

Lake Okeechobee Watershed Restoration Project
Project Delivery Team Meeting Exercise
September 27, 2017

Exercise Directions.

Please see below assessment criteria for how the USACE evaluates alternatives through the plan formulation process. Provide feedback on as many categories as you prefer on the evaluation and comparison of alternative plans. Please refer to alternative placemat for specific feature placement and sizing. If you would like more time to complete, you can email your assessment after the meeting to the project manager, Tim Gysan, at Earl.T.Gysan@usace.army.mil

Responses to Evaluation Criteria	Alternative 1Br	Alternative 2A	Alternative 2B	Alternative 2Cr
Efficiency. Consider how well alternatives maximize benefits compared to cost (economic analysis).				
Effectiveness. How well does the alternative meet planning objectives? (consider model results)				
Completeness. Does the alternative rely on substantial activity by others or can it provide benefits relatively independently?				
Acceptability. Acceptance by State and local entities, Tribes, stakeholders, and the public and compatibility with existing laws, regulations, and public policies. Can be technical economic, financial, environmental, social, political, legal, or institutional.				
Alternative Impact Assessment (4 Accounts)				
A. National Economic Development. Impacts to the economic value of the goods and services that result directly from a project (can be positive or negative).				
B. Environmental Quality. Favorable or undesirable changes in the ecological, aesthetic, and cultural attributes of natural and cultural resources.				
C. Regional Economic Development. Changes in regional economic activity resulting from each alternative (can be positive or negative)				
D. Other Social Effects. Including but not limited to community impacts; life, health, and safety factors.				