus, some
25	to clean up the environment as well as and all

1 100 percent, as Mrs. Osceola was pointing out, 2 100 percent holistically pure, no chemistry, just good thinking, good brain power, cost effective, and 3 4 we're hopeful that we can find a way to present this, not in this forum because it's not fair to everyone 5 that wants a chance to speak. But what we -- we have 6 7 spent the time to do is assemble real programs from 8 real places -- and it's proven, it's not pies in the 9 skv. So we would like to know where we can present 10 this information. Is it Mrs. Ehlinger? 11 MR. TIPPLE: Yes, and she's here today. MR. IRA COR: No, I understand that, I'm just 12 13 trying -- I'm trying to cut my part short so everyone 14 can speak. But I want to be sure that we want to 15 know where the focal point is. 16 MR. TIPPLE: That's the spot. MR. IRA COR: That's enough? Then I'll shut up. 17 18 MR. TIPPLE: Daniel Andrews. And then Dr. 19 Julie Bjornson. 20 MR. DANIEL ANDREWS: Daniel Andrews from 21 Captains for Clean Water. Thank y'all for having us 22 out here tonight. I'm a native Floridian and a 23 fishing guide. Our estuaries are suffering right now 24 in the Caloosahatchee River, the St. Lucie River, and

Florida Bay. It's sad to me; I always try to leave

the environment, my office, better than when I found it. But at this point it's dying way faster than I ever imagined it would. The oyster bars and the grass slots where I grew up fishing no longer exist. Massive fresh water discharges to the Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie Rivers have destroyed what I thought was going to be the office for the rest of my career.

Going down and seeing Florida Bay where 50,000 acres of seagrass has died, that's an unbelievable amount of biodiversity removed. That takes decades to recover; not weeks, not months, not a season.

I have a quote from the University of Florida Water Institute Study that I'm going to leave you with.

"The River of Grass planning process
demonstrated that there are several possible options
involving combinations of deep and shallow storage,
wet and dry flow-ways, coupled with STA's and
enhanced conveyance that could provide significant
benefit for both the estuaries and the Everglades,
far beyond the benefits provided by the Kissimmee
River Restoration, Indian River Lagoon South, C-43,
Restoration Strategies and CEPP projects. Achieving
substantial reduction in Lake-triggered discharges
to the estuaries and substantial improvement toward

1	the dry season Everglades demand target will require
2	additional land between the Lake and the Everglades
3	Protection Area."
4	I would ask the Army Corps of Engineers and the
5	South Florida Water Management District to keep that
6	in mind when planning for storage north and south of
7	the Lake.
8	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you.
9	MR. DANIEL ANDREWS: Thank you.
10	MR. TIPPLE: Julie Bjornson and next is Reverend
11	Patricia Wallace.
12	DR. JULIE BJORNSON: Hi, I'm Dr. Julie Bjornson
13	from Stuart, Florida. I'm involved in brain-based
14	neurology research
15	A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Speak up.
16	DR. JULIE BJORNSON: Okay. Is that better?
17	Okay. My name is Dr. Julie Bjornson and I'm involved
18	in brain-based neurology research.
19	You're all talking about the water stopping at
20	the Everglades. It doesn't stop at the Everglades.
21	I grew up in the Keys. I walked the sandbars, I
22	snorkeled the reefs, the reefs were beautiful, the
23	fish were beautiful. It was wonderful. The reefs
24	are dying out. The reefs are breached. That means

they're dying. The fish are dying.

We set up -- I used to -- my mother and I created a Florida Keys shell exhibit. They don't exist any more. So the shell exhibit exists in Marathon. You can go and see it, it's at the Natural History Museum.

But I'm really concerned about water quality. The reefs wouldn't be dying if the chemicals didn't have a half life. If they just were -- they would biodegrade when they tell you they're going to. But they don't. Those chemicals go right out into the ocean and they're destroying our reefs. They're destroying our water, they're destroying our way of life.

I'm concerned about water quality. Lisa Aley mentioned low Lake levels increase aquatic and invasive plants. Public records show high quantities of pesticides and herbicides are introduced into our water system to control these plants. They don't biodegrade, they have half-lifes. Long half-lifes.

With the development of more storage areas, will aquatic and invasive plants be a problem? And if so, will you -- how will you manage these plants and how will you treat them?

I would like to know where can I find information on the chemicals used, the amounts used,

1	and your treatment schedule. I am concerned. I am
2	very concerned about what is happening with the
3	amount of chemicals that are going in our water
4	system. You talk about clean water. It doesn't mean
5	you might take the phosphates out, but are you
6	taking all the other chemicals out? We need to look
7	at that. It is affecting our health.
8	These chemicals I'm involved in restoring
9	citrus groves naturally. They're coming back. We
0	have harvest now, we're reversing citrus greening
1	naturally. These chemicals, we need to stop it.
2	We need to have healthy food for our children so they
3	can grow, develop and learn and become productive
4	citizens.
5	Thank you.
6	(Applause.)
7	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Patricia Wallace and
8	next is Commissioner Bryant Culpepper.
9	A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: He left.
20	REVEREND PATRICIA WALLACE: Thank you for this
21	opportunity to speak. My name is Reverend Patricia
22	Wallace, I'm from Pahokee. I'm a lifetime resident
23	of the City of Pahokee and the State of Florida.
24	I am concerned that enough local people are at
25	the table of decisions. If you don't live where I

live, you don't know the impact of what your studiesare doing to the residents of City of Pahokee.

I know that we live off the farm land and farming is very important to our livelihood. To see a next generation of my children and grandchildren survive, you will impact us when water start flowing.

So I heard one young lady say they have no intent of displacing people. Can we get a statement from the Federal Government, from South Florida Management, from the Corps of Engineers that any land they purchase, any water they release will not replace, displace residents in Pahokee, Belle Glade, South Bay, Okeechobee, Clewiston, Moore Haven, around the Lake? We touch the Lake. I can walk out of my back door across the dike levy and I'm in the Lake. So to get water to a southern part of the land, you mean you have to sweep me away. Don't sweep me away. The Storm of '28 was enough upset for us. We had enough impact from that.

So whatever decisions this body make, please bring local people to the table. Bring young people to the table. Take the advice of some of these people who have products that will work. It seems that we have not tried what works. So do it -- if the money is there, use the money on what work and

1	stop researching things that you know are not going
2	to work and going to delay the process.
3	I pray that you will move forward, use research
4	that has been tested, and do not displace people with
5	the releasing of water.
6	Thank you.
7	(Applause.)
8	MR. TIPPLE: Mali Soto Gardner. And then Karson
9	Turner.
10	COMMISSIONER MALI SOTO GARDNER: Mr. Tipple,
11	thank you for the warm welcome tonight.
12	MR. TIPPLE: Warm.
13	COMMISSIONER MALI SOTO GARDNER: I would like to
14	thank you for that. And also Mr. Collins, I don't
15	know if he's here tonight still or if he's left, but
16	I wanted to thank the Water Management District for
17	sharing the facts, for publishing the facts.
18	I also wanted to thank them for Resolution
19	#2016-0711, which really asks for the Federal
20	Government to complete the work of the Herbert Hoover
21	Dike. It is critical for our community. I'm not
22	sure if I know you mentioned it, but my name is
23	Mali Gardner and I am a Clewiston City Commissioner.
24	And I'm concerned. Over the years I've seen our

community tossed to and fro by every single statement

that's made about Lake Okeechobee, by every single demand for "Flood these communities," every single demand to "Take the land." It has to stop. We're all in this together. We love our communities, we love our farmers, we love living on the tips of Lake Okeechobee. Just like this Reverend just said, I can walk out my door and go up on the levy and see sunrise and sunset on Lake Okeechobee. And you don't think we're proud of our Lake? We are proud of our Lake.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's why tonight I am here. I want to please, please encourage the Corps of Engineers to continue with this project and look at the land north of Lake Okeechobee. It is important for water quality, it is important for water storage, it is important to save Lake Okeechobee. And it is critical, critical that the funding be used to complete the projects that have already been approved by the State and Federal Government and get the projects done so that we can have a cohesive system in the north, the south, the east and the west. needs to be done, and it needs to be done quickly. Quit wasting money, and I agree with you, quit wasting money on other things; focus on the projects and get it done.

1 MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Karson. And then next 2 is Terry Torrens.

COMMISSIONER KARSON TURNER: Thank you very much. I'm Commissioner Turner from Hendry County.

You know, a lot of comments and a lot of things I disagree with being stated here tonight, a handful of things I do agree with. I'll tell you, for me, you know, buying land is not an option. It's something that should be taken off the table, it's asinine, it's going to delay a tremendous amount of projects that are on the books that are going -- that are going to create, you know, the releases, the issues that we have, those deluges that we have.

I've gone to D.C. the last four years in a row now with a handful of Commissioners from across the entire state from Orlando south, we've asked our Senators and our Congressional leaders to pass a water bill. We were fortunate enough to get one done three years ago, I believe it was. There was a seven-year gap.

I would ask everyone in this room to please reach out to their Congressional and Senatorial leaders and try to get a water bill acted on.

We need to revisit LORS right now. The current plan for the Corps of Engineers is to wait until

2020. I don't know what forecast that, you know, they couldn't model with the past few years that we've had, the past ten years. Go back and look. We've had crazy weather. You know, we've been in these rooms where the temperature has been hot, no pun intended, where everybody is pointing fingers, and we've seen this happen time and time again.

Corps of Engineers, I challenge you, don't wait until 2020. Move that ball down the field, get it going. Look at LORS right now, there's movement in there to store on the Lake.

You know, Commissioner Grieb from Osceola

County, she and I serve on the Lake O Coalition
together. You know, she's a champion. I'm excited
to hear about looking at possibilities up on the
northern end of the Lake -- excuse me, the Chain of
Lakes. And I think that Osceola County is a willing
partner. Terry Torrens is here tonight and she's
going to speak to that as well. But that's a
definite option that needs to be vetted.

And, you know, I just want to -- I just want to say that revisiting -- excuse me, buying land south, not an option. It takes our eyes off the prize of getting projects done. Economy to scale, y'all -- when you come out to Lake Okeechobee, I challenge you

1 to come to visit with me in Clewiston, America's 2 sweetest town. You know, I was born in Pahokee. You know, come on with it, come down. We'll go on 3 4 horseback, we'll go on an airboat, we'll go on a flats boat, whatever you want to do, and we'll talk 5 about it until the cows come home literally. And 6 7 we'll feed the Brahmans the longer you's out there 8 and you'll see what we're about. And we're the best 9 conservationists on the planet. Look at the water 10 that's coming off of our fields, look at what's 11 happening. And I say "we" collective; I don't grow 12 cane, okay? It's not how I make my living, I make my 13 living on bridges that go up and down with the 14 Florida Department of Transportation, but I'm here on 15 my dime tonight and I challenge y'all to come down to 16 Clewiston, Pahokee, Belle Glade, check us out sometime, you'll be amazed at the people and what 17 18 we're about. Thank you very much. 19 (Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Terry Torrens and then Cheryl Greiss -- Greib, I'm sorry.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TERRY TORRENS: Hi, Terry Torrens, Natural Resources Manager, Osceola County. I came down tonight with my Commissioner, Cheryl Greib, to talk about looking at the project area and being possibly

1	included in the solution. We're really glad to see
2	that the Corps and the Water Management District are
3	getting together to address the problems that we
4	currently are having with Lake Okeechobee and the
5	water releases.

Osceola County and the Upper Chain of Lakes isn't included in the project footprint in terms of the study and scoping. So we're just here saying that we're interested, we're willing partners, we think we have potential options up in the Upper Kissimmee basin and we would just like to be part of the plan.

So thank you and I'll introduce my Commissioner, Cheryl Greib.

MR. TIPPLE: Cheryl Grier (sic), I apologize.

And then Representative Heather Fitzenhagen will be next.

COMMISSIONER CHERYL GREIB: Hello, Cheryl Greib, I'm the Vice Chairwoman for the Osceola County Commission. And I would first like to thank the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Florida Water Management for hosting this public comment opportunity on this most important project.

I've already submitted a letter, so I won't bore you through that, but I wanted to say a couple other

things.

The headwaters, as most of you know, start in Orange County and flow through Osceola County, but these northern areas unfortunately are not included in the project boundary and planning areas. We understand that the water in our county flows south and its quantity and its quality are part of the overall problem. However, Osceola County is also willing to be part of that solution.

Aquifer storage and recovery would be a great fit in the Upper Kissimmee Watershed, it would be seen as favorable in the Central Florida Water Initiative to increase capacity in an area with limited water supply. We have large agricultural tracts that will be suitable in both location and function in our southern portions of our county that could house large storage facilities.

We're not asking for priority, we would just like to be included in the feasibility study and the project boundary.

The purpose of this project is to improve quality, quantity, timing and delivering of water. It's been stated that we need to have storage north of the Lake as part of the solution, and I could not agree more. It has been stated that this project is

a system-wide project, yet it excludes the UpperKissimmee basin.

Osceola County can be part of the solution if we are invited to be part of the process. Please allow us this opportunity.

I thank you.

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Representative Fitzenhagen and then next would be Dennis Duke.

REPRESENTATIVE HEATHER FITZENHAGEN: Thank you very much. I'm Representative Heather Fitzenhagen, I represent Lee County, Fort Myers, and my community is suffering with the water releases and the discharges from Lake Okeechobee and that's why I'm here today.

Folks, we are in a crisis. We're in a crisis of economics, we're in an environmental crisis, and now in a health crisis as a result of what's happening with discharges from the Lake. But I am not here to play the blame game. I am here to be open to any kind of solutions, but I have to say that what we're doing now isn't fast enough and it isn't enough. All these things discussed are great ideas and I think we should follow through with them. But it's not happening quickly enough and it is not including a flow-way south through the EAA, which I believe we

1	need and I believe the science supports that from the
2	University of Florida study.
3	(Applause.)
4	REPRESENTATIVE HEATHER FITZENHAGEN: Thank you.
5	So we need to find a way to do that. Now,
6	people talk about "We can't afford it, we don't have
7	the money." Well, Amendment 1 money was designated
8	for that, number one. And number two, who is to say
9	that the money I mean that the land might not be
10	donated? Maybe somebody wants to donate some land
11	that's south of the lake or maybe they would like to
12	swap some land with some other land in another area
13	of Florida to try to find a solution.
14	I don't think we should take any solutions off
15	the table, but we need to resolve this now, because
16	people in this room won't even be alive by the time
17	we get through with some of these projects. We will
18	not be alive to see the results.
19	So thank you very much, I appreciate your time.
20	(Applause.)
21	MR. TIPPLE: Dennis Duke.
22	MR. DENNIS DUKE: Good evening, I'm Dennis Duke,
23	I'm with the United States Department of the
24	Interior. Been in the Everglades for quite a while
25	and I was with the Corps before that working on this

project.

I applaud the efforts of the Water Management District and the Corps of Engineers in hosting this public meeting to start gathering information for this process. We look forward to working with them to address some of those problems that Newton Cook raised earlier regarding endangered species. Yes, we do have those, we don't want to wipe out our species as we try our restoration. But we believe the restoration will lead to the betterment of all those species, as well as the human environment.

And just backing up for a moment, I don't want to do this too long, but when somebody said that somebody promised 40 million dollars for this, look at the table that Matt went through a while ago of the projects that are currently underway. I mean, we've got the Kissimmee River Restoration, it's three-quarters of a billion project, billion-dollar project that's going to capture some of the water and slow it down before it gets to the Lake. This project is another piece.

Somebody was talking about how small, you know, the effect of this is. Keep in mind that all of these projects are designed and planned to work together. We've got this project coming on to

provide storage to help capture and slow the water down coming into Lake Okeechobee and clean it up before it actually gets into the Lake. Because you're right, we have a huge legacy phosphorus problem and nutrient load in the Lake that needs to be addressed. That's in part of the planning down the road someplace.

On the East and West Coast, we have the C-43 reservoir and the C-44 reservoir, both under construction by the Corps and the Water Management District.

Going south, we have the Central Everglades project, 1.9-billion-dollar project that's awaiting authorization in Congress to start moving that water south.

We have the Tamiami Trail Next Steps, the Mod.

Water project. Each of those nearly half a billion

dollars or more to help provide a flow-way to get the water south.

We appreciate this. This is just another piece of that overall puzzle.

And we really appreciate adding aquifer storage and recovery to this project. Before, my history with this has been mainly focused on surface storage and STA's. They consume land. With aquifer storage

and recovery, we can reduce the footprint of those projects and store the water underground. We need lots of storage.

So we strongly support this and look forward to working with you to help improve these issues with endangered species and other issues that crop up as we go.

Thank you very much.

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Thank you, Dennis.

So before I make a few closing remarks, what we're going to ask everyone to do is to exit from the back door because we have people waiting out in the lobby that they're going to come in through that door over there to fill in as you leave.

Appreciate the opportunity to present the information. Thank you for your time that you've given the study team in this project kickoff.

Again, all comments will receive equal consideration. If you have any additional information or comments or input, again, those addresses and e-mails by August 12th.

Again, thank you everyone and have a safe trip home. Also if you would like information (inaudible due to members of the audience talking and exiting room.)

1	(Whereupon, the Study Team provided a second
2	presentation of the project overview for members of
3	the public joining the proceedings.)
4	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you, Gretchen.
5	Again, thank you for your patience and longevity
6	this evening.
7	So, again, as Gretchen mentioned, you can send
8	her an e-mail with further input as well as or
9	mail it to her. Again, we're going to enter the
10	public comment period and this is a very extremely
11	important period for your voice to be heard. And
12	we're here to listen to your comments, understand
13	your concerns, and provide you the opportunity to put
14	your opinions on the record, should you care to do
15	SO.
16	Again, we had to do basically a double-up
17	presentation, so this has been video recorded as well
18	as not only presentation, but the previous
19	comments. And this session will also be recorded and
20	be posted on the website, in addition to a transcript
21	of the information presented and the comments made.
22	So for the portions that you missed earlier, you do

Again, all comments that we receive will be

have an opportunity to go to the website and look for

23

24

25

that information.

considered equally.

Okay. Individuals speaking tonight, I'll call them up to the microphone and then please come forward, state your name and, if applicable, what organization you represent. I ask you to keep your comments to the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project, such as the project area, constraints, management measures. And if you have any comments outside the scope of this meeting, I'd be happy to meet with you immediately following, or some of our study team members that have details will also be available.

As well as -- given the amount of comments we had the previous session as well as this time, we're asking you to try to -- I'm asking -- we're good?

To keep your comments, try to keep it to two minutes.

This is the yellow/green, yellow/red. So the yellow comes on at 30 seconds left.

So with that said, we'll get started.

The first individual will be Representative

Gayle Harrell -- Harrell, excuse me. And then the

next person in the queue will be Jennifer Hecker.

REPRESENTATIVE GAYLE HARRELL: Thank you very much. And I'm delighted to be here on the second sitting of the evening. So those of you who haven't had dinner yet, we'll do that later.

But I want to thank everybody who was here as well. As you know, the releases from Lake Okeechobee has been just devastating to our community, to Martin and St. Lucie Counties, and I can't tell you what we've been experiencing with the blue-green algae.

This has been extremely difficult on our community.

As far as the Corps' responsibility in this, I have several suggestions I would like to make on that, and then I would like to talk a little bit about the Watershed.

First of all, I think we really need about a two-week hiatus from the releases from Lake Okeechobee. We need a little bit of a break.

Fortunately, you've reduced some of the releases recently, but we need to make sure that -- if you could give us two weeks at least to flush our estuary, I think that would be extremely helpful for us.

Also we want to expedite the planning and the rehabilitation of the dike. That is key. The more -- the faster you can do that, the better it will be.

Also I think what we really need to do as well is to re-address the Integrated Delivery Schedule you just put up there. We really need to be able to plan

north of the Lake, which is what you're doing now, as well as south of the Lake.

I think the University of Florida study was very clear in that there is a need for additional land north of the Lake, very much so, but also south of the Lake. So as you do that integrated planning, please do that together. Look both north of the Lake -- your study right now, what you're doing now, is only addressing north of the Lake. We need to address south of the Lake as well.

I think the study from the University of Florida was very well done. Thank you, Joe Negron, Senator Joe Negron, who really expedited that. But I think it really indicates that we need to look south of the Lake, we need to move the water south and make sure that it gets down to -- gets down to the Everglades. It's a complicated process, I know that. We've been at this for many, many years. And the State of Florida has stepped up to the plate with Legacy Florida. I think the funds are there at the State level. We have over the next 19 years four to five billion dollars. So the Federal Government, the Army Corps needs to do your share.

So please, as you move forward with this, look across the whole area, expedite things, restore the

1	dike, let's look at the south side of the Lake as
2	well as the north side of the Lake, so that as you go
3	into that Integrated Delivery Schedule we really
4	address purchasing land south of the Lake.

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Jennifer Hecker, then Donna Melzer.

MS. JENNIFER HECKER: Jennifer Hecker on behalf of the Conservancy of Southwest Florida, here representing our 6,000 supporters, many who live along the Caloosahatchee River which has also been greatly impacted by the Lake releases.

First I just wanted to say that the project purpose was described as improving the quality, quantity, timing and distribution of water entering Lake Okeechobee. We would ask that it be expanded to talk about water entering and exiting Lake Okeechobee. The scope should be also expanded to look at both north and south of the Lake concurrently. The UF Water Institute study shows that both are required and they're interrelated to one another, so they should be looked at simultaneously.

There should also be a scoping meeting in

Fort Myers where those stakeholders can be able to

directly give input. Having it here in a remote

location hours away on a weekday evening is just not sufficient to allow them to be able to be heard.

The EAA is the missing piece of the puzzle.

Yes, we need CERP. Yes, we need watershed plans -plans and projects. We need more storage north, west
and east of the Lake. But the science shows that we
cannot fix the estuaries and the Everglades without
the EAA. In the Caloosahatchee, 61 percent of our
pollution is coming from Lake O releases. So in
order to treat it, we need to have a place to divert
that pollution, where it can be captured, cleansed
and conveyed back to where it historically flowed and
belongs, and that's the Everglades and Florida Bay
through what is now the Everglades Agricultural Area.

The District's Deputy Director in 2008 said it best when he said that acquiring EAA lands would, quote, "clean the water before it reaches the Everglades and store enough water to minimize harmful discharges," and, quote, "will work to build upon and enhance the Federal/State partnership of CERP."

It doesn't detract from CERP, it enhances CERP.

Also more storage, treatment and conveyance in the EAA is going to allow for continued expansive agriculture to continue on surrounding lands, more flood protection, and maintaining safe Lake levels

1	for the communities around the Lake by providing a
2	larger relief outlet and, again, diverting pollution
3	and excess water away from the northern estuaries
4	back to the Everglades and Florida Bay which
5	desperately need this water.
6	Finally, it's a false choice to say it's food or
7	clean water, it's the safety of inland communities or
8	the safety of coastal communities. The bottom line
9	is that EAA storage would improve conditions for all
10	of South Florida's communities and natural systems.
11	Some want to pit us against one another, but we
12	cannot let them.
13	We ask you to move forward with EAA storage
14	planning concurrently with north of the Lake storage
15	planning and so that we can sit down and work with
16	all the other stakeholders to find solutions that
17	benefit us all.
18	Thank you.
19	(Applause.)
20	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Donna and then Tony
21	Khoury.
22	MS. DONNA MELZER: Donna Melzer of Palm City and

We have a disaster on our hands. You've heard

the consequences in terms of environment, our economy

Martin County.

23

24

1 and our health. When it rains this summer, the toxic 2 discharges will continue. You're facing an angry, frustrated public, but with lots of knowledge. 3 4 Fixing the dike won't fix the -- our problem. The water from Lake Okeechobee has to be cleaned up 5 and sent south where it is needed. Yet because of 6 7 the way the system works, tonight is about north of 8 the Lake. Residents from the coastal estuaries to 9 Florida Bay will tell you that won't work. CERP is 10 supposed to be a partnership of the Federal 11 Government and the State of Florida. The Corps now 12 has a reluctant partner. The State is willing to 13 take Federal money and spend Amendment 1 money to 14 build storage reservoirs for water supply. Florida 15 officials have made it clear, however, that they are not willing to finish the job and plan for the key 16 piece in the puzzle that sends clean water south. 17 18 Without that piece, CERP is not comprehensive, 19 CERP will not restore Everglades National Park, and 20 create a functioning water management system for 21 South Florida. 22 We don't know how to tell you to solve this

we don't know how to tell you to solve this problem, but please find a way. Going forward with blinders on to plan for the north while ignoring what needs to happen next is not rational or defensible.

23

24

1	If the State is unwilling to be a full partner in
2	CERP, CERP will become a costly lesson in how to
3	destroy the environment instead of restoring it.
4	The two speakers ahead of me give me hope.
5	Let's move in the right direction. I have now
6	Everglades petitions if anybody hasn't already had
7	them already.
8	Thank you.
9	(Applause.)
10	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Tony Khoury, then Cara
11	Capp. Cara? Then next after Cara will be Rae Ann
12	Wessel.
13	MS. CARA CAPP: Hi, I'm Cara Capp with the
14	National Parks Conservation Association. I'm also
15	proud to serve as National Co-Chair of the Everglades
16	Coalition. We 61 members of the Everglades Coalition
17	are dissatisfied and very frustrated with the scope
18	of this project. We have contacted Secretary Darcy
19	and Governor Scott more recently asking very
20	specifically that we move up the IDS program to plan
21	for storage, treatment and conveyance south of Lake
22	Okeechobee beginning this year.
23	I understand that as recently as today,
24	Secretary Darcy expressed her willingness for the

Corps to begin planning south of Lake Okeechobee in

1	the EAA as soon as a local sponsor becomes available.
2	So I hope that the Water Management District,
3	especially given Rick Scott's declaration of the
4	state of emergency in four of the 16 counties of this
5	region, will work with the Federal partners to move
6	forward with EAA planning now.
7	Something has to happen in the EAA. People are
8	afraid. There's an ecological crisis. There's a
9	community crisis. People north, south, east and west
10	don't know what is going to happen. This is the time
11	to pull everybody together, to bring all the
12	stakeholders to look at all the different objectives
13	and take in all of this input and set forth a plan
14	that does the most good for the most people.
15	The Everglades Coalition stands for that the
16	most important thing is health and human safety for
17	all of the communities around our region. And we
18	look forward to being an active partner as we move

forward planning for our storage south and north of the Lake concurrently this year. Thank you.

(Applause.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Rae Ann, and then Paul Gray will be next.

MS. RAE ANN WESSEL: Good evening, Rae Ann Wessel on behalf of the Sanibel/Captiva Conservation Foundation.

Basically Everglades restoration depends on two solutions: Storage and a third outlet out of Lake Okeechobee. Storage is needed north as well as south. I think there was a good suggestion here about adding an opportunity for Osceola County to be more involved with that northern storage.

Simply put, we can do what we can north of the Lake, but any water that falls in the Lake falls in the Lake's Watershed or falls south of the Lake in the EAA isn't going to be stored north of the Lake. There needs to be storage, treatment and conveyance south of the Lake.

Our planning efforts of three years are too long, even at -- improvements from ten years, but they're too long. And to think about the fact that you'd do a north planning effort and then sequentially do a south planning effort means we're decades away from getting any kind of resolution.

We talk a lot about holistic planning, and that's what we're asking you to do. If you're going to start this planning process for north of the Lake, include south of the Lake because they really can't be parsed apart, they are two parts of a whole.

As was mentioned, Jo-Ellen Darcy has indicated

1	her willingness to move the EAA storage project up on
2	the IDS schedule. Now it's up to the local sponsors.
3	So let's see what the State can bring here.

The River of Grass planning process gave us a tremendous amount of information. We're not starting from scratch on south of the Lake storage. So there's a tremendous amount of information there, in the CERP plan, and the UF study, all of which document the need for storage, treatment and conveyance south of the Lake.

We all want and need the process to be expedited and we can't wait another four years for the process to begin. So we urge you to start that now coincidentally with the north of the Lake planning process to plan for south. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Paul will be followed by Dr. Thomas Van Lent.

MR. PAUL GRAY: Thank you for pronouncing my name, Paul Gray, correctly.

I work for Audubon of Florida. I've worked in this region for almost thirty years now and I actually worked on the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Project the first time we did it about ten years ago with some people here. And that took five years.

And so three years is a better time frame. And I actually don't joke about that; I think it's good you guys are trying to speed this up and the River of Grass or the CEPP exercise will show that you can do it -- it's hard, but we can do it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But with that in mind, when we did the first Lake Okeechobee Watershed project, they came up with some reservoirs and STA's and it stored about 300,000 acre-feet of water and it cleaned eighty or a hundred tons of phosphorus. But it didn't really meet all the goals for the Lake. And so when they read the models, it wasn't very satisfying; it didn't fix the Lake very much, it didn't fix the estuary releases, and so I complained to the study team, they said "Well, we're going to do an ASR around the Lake and that's going to take care of part of the problem and we're going to have a big reservoir in the EAA and that's going to take care of it. When we're done with all these things, then it will add up." But that's kind of the problem of doing a project in isolation, is you don't really know what else is going to go on. And an ASR no longer is what it used to be, we don't think it can do as much. We don't have the storage reservoir in the EAA right now. And was mentioned, Secretary Darcy wrote a letter to

Representative Murphy today and said that the Corps is ready to move ahead with planning for an EAA reservoir if they can get a local sponsor. And it's really an opportunity for us -- you know, north and south have to fit together, they're part of the same jigsaw puzzle. So if we can plan those together in this effort, that's really an opportunity to give us a better answer than just what we do up north. Because it kind of gives you an isolated answer and you're not really sure if you've got the right answer if you just model part of the Watershed.

So we hope you guys will be able to find a way to link that together.

And in that same sense, we want to rehydrate this Watershed. This is a very natural watershed. It's got all kinds of branches with wetlands and it's not pristine, but it's semi-natural, has a lot of value for a lot of wildlife. So recovering its big features is kind of a concern to us. What we like to do is ideally wet the Watershed as much as we can and restore as many wetlands, do as many projects like that as we can, and then find out how much that changes the Lake and the estuary response and then when you build a reservoir, you could build one that will be the right size and response as we did in the

Watershed. I'm not sure how that would work. I don't envy you guys, because this is going to be hard to figure these questions out. But we hope we can take a stab at it. What else are we going to do with this Watershed is going to add to whatever this project is to see if we're getting the right final answer.

In a similar note, also the study area is the southern part of the Watershed. It's not even half the Watershed. And we hope we can expand it to the whole Watershed. Because there's a lot of stuff upsteam of what we're looking at that may go some way to (inaudible).

So thank you very much. We're going to submit written comments, you can't get it all in in two minutes. So thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Mr. Van Lent?

DR. THOMAS VAN LENT: My name is Tom Van Lent, I'm here representing the Everglades Foundation. We'll be presenting written comments because I know the time is short here, so I'll just summarize by saying we urge the Corps and District to expand the scope of this project to include storage in the EAA as well as north of Lake Okeechobee. Since Secretary

Darcy has indicated her willingness to do so, I guess
the comment is really directed to the District and I
think -- they were here.

MR. MORRISON: We're here.

DR. THOMAS VAN LENT: Matt is here? I guess that comment is for you.

I think there's a couple really -- very important reasons for that. One, it's very urgent. The turnout at this meeting is unlike anything I've seen in 32 years of Everglades restorations. This is astonishing. Clearly indicating that this is a concern of very high priority to the public.

The second thing is storage matters. Where you put the storage matters. You need to look comprehensively at how the storage interacts and what benefits are provided. It is true, for example, a north of Lake Okeechobee reservoir could provide real water supply benefits to Lake Okeechobee, but according to the Florida Legacy Act sponsored by Representative Harrell and Representative Fitzenhagen, who was here earlier, the State has to prioritize those projects that decrease damaging releases to the estuaries. And these -- this project may not be the one that maximizes the discharges -- benefits to the estuaries. So you have other things

to think about here. And expanding the scope is the only way to really address that.

Lastly, I would say you should very -- look very closely to expedite this 3/3/3 planning process to look at the past Lake Okeechobee Watershed PIR, this isn't the first time you've looked at this; there were some really key issues that came out of that, some policy, some technical. For example, a policy question that I think should be answered in the scoping process are things like is the Corps willing to cost share a project that's primarily to benefit the State -- to meet State water quality standards.

Other things like what are the habitat effects for the siting. What -- lay those things out clearly so we know what the criteria you're going to use to address these questions.

So with that, I'll say we'll submit our written comments. Thank you.

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Maggy Hurchalla? And then after Maggy will be Steve Davis.

MS. MAGGY HURCHALLA: CERP is at an impasse.
We're stuck in the mud. If we do not get out of that
mud hole in the next year, we'll be in the same mud
hole twenty years from now.

I -- quite recently I went over to talk to Bubba

Wade and I asked him why we couldn't send water south. And he said they don't need it. When CEPP is done, the National Park does not want more water and can't use more water. So I called Shannon Estenoz of the Interior, and I said "Is that true?" And she said "No."

Well, this is not just a problem of Interior versus U.S. Sugar, and we went to Water Management District meetings last year and desperately begged them to exercise the 40,000-acre option. And the Chairman of the Water Management District Board told us we didn't understand, there were constraints, and you couldn't move the water south.

I was dismayed to hear that somebody might have misinterpreted the introductory comments to this meeting as meaning that when CEPP was complete and northern storage was complete, we were done. That's not what CERP says. I was there in the beginning. We need to move the water south. If we are not going to move the water south, if a local sponsor is going to get a bunch of reservoirs to make water supply and get 50/50 Federal matching funds for that and then walk away and declare victory, they will have pulled off a colossal fraud on the people of the United States. CERP was about saving the Everglades.

CERP was about sending the water south from Lake
Okeechobee. If we do not get the local sponsor,
the Federal Government, and the landowners together
in the next year before the option expires, we are
not going to be able to finish CERP and we're not
going to be able to finish what CERP was supposed to
be all about.

If we can't do that, if we can't actually sit them down -- and I'm told that's not the process.

The Corps can't do that process, life is complicated. Change the process, then. Go to Congress, tell them we want a joint purchase of land now south of the Lake so we can show we can finish CERP. If you're not going to finish it, don't waste money building a lot of water supply things.

If you're not going to be able to finish CERP, if you're not going to own the land that will allow you to finish CERP and move the water south, then tell the people on the coastal estuaries that their estuaries are going to die. Tell Miami they're going to lose their water supply. And tell the nation and the world that you're going to kill Everglades National Park and Florida Bay. We can't keep pretending.

Thank you.

	(Applause.)
--	-------------

water to the south.

2 MR. TIPPLE: Steve Davis and then Alisa Coe.

MR. STEVE DAVIS: Hi, I'm Steve Davis
representing the Everglades Foundation. I don't
think I could say it much better than Maggy just
spoke to y'all. But I would like to point out that,
you know, not to diminish the crisis that we're
seeing in Stuart, the situation in the Caloosahatchee
with these massive discharge events and the effects
that that's had on those communities, but we also
have a situation in Florida Bay with a lack of fresh

We know that when you incorporate consideration of storage to the south of Lake Okeechobee, and we're confident that you view the significance of this and consider that in your planning process, that not only will you see the value of that particular project and reducing the discharges to the east and west, but it also provides that outlet to the south.

I agree with Matt Morrison, there's no single project that's going to restore the Everglades ecosystem. So we should be looking at projects that provide the biggest overall benefits, the most bang for the buck. We know Everglades restoration is worth it. We've done the economic studies, we've

also seen the impacts of the way water is currently managed in the system and the impacts that that's had on our economies in South Florida. We know that if we can flow this water to the south, we get the benefits to the estuaries, we also get the benefits at the southern end of the system. So thank you. (Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Alisa?

MS. ALISA COE: Hi, my name Alisa Coe. I'm an attorney with the Florida Office of Earth Justice. We are a nonprofit environmental law firm. We represent citizens in suits to enforce the Clean Water Act and to enforce other environmental laws and we've worked for decades on trying to clean up Florida's waters and protect the Everglades.

As we all know, there's been some big mistakes made in trying to re-engineer the Lake Okeechobee Watershed and the Everglades and by failing to control the fertilizer, manure and sewage pollution that is fouling our waters. You know, we need to clean the pollution up, not just move it around.

We have a system that is in critical condition and Band-Aids are just not going to work any more.

It's time for a comprehensive solution to this

110

1	problem and that requires planning south.
2	You know, there's an old saying that says
3	"The best time to plant a tree is 20 years ago and
4	the second best time is today." We can't wait any
5	longer. We need to start looking at the whole
6	problem and including the south.
7	And as a last remark, I would just say, you
8	know, we saw today the kind of turnout that happened
9	by the public. And that that should show you guys
10	how important it is to have more of these meetings,
11	to have them around the region and to include as many
12	citizens as possible. These are important voices and
13	we need to make sure that they're all heard.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Sean Hansen, I believe?
16	MR. SEAN ATKINSON: Atkinson?
17	MR. TIPPLE: Sorry, I apologize. And then
18	Zachariah Cosner is next.
19	MR. SEAN ATKINSON: Is that good? I hate going
20	after the experts.
21	Okay. The overall impression to summarize a
22	lot of the comments that I saw before, the thing I
23	would like to say is that the needs of the many
24	outweigh the needs of the few. And while the

concerns of the local farmers are extremely valid

and I would love to be living here, it's great here, nobody I imagine is envisioning taking over anybody's lands without paying for them. That's just not what the U.S. Government does. So I don't see exactly what the concern is. Everybody that -- makes out pretty well when a road goes by and they need to take your land because they want to widen the road, you make out pretty well with that. Nobody goes to the poor house after that transaction. So I thought that was one thing that was worth mentioning; nobody is being robbed of their lifestyle without due compensation.

The other thing that I thought about was it's a well-known legal precedent that upstream communities do not get to unilaterally defile a body of water for downsteam communities to suffer. More dramatically, an upstream community does not get to unilaterally dam and divert the river. I realize this was done many, many years ago, but it's still what happened. The river was dammed and diverted. And we need to undo that, it's as simple as that.

I'm not sure why the most reasonable thing to do, which is to refresh the Southern Everglades, is resisted so passionately, but it is a fairly straightforward idea. There is just no fresh water

1	in the Southern Everglades and a lot of the fresh
2	water that they're talking about I forget what the
3	term is exactly, but containing and in the north part
4	of Lake Okeechobee is water that is going to be very
5	much needed and has been needed now for years in the
6	Southern Everglades where it's completely parched.
7	If the grass, the seagrass is dying in the east and
8	the west side of our state due to too much fresh
9	water, it's also dying in the southern part of the
10	state due to too much salt water. The whole thing is
11	out of whack. That needs to be restored.

That's it basically. Thank you very much for your time.

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Zachariah Cosner and next up after him is Mark Perry.

MR. ZACHARIAH COSNER: My name is Zach Cosner, I'm from Miami-Dade.

First I would like to echo calls to have additional scoping meetings held in places closer to the coastal estuaries, such as Fort Myers. It's impossible to expect that the full range of stakeholders are actually going to have their voices heard here if, you know, they have to go an hour and a half to three hours just to go to a single scoping meeting on a weeknight. I myself had to leave work

early just to arrive late to this meeting, but I made the trip anyway because, simply put, this is an issue that affects every citizen of South Florida.

Now, if I had a darker sense of humor, I would find it absolutely funny that at the same time that our coastal estuaries are being hammered by an excess of fresh water, the seagrass beds of Florida Bay are facing the greatest die-out they've seen since 1980. The culprit being too little fresh water. It's ridiculous. And simply put, we need to send more water south. It seems like a sick joke, but it's not a joke at all. It's a very sad reality, the legacy of decades of half measures and insufficient solutions. We can't rely on half measures any more.

The population of Florida is expected to increase by 22 percent by the year 2030. Just as salt water intrusion driven by sea level rise threatens our -- the aquifers upon which 80 percent of us here in South Florida rely, we cannot allow the well to run dry.

I've heard it said by wiser men than myself that people don't really appreciate the value of fresh water until the well is dry. Well, right now we're lucky enough to have some water left. We need to make sure that we plan for the future of our state.

1 Thank you very much.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Mark Perry. And then 3 Erin Willis will be next.

> MR. MARK PERRY: Mark Perry, Florida Oceanographic Society, also a member of the Everglades Coalition and Water Resource Advisory Commission and others. But you know what? We're planning to today -- and I know the project boundary talks about about 922,000 acres, but the study area of the whole 2.6 or 3.6 million acres needs to really be in place. And the technical reports and all of them look at the scope of the Upper Chain of Lakes needs to be included in the planning effort as well because it's part of the study area, but also south of the Lake. As we've been mentioning before, the coastal estuaries were never attached, the northern estuaries were never attached to the Everglades, the River of Grass, from the Upper Chain all the way down to the tip of Florida. And that timing is what we have lost. We've lost that timing.

And that -- you talk about quality, quantity, timing and distribution. Well, we've lost the timing. The six or eight months that used to meander down the Kissimmee, finally get there, now it's taking two to three days. And we get about

1.6 million acre-feet a year coming down into Lake
Okeechobee from the Watershed, including 346 metric
tons of phosphorus a year at 172 parts per billion.
And we set a total maximum daily load back in August
of 2001 for Lake Okeechobee at 105 metric tons a year
and 40 parts per billion. And those of us on the
East Coast and the West Coast have set our TMDL's and
they're dependent on the TMDL for the Lake. So in
the Watershed -- the Lake Okeechobee Watershed
project, you need to find every bit of storage,
attenuation of flow and everything you can, including
Lake Okeechobee -- you know, projects all around the
Lake.

And if you do that through distribution, management, storage or other kinds of storage or restoring wetland storage up in the Upper Kissimmee Valley, that's what needs to be done. Moving more water north. But it has to include the discussion of going south with the water the way the River of Grass flowed. The gentleman that spoke about that river flowing south one mile every four days, it used to take 16 months to get to the tip of Florida. And it doesn't do that now. We shunt it out, we shunt it east and west, and we don't put it south and so the EAA storage has to happen. We have to move that

discussion up like Secretary Darcy suggested, to right now in July start discussing north and south of the Lake to move that water south through the EAA storage reservoir. And that needs to happen. That discussion needs to come back on line again. And that's what -- we're not going to put farmers out of business, we don't want people to get out of business. It's not going to flood people south of the Lake either, it's going to really provide that storage and quantity of -- conveyance and storage and treatment of water we need to move south to stop the damaging discharges to the coastal estuaries.

Thanks.

(Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Erin Willis and then
Martha Musgrove. Martha? And then Sarah Mucha. And
I apologize if I got that wrong.

MS. MARTHA MUSGROVE: My name is Martha Musgrove and I represent the Florida Wildlife Federation.

The Florida Wildlife Federation has taken a great interest in Lake Okeechobee for many, many years and funded much of the research that led to the various Lake Okeechobee protection plans and to the Kissimmee restoration because the Kissimmee restoration was impacting Lake Okeechobee very

adversely. So I welcome this Watershed study.

1

Because I think we've reached a point here on --2 the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan 3 4 properly reflects the connections between the 5 Kissimmee, Lake Okeechobee and Everglades systems. And it is a -- it was historically a flow-through 6 7 system and it must become another flow-through 8 system, not a flow-out system. The flow-through 9 system, and that requires a good deal of integration, 10 not only between the projects, the separate projects, 11 68 projects are a lot of projects to deal with, but 12 each one has a function and each one is integral to 13 another one and they must remain connected. And we 14 have seen the division that happens because of the 15 way we fund it project-by-project and the way we 16 treat them planning project-by-project, we leave out the connection. Such as in South Dade, we have lost 17 18 the contract -- I mean we were delayed because of the 19 contract, eight was never implemented. It is now 20 being constructed. It will work. All of the tests 21 have shown it will work. And that's the same 22 situation that you reach in the Northern Everglades, 23 in the Kissimmee Valley; that we have the Kissimmee 24 River restoration that is not quite connected, 25 integrally connected to the rest of the Everglades

1	program.
2	So we have too much fresh water here, we have
3	too little fresh water in Florida Bay, integrating
4	the system both project-wise and schedule-wise, the
5	regulatory operation schedules become much more
6	important.
7	We will submit some written comments on your
8	proposal.
9	Thank you.
10	(Applause.)
11	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Sarah?
12	And Martha mentioning the written comments, so I
13	recognize that quite a few folks have more lengthy
14	comments. So again, I point to the mailing address
15	or e-mail address.
16	MS. MARTHA MUSGROVE: And thank you for
17	extending the deadline to August 12th, because
18	tomorrow was going to be hell.
19	MS. SARAH MUCHA: Hi, my name is Sarah Mucha.
20	First off, I would like to thank you for
21	allowing public comment tonight. That is very
22	important, that citizens are able to get involved.
23	I think that it's important that we have a voice as
24	well.
25	In Florida we have a very unique and very

ESQUIRE REPORTING - STUART AND FORT PIERCE, FLORIDA

fragile group of ecosystems ranging from our estuaries, our seagrasses, and all the way out to our sea, our coral reefs. This is very important to us Floridians and to all of the other residents of the United States, and even outside of the country that come here to vacation.

We're in a crisis. And we are damaging these valuable resources. And that will affect our health, our livelihood, and also our tourism. We can't wait until 2020 to do something about this.

I support the 200 plus Everglades scientists that believe that increasing the storage, the treatment and the conveyance of the water south of Lake Okeechobee. It's essential to stop these damaging discharges. They're damaging our estuaries and they're also damaging our coral reefs. Not only that, but we need to protect the drinking water of over eight million Floridians.

The science is sound. The money is available thanks to 75 percent of Florida voters who, in 2014, voted for Amendment 1. We need to identify and secure the land, clean the water, and then send it south. It's now or never.

Thank you very much.

25 (Applause.)

1	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Eliza Butler, followed
2	by Bob Butler. And appreciate
3	MR. ROGER BUTLER: If she's not here, do I get
4	four?
5	MR. TIPPLE: No. But mention your name and if
6	you're with an organization, who you represent.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. ROGER BUTLER: My name is Roger Butler, I'm
9	a resident of Highlands County. I live on the banks
10	of the Kissimmee River. I was born in Hollywood
11	where a dairy farm originally was. Have a beautiful
12	place today called Kieway (phonetic) Park, if any of
13	you are familiar with that, that was once our dairy.
14	Don't really have any planned talk here. This
15	meeting was to talk about north of Okeechobee.
16	Everything I've heard here so far has been talking
17	about south of Okeechobee. I totally agree, we need
18	to send that water south. Doesn't make sense to send
19	it out the estuaries. But also remember, your
20	estuaries have a lot of water that have gone into
21	those estuaries that didn't come from Lake
22	Okeechobee.
23	I said that I lived on the banks of the
24	Kissimmee River. I've visually seen what the river
25	restoration project that's in progress right now is

doing. I told my DEP inspector that inspects my dairy farm four months ago, "Be prepared. You're fixing to see one of the biggest algae blooms you've ever seen." We're sending more silt and more product down that river today than has been done since the last restoration project.

This gentleman here gave me a -- Mr. Cook gave me a sheet earlier and I read that. You're talking about getting rid of the sludge in Lake Okeechobee. We talked about north, we talked about south, there's more muck and sludge in Lake Okeechobee that we haven't addressed. It's been talked about before. You're not going to have clean water going anywhere until you get rid of the muck in the Lake. Canfield said years ago you're going to have nutrients in a lake that has a muck bottom. Can't change that.

The business, once again, on the river, I don't understand the concept that we use, that the Corps has always used where we flush from the bottom; the gates open from the bottom and we take everything that is on the bottom and send downstream instead of having a spillway type situation.

Another idea on the Kissimmee River, I've always been told it doesn't have enough energy, but we have a lot of storage there that we do not have or we're

not going to have when we remove the next structure. Without a structure, there's nothing to keep that water held back in times of need. We're never going to see the river like it was even after restoration because used to, what caused that water to move, what was it, four days to take the movement a mile, was because it was completely clogged up with hyacinths, okay? I can remember a little kid, when we first came here to Okeechobee, they had to dynamite the hyacinths out from underneath the wood bridge across Highway 70 out here across the Kissimmee River to keep from failing the bridge. That's the way the whole system worked. The hyacinths clogged everything up and that kept that water moving slow.

What we have today with the river restoration project is we have the ability to put a bunch more water than ever came in quicker, choke it down through the old channel that what's been done out there right now has washed -- areas that were four feet deep are now twenty feet deep because of the velocity of that water capability coming down the river. Trees are falling in right and left. And all of that sand and silt that's been stirred up from that is in Lake Okeechobee. So until we address taking the silt out of Lake Okeechobee, Lake

1	Okeechobee water, the water going out is not going to
2	get any cleaner.
3	My time is up, I've been told. Thank you.
4	(Applause.)
5	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Bob or Kimberly
6	Mitchell, please, followed by LaVita Holmes. Either
7	one of those are either of you here?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. TIPPLE: Okay. We'll move on to Margaret
0	Kremer. And then after Margaret, we'll have Terry
1	Hamilton.
2	MS. MARGARET KREMER: Hi, everyone. It's been
3	quite an experience for me to be here. I'm not a
4	heavy hitter from a group. I strongly support all of
5	you in your efforts and what you're doing here.
6	You're trying to protect my interests. I'm just a
7	homeowner here in Florida.
8	In 2003 I bought and was very excited to buy a
9	home on the South Fork of the St. Lucie River. It's
20	a beautiful little nook. It was a beautiful little
21	nook up until January of two thousand of this
22	year. We spent almost two million dollars on this
23	home. That was my life's investment.
24	Everyone talks about who is protecting or
25	watching out for the interests of the farmers south

of Lake Okeechobee. They're going to be compensated. Everybody knows and the science dictates we need to move that water south. They are going to be compensated. Who is talking about compensating me for a home that was worth two million dollars that today, if I put it on the market, is not worth a penny. It's not even worth a penny to me; I'm scared to live there now. Because it's not even a question of just my financial investment for the rest of my life, it's my health. Who is checking or regulating or watching for what's going to happen to my health with going out on my dock to have a cup of coffee in the morning and breathing that filth twenty years from now? What's going to happen to all of us? This needs to be done now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All of these graphs, all of these tables, all of these charts look fantastic, but the one thing that I'm hearing over and over again is we don't have the time for that. This is a crisis. This is an emergency and something needs to happen now and it does need to be addressed in both directions, not just north, but it has to go south. Compensate the people for their land down south and keep in mind that there's other people living in the State of Florida as well.

1	Thank you.
2	(Applause.)
3	MR. TIPPLE: Terry Hamilton, followed by Jacqui
4	Thurlow-Lippisch. I apologize.
5	MS. TERRY HAMILTON: Hi, good evening, my name
6	is Terry Hamilton, I'm with the Surfrider Foundation
7	for the Palm Beach County Chapter.
8	Of course, I'm definitely not an expert, we're a
9	grassroots organization, all volunteer based. But I
10	hear the experts and I continue to go to the rallies
11	and go to these meetings so that I can continue to be
12	educated on different things.
13	So obviously the work that you're doing we
14	know that you're doing and you're trying to make
15	something here happen and make it work and we
16	appreciate that. So don't let that go understated.
17	But everything I'm hearing and from all the rallies
18	and what I'm learning even myself yes, I'm a born
19	and raised Floridian, so this is where my entire
20	three generations live we have to move it south.
21	And I mean, I know you hear that all night and
22	I know we're talking about the north and I did have
23	some questions about that, but I'll ask off-line.
24	But just my comment is here, and I know we all are

already in agreement by hearing everyone, but we need

1	to it really starts, to me, with our elected
2	officials. So just and I know you're already out
3	there doing it because you're here tonight. But
4	November is coming and there's some petitions out
5	there I mean, I'm sorry, but our Governor, and I
6	will say it here and I'm sorry, but he's got to go.
7	But I'm sorry.
8	But please, if you can include, just like
9	everyone is saying, I don't understand why it's
10	beginning to be so hard or has been and continues to
11	be so hard to get that south planning in with the
12	north. I don't understand. I guess I'm going to
13	keep learning and keep learning from all of you.
14	But can you please look at that? There has to
15	be a way. It is a crisis and we cannot wait. We
16	cannot wait.
17	So again, I thank everyone for being here.
18	I know everyone is tired. And thank you for staying
19	everyone.
20	(Applause.)
21	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Jacqui, and then the
22	next person is Rachel Curran Curran.
23	COMMISSIONER JACQUI THURLOW-LIPPISCH: Hello,
24	everybody. I just want to take a few minutes to say
25	thank you to the Army Corps of Engineers for doing

this tonight and I really admire your scoping project. I really do. And I admire that it allows people to talk.

What I do have to point out here is just the incredible disconnect for those of us who live in Martin County especially and I think also in Lee County.

As you know, we have experienced this terrible situation with the blue-green algae. So here we in May had blue-green algae in Lake Okeechobee, 33 square miles, and then it morphed into over 200 square miles and people have been going through -- I can't -- it's hard for me to explain to you. Your adrenaline is pumped up, people have been living truly in a state of emergency for the past months. And so during this same time, we get information that y'all are holding this meeting and that's great, but there's a huge disconnect here.

The connection for us where we live is that we have been working for years to try to get water to move south. And unfortunately, the farm lands in the EAA are blocking that solution. And we really know that it is just -- it's wonderful that you did this, but it is unfair that you only gave us today. It took me 45 minutes, 50 minutes to drive here. I will

drive home tonight hoping I don't have a head-on collision on 714. I mean, you guys have got to have more meetings for people from our neck of the woods to express themselves. This is a revolution where we're from. We're not kidding. This is something -- the South Florida Water Management knows it. Ask them about it. And we're not trying to make it up. This is real for us. And we appreciate your going through the motions and having this meeting, but I think you got the message tonight that we need more meetings and we need more opportunities to speak and we need to blend north and south together for a new Florida.

14 Thank you.

15 (Applause.)

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. Frank -- Frank

Jackalone and then Mike Conner.

MS. RACHEL CURRAN: Hi, my name is Rachel
Curran, I'm a law clerk with the Center for
Biological Diversity. We advocate for endangered
species across the country and right here in Florida.

To quote the Fish and Wildlife Service in its recently issued biological opinion for the 2016 Everglades Restoration Transition Plan, "Continued CERP implementation delay is unacceptable."

The Corps provided this plan and its stated purpose, seeming to request evaluation in the larger context of future CERP projects with their target dates of implementation. This project's ability to meet its stated goals must be reviewed in its own right because for decades now the Corps has provided timelines that have come and gone with little improvement where it counts.

What this plan amounts to is another unacceptable delay and that delay is unacceptable to the Cape Sable seaside sparrow, the Everglades Snail Kite, the samaltude (phonetic) crawfish and the Florida manatee. The science tells us we need storage both north and south of the Lake. Please expand this project scope to provide true relief for the Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie estuaries and begin restoring hydrology where it matters the most.

We will be submitting written comments.

MR. TIPPLE: Thank you, Rachel. Frank Jackalone.

MR. FRANK JACKALONE: Thank you. I'm Frank

Jackalone. I'm the Florida Director of the Sierra

Club.

I want to say that what's facing all of us is that this is -- this plan has some merits and we

appreciate the hard work that the staff put into it, but the greater need, the greater need is to move water south of Lake Okeechobee. It's a greater need that's what is needed to protect millions of people, their lives, their property, both in the estuaries and ultimately in South Florida as well. We already have seen algae spilling over south as water releases have had to go down to the Lake Worth Lagoon. We know that if we don't restore the Everglades, the impact of climate change is going to destroy more and more property of people in Miami. And we need Everglades restoration for that purpose as well.

Ultimately it's the sugar industry that is stopping the protection of all of us and protecting what needs to be done. They're stopping the restoration of the Everglades. We have the right and the responsibility to protect people along the coast, to protect people in South Florida. We don't have the -- we don't have an obligation to protect those sugar farms -- those sugar farms. The sugar farms are needed to restore the Everglades, not all of them, but an important portion of them. We need to move forward.

I ask you to combine the planning processes together. But the most important thing, more

1	important than this study, is right now making a
2	determination to move water south, to buy the land
3	and to start the planning process.
4	Thank you.
5	(Applause.)
6	MR. TIPPLE: Thank you. And Mike Conner, are
7	you still here?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. TIPPLE: Okay. That completes the cards.
10	Again, all comments that we receive both tonight, as
11	well as those that are submitted by August 12th to
12	Dr. Ehlinger or at the at the mailing address or
13	the e-mail will have equal consideration.
14	I would like to thank everyone for taking time
15	out and being very patient for a long evening, but we
16	certainly value your input and comments.
17	Again, if you have any additional questions, you
18	would like to discuss any aspect of tonight's
19	presentation, there is still our Corps of Engineers
20	and Water Management District team here that could
21	meet with you, view the posters.
22	Again, I thank you for your time and hope y'all
23	have a safe ride home. Thank you.
24	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 10:00 P.M.)
25	*****

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	COUNTY OF MARTIN)
3	
4	
5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
6	
7	I, KATHY CABRE ENLOE, Registered Professional
8	Reporter, do certify that in the matter of the LAKE
9	OKEECHOBEE WATERSHED PUBLIC MEETING, a Public Meeting was
10	held beginning at the hour of 6:30 P.M. on the 26th day
11	of July, 2016; that I was authorized to and did
12	stenographically report the proceedings in that Public
13	Meeting, and that the foregoing pages, numbered 2 through
14	131, comprise a true and correct transcript of those
15	proceedings.
16	DATED this,
17	2016.
18	
19	
20	
21	KATHY CABRE ENLOE
22	
23	
24	
25	