# LAKE OKEECHOBEE WATERSHED PROJECT

INTEGRATED PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT & ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Project Delivery Team Meeting December 14, 2016



*Trusted Partners Delivering Value Today for a Better Tomorrow* 

Alternative Martin Started & alter Martin Provide





US Army Corps of Engineers BUILDING STRONG<sub>®</sub>









DECEMBER 14, 2016 PDT



**BUILDING STRONG** 

due At official and said

## **ENGINEERING SUB-TEAM UPDATES**



DECEMBER 14, 2016 PDT



**BUILDING STRONG** 

ation Martinelland and

## **ECOLOGICAL SUB-TEAM UPDATES**



### PLAN FORMULATION UPDATE Okeechobee Stages and Discharges to Estuaries



#### Identified Range of Above Ground Storage Options

- Static Storage Capacity 150,000 ac-ft to 350,000 ac-ft
- Identified Range of Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR) Wells
  - 60 to 80 ASR Wells
  - Maximum Capacity: 335,000 ac-ft/yr to 450,000 ac-ft/yr

#### Identified a Range of Deep Injection Wells

- 30 to 150 Deep Injection Wells
- Maximum Capacity: 500,000 ac-ft/yr to 2,500,000 acft/yr



**Potential Deep Injection Well Sites** 



#### **Potential Reservoir Sites**





## PLAN FORMULATION UPDATE WETLAND SCREENING



**BUILDING STRONG** 



9 sites considered for Performance Measure scoring and ranking



## PLAN FORMULATION UPDATE WETLAND SCREENING



Contraction of the second seco			<u>Wading</u> <u>Birds</u>	Percent Connectivity	<u>Surface</u> <u>Water</u> <u>Connectivity</u>	Restoration Potential	Public Access	Total UILDI Score (out of 5)	NG STRONG
Rank	Sites	Acreage	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Retain or Screen
1	Lake O West	2,800	0.65	1	0.74	0.76	0.77	3.92	Retain
2	IP-10	2,595	1	0.26	0.3	0.71	0.77	3.04	Retain
3	Paradise Run	<del>3,847</del>	<del>0.47</del>	θ	4	<del>0.49</del>	<del>0.89</del>	<del>2.85</del>	Screen,
4	New Kiss River	4,315	0.47	0.16	0.75	0.33	1	2.71	Retain
5	Bootheel Creek	3,432	0	0.79	0.52	0.39	0.57	2.27	Retain
6	IP-9	5,370	0.47	0.39	0.1	0.53	0.6	2.09	Retain
7	Fish Slough	<del>3,742</del>	θ	<del>0.49</del>	<del>0.18</del>	<del>0.66</del>	<del>0.66</del>	<del>1.99</del>	Screen
8	Lake O East	2,713	0.12	θ	θ	4	<del>0.86</del>	<del>1.98</del>	Screen
9	<del>YB-3500</del>	1,145	0.06	θ	0.31	0.56	0.74	1.67	Screen

- Screened Paradise Run site due to conflicts with K05 reservoir footprint
- Removed lowest 3 scoring sites due to either fair or poor scores for 2 or more performance measure categories.



## **CONCEPTUAL ALTERNATIVES**



	Reservoir Component		ASR Component		DIW Component			
Alternative ID	Reservoir (s)	Storage Capacity (acre-feet)	# of ASR wells	Storage Capacity (ac- ft/month)	# of DIWs	Maximum Capcity (ac- ft/year	Compatible Wetland Components	Acreage
	K05 Horizontal (14')	154,554	60-80	28,000 - 37,000	30-150	500,000 - 2,500,000	Lake O West IP-10	2,800 2,595
Alternative 1							New Kissimmee River	4,315
							Bootheel Creek IP-9	3,432 5,370
	K05 Big (10′)	189,214	60-80	28,000 - 37,000	30-150	500,000 - 2,500,000	Lake O West IP-10	2,800 2,595
Alternative 2							New Kissimmee River	4,315
							Bootheel Creek IP-9	3,432 5,370
	K05 Horizontal (14') and I-01 (12')	248,822	60-80	28,000 - 37,000	30-150	500,000 - 2,500,000	Lake O West	2,800
Alternative 3							New Kissimmee River	4,315
							Bootheel Creek IP-9	3,432 5,370
	K05 Big (14')	263,584	60-80	28,000 - 37,000	30-150	500,000 - 2,500,000	Lake O West IP-10	2,800 2,595
Alternative 4							New Kissimmee River	4,315
							Bootheel Creek IP-9	3,432 5,370
	K-42 (16′) & K05 Horizontal (14′)	315,817	60-80	28,000 - 37,000	30-150	500,000 - 2,500,000	Lake O West IP-10	2,800 2,595
Alternative 5							New Kissimmee River	4,315
							Bootheel Creek IP-9	3,432 5,370
		320,761	60-80	28,000 - 37,000	30-150	500,000 - 2,500,000	Lake O West	2,800
Alternative 6	K05 Big (12') and I- 01 (12')						New Kissimmee River	4,315
							Bootheel Creek	3,432 5,370



## **CONCEPTUAL ALTERNATIVES**









**BUILDING STRONG** 

- Optimize reservoir sizing and siting based on site specific conditions and constraints (infrastructure, cultural, environmental)
- RSM model runs to inform storage capacities and how they translate to benefits to Lake Okeechobee stages and discharges to estuaries
- Refining ASR wells and deep injection wells capacity and siting per alternative
- Design, rough order of magnitude (ROM) costs and habitat unit calculations for wetlands to determine recommended wetland site(s)





**BUILDING STRONG** 

- Include water supply for agriculture, industrial, municipal and tribal use in project planning
- Consider optimizing the Lake Okeechobee Regulation Schedule in the future with project condition to maximize project performance and benefits
- Desire to include potential increased capacity of Lake Okeechobee that Herbert Hoover Dike DSMR may provide
- Consider similar or improved water supply level of service than WSE





**BUILDING STRONG** 

- Identify the most favorable approach for modifications and operational changes for environmental restoration of the south Florida ecosystem while providing for other water-related needs of the region, including water supply and future CERP projects.
- Upcoming USACE vertical team engagements



## **90 DAY LOOK AHEAD**



**BUILDING STRONG** 

Trusted Partners Delivering Value Today for a Better Tomorrow

a administration and the second