## US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS PORT EVERGLADES NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT MEETING COMMENTS SECTIONS

Wednesday, February 22, 2017

2:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m.

Broward County Convention Center 1950 Eisenhower Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33316

Reported By: VICTORIA SUAREZ, COURT REPORTER Notary Public, State of Florida

BAILEY & ASSOCIATES REPORTING, INC. Fort Lauderdale, Florida Phone - 954.358.9090

			Page 2
1	SPEAKERS (Session 1):	PAGE	
2	RON DREW	4	
3	JUSTIN TUTTLE	4	
4	KELLY COX	5	
5	GEORGE DEHN	7	
6	RACHEL SILVERSTEIN	8, 18	
7	BRETTNY HARDY	10	
8	DAN LINDBLADE	11	
9	EMILY STOKES	13	
10	RON CODDINGTON	14, 21	
11	GAIL BULFIN	16	
12	LIZ HOPWOOD	16	
13	BILL COLE	17	
14	STEVE WEBER	20	
15	COMMISSIONER CHIP LAMARCA	22	
16	SPEAKERS (Session 2):		
17	DREW MARTIN	25, 67	
18	JEFF TORODE	26	
19	SKIP COMMAGERE	28	
20	JUSTIN TUTTLE	29	
21	STANLEY PANNAMAN	30, 62, 74	
22	SHELBY WEDELICH	32	
23	DR. ROSS CUNNING	33	
24	MICHAEL CONNELLY	35, 73	
25	SPEAKER FOR PHIL KUSHLAN	36	

			1
			Page 3
1	ANDRIANA FRAGOLA	38	
2	ADAM NARDELLI	39, 73	
3	RACHEL SKUBEL	41	
4	SIERRA SARKIS	42	
5	MATTHEW SCHWARTZ	44	
6	CHELSEA KREBS	46	
7	JUSTIN BUEHLER	47	
8	PROFESSOR ANDREW BAKER	49, 71	
9	JANE FAWCETT	51	
10	CHERYL HARRIS	53	
11	CARL MAHLER	54	
12	RACHEL SILVERSTEIN	54	
13	LISA MICELI	56	
14	MICHAEL KRANZLER	58	
15	INA TOPPER	59	
16	BRETTNY HARDY	60	
17	KELLY COX	63	
18	MELISSA RILEY	64	
19	MATTHEW SCHWARTZ	65	
20	MARA SHLACKMAN	69	
21	JEFF PALUMBO	69	
22	DAN BURDENO	74	
23			
24			
25			

(The following is a transcription of Session 1 of the Comments Section of the meeting.)

2.0

RON DREW: Well, first, thank you to the Army Corps for allowing us to have this input today and for the work that you've done so far.

I spoke at the last meeting a couple years ago probably or more about the importance of Port Everglades to our region. It's one of our largest economic engines, it's one of our largest economic drivers, it's one of our largest employers, and it's so important that we continue to be a successful port here, that we can't have, as the ships become larger, the ship bypassing us and going up the coast to other places. It's just too important to our economy.

I believe the work that's been done so far by the Army Corps of Engineers is commendable. I believe the mitigation that's being done and will be done is going to be successful, and I support the project continuing based on what I just saw in the overheads. Thank you.

- - - - -

JUSTIN TUTTLE: My name is Justin Tuttle. I'm a student at the University of Miami, and I'm

working now in exploration sciences. And one of the things that I'm really concerned about is the potential impacts on the reefs.

2.0

I am familiar with what happened with the Port of Miami expansion, and because of that I just want to make sure that the proper methodologies and enforcements are in place so that we can prevent another kind of tragedy going on as just burying our reefs in sediment.

You know, I think that there's more of an impact than just the 150 meters that can really do something that will make sure that endangered cycle coral and other species that we need to protect that are critical to the reef ecosystem are protected as well. So that's the main thing that I want to focus on.

- - - - -

KELLY COX: Hi, everyone. My name is Kelly

Cox. I'm the staff attorney and program director at

Miami Waterkeeper. We're a local nonprofit

organization that's dedicated to keeping our water

swimmable, drinkable, and fishable in south Florida.

We're engaged in current and ongoing litigation with the Army Corps of Engineers over the PortMiami dredging project and the severe impacts that the

coral reef suffered in that region. We're concerned with the situation in Port Everglades in that the Army Corps of Engineers is not adequately considering the lessons learned from Port of Miami.

2.0

We know that the NEPA process, the National Environmental Policy Act, requires the Army Corps to rely on the best available science, and we think that the best available science includes incorporating lessons learned from the Port of Miami. In fact, we think it's grossly inappropriate that the Army Corps has put forth information denying impacts to, denying severe impacts to the reefs in Port of Miami and suggesting that those same impacts won't occur here in Port Everglades.

We think it's necessary to take as many precautions as possible to protect our reefs for our clean water economy here in south Florida. A lot of what people believe our tourism industry relies upon is having access to these coral reefs, and I think you'll hear a lot of that from people here today.

Finally I want to mention that the sister

agency to the Army Corps of Engineers, the National

Marine Fisheries Service has come forward and said

multiple times that the impacts in PortMiami greatly

exceeded all anticipated impacts due to

sedimentation, and we really believe that the Army Corps should listen to its sister agency which is the expert agency in Port Everglades and in PortMiami and really take care to protect our coral reefs and our ecosystems. Thank you.

2.0

GEORGE DEHN: My name is George Dehn, and myself and my neighbors live near the port, and I've been lucky enough to live here since '82.

And my main concerns, and I hope they're addressed, is the blasting that occurred in 1982.

Our houses shook. I know there are alternates. I know our county commissioner is under the impression there will not be blasting, but the project manager said that hasn't been decided yet, your project manager.

We retained counsel. If need be we'll litigate. If need be we'll ask for injunctions. So we implore you to consider other technologies, and if need be we'll ask the Court to make sure that there's a surety bond from independent financial institution, not the Federal government.

On that same regard other projects have been done here. You mentioned that money hasn't been approved. Myself and my neighbors, we are happy

this project is going forth. We're not -- we understand the economic positiveness, if you were, for the whole community, but we feel as taxpayers Broward County needs to be assured with a surety bond ahead of time that they'll be reimbursed. None of this 10, 15-year later 20-year later stuff.

2.0

You mentioned it hasn't been approved. The project's been approved but the money hasn't been let. So the money needs to be let or we're going to ask for a surety bond or we're going to ask for an injunction, whether it's against you guys or Broward County as citizens.

The last thing is, I'm sure you're aware of it, but the sand that is accumulating from the accretion is almost ready to go past the big breakwater over there. Hopefully that'll be addressed because all this will be for not if the sand pours in. As I understand it there's 40,000 cubic yards each year is added.

And so with that we want the project to be successful, but we don't want our homes to be ruined. Thank you.

- - - - -

RACHEL SILVERSTEIN: Hey, everyone. I'm Rachel Silverstein. I'm the executive director and

waterkeeper of a local nonprofit group Miami Waterkeeper.

2.0

I'm actually going to save most of my remarks for tonight for the 6 p.m. meeting, but I do want to take this opportunity to request the Army Corps actually provide the opportunity for a public Q&A session so that we can all hear each other's questions and the Army Corps' answers if that's a possibility. I know there's a lot of interest in that happening in the room as well, so if that's a possibility we would all really appreciate that and I think that would make for a really great public meeting.

In addition to the last speaker that just spoke to you, I want to also address the flooding and flushing models that I believe have been completed and could potentially impact the flooding of the buildings nearby, the port as well, so we want to see that be completed.

And, again, I'm going to save most of my comments for this evening and will be submitting technical comments as well. Thank you.

- - - - -

PATRICIA ANTRICAN: I pass.

25 | - - - -

BRETTNY HARDY: My name is Brettny Hardy with Earth Justice, and I'm here on behalf of Miami Waterkeeper, Center for Biological Diversity, Florida Wildlife Federation, and the Diving Equipment and Manufacturer's Association.

2.0

I want to speak briefly about the legal obligations of the Army Corps during this scoping process.

The National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA as you mentioned, is this country's most fundamental environmental protection. It requires the Army Corps to stop and think about what potential damage this dredging project is going to have on coral reefs in Fort Lauderdale.

It requires the Corps to take a hard look at not only the direct impacts, like the removal of coral, but also the indirect impacts that could occur from sedimentation that happens from dredging. And it requires the Army Corps to do these things before it begins the dredging and also requires the Army Corps to look at all reasonable alternatives in order to mitigate or to reduce these harms. And it requires the Corps to consider these harms, these damages from a cumulative perspective, especially considering the myriad of stressors that these coral

reefs are suffering from. So we expect that the Corps will meet these legal obligations and complete a supplemental environmental impact statement.

And this is really important. It matters.

It's not just a paper exercise, because the coral reefs in Florida are vanishing. There's only a small fraction of what existed before.

And so it's critically important that the Army Corps take into account the devastating damage that the dredging did in Miami, that best available science showed the dredging did in Miami, and to prevent that damage to the corals here because there are industries relying on the coral, industries like tourism, recreational diving, recreational fishing, and they're going to be watching the Army Corps to make sure it does its best job to ensure the survival of the coral. Thank you.

2.0

DAN LINDBLADE: Hi, everyone. I'm Dan
Lindblade. I'm the president and CEO of the Greater
Fort Lauderdale Chamber of Commerce.

And it's interesting to understand that we've been working on this project so long that we're in I think the 20th year of our discussions to deepen, widen Port Everglades.

And, you know, the Chamber represents all types of interests. We represent tourism. We represent business. We represent international trade. And we've worked really hard to make sure that we're being held accountable for what's going on, because a lot of our members are scuba divers, fishermen, and tourists obviously coming from all over the country and the world for that matter.

2.0

But, you know, the checks and balances that are in place in our government are there for a reason.

And this young lady who just talked before me, I'm sure that we're going to make it through this and have the checks and balances, and you guys at the Corps will be responsible for answering to best practices that are happening out there and using the latest scientific data.

So the Chamber stands wholeheartedly in support of moving forward with this project knowing that we're going to have updates along the way before the project actually begins. And that's the key to this project is we're continually refreshing the data, continually refreshing the information, and monitoring what's happened in these other sites.

So with respect to Miami, I'm not a scientist. We have one of our partners here who is. Dr. Dodge

can speak to a lot of the issues down there from Nova Southeastern University. And we have all these brilliant minds that are coming together to merge together, and then the opponents of the project, even those folks who are watchdogs for the project and we need those people, too, in all of this effort to make sure that we mitigate all of the issues out there.

But, again, the Chamber, the business community, we stand behind this, we want it done correctly, and we support the project.

- - - - -

(Captain Kipnis left.)

14 | - - - -

2.0

EMILY STOKES: Hi, everyone. I just wanted to say that it's great to be here. Thank you for holding this session.

Really all I wanted to mention was I thought that there were going to be artificial reefs put in place, but the reefs that we have in our Florida tract are all so important, and any kind of artificial reef that would be put in place can't possibly replace the important ecosystem services that our local reefs do and that they create for us. They keep our economic, they keep our grouper and

snapper healthy, and it's obviously economically important. And I think that's something to keep in mind as we go forward that artificial reefs are good but they are not at all the same as our natural reefs that have grown here. So thank you very much.

2.0

RON CODDINGTON: Hello. I'm Ron Coddington.

I'm a private industry representative with Southeast

Florida Coral Reef Initiative, and I've been a

consulting engineer in south Florida nearly all my

professional life.

Interesting, I'm the only living project engineer that represented Port Everglades during the last harbor deepening project. We had a reputation of some of the cleanest dredging that's ever been done on the coast of Florida. Why? Because we had 150-acre spoil site back here, and that's where retained our water. We had four days retention time for water.

Later in private business I was lucky to get the contract to remove and rehabilitate that spoil site. We removed nearly one-quarter million yards of very fine sediment per the engineers sediment passing at 200 sieve. This is the material that if expelled on the reefs is the blanket that smothers 1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

the reef. This is the stuff that happened in Miami.

What we need to do is we need to have the best minds in engineering look at new innovative techniques to handling our dredge. We don't have the spoil site anymore. Instead of filling 150 acres of spoil site with four days retention time, we're filling 200-foot barges 50. We need to look at concepts of moving the barges away from the coral reefs as far offshore as possible, or as one idea has been discussed, pumping the dredge spoil directly to the offshore disposal site.

These are the kind of things that need to be taken into consideration so that we monitor closely that we don't smother our reefs.

This is important. This is an economic engine. It's a great economic engine for Broward County, but also our reefs are an economic engine that must be protected.

So Port Everglades needs to continue its reputation of clean dredging by forcing the Corps of Engineers to consider the most innovative techniques that we possibly can take into account to contain those sediments and not let them be transported onto the reefs. That is the key to making this a project that does not end up with environmental damage to

the area around Port Everglades. Thank you.

PATRICIA ANTRICAN: Still pass.

2.0

GAIL BULFIN: I'm Gail Bulfin, and I am with the Port Everglades Advocacy Team which is a group of business leaders from around Broward County.

We've been working with the port to learn all about the projects of the port and have come to know the leaders of Port Everglades. These are the people who live, work, and play here, as well as us. I found them to be very collaborative, very savvy, and growing this port in a smart way is something I have 100 percent confidence in.

We have worked with the Army Corps of
Engineers, we've learned a lot from you, and we are
confident that you're going to make the best
recommendations knowing that we have an incredibly
smart team at Port Everglades who are going to do
the right things for Broward County. So thank you.

- - - - -

LIZ HOPWOOD: My name is Liz Hopwood. I live right at Breakwater Surf Club, and there's a lot of population there. We have Points of America apartment buildings, we have Sky Harbour, and we

have our 52 houses, and then the other neighborhood that's south of that. So I'm representing them more or less in my thought in that whenever the last time was the blasting and the deepening of the channel people's toilets and also pipes broke. We have pipes under our houses. We have pipes all over. So I just wanted to say something about that particular concern.

And I was sitting there, I know I'm not an engineer by any stretch of the imagination, just a resident, but have we ever thought about going through the park? Because this was Port Isabel, I mean Lake Isabel initially and we broke through. Could we just break through and have another inlet where it doesn't impact anybody? Just a thought. Thank you.

2.0

BILL COLE: Hello. I own a local dive shop.

I've been running charter boats out of Port

Everglades for 37 years, diving and snorkeling.

I'm just worried that if what happens here or what happened in Miami happens here is going to be completely devastating to my business and other dive businesses in the area and the beautiful coral reef that we have out here. I've seen over the 37 years

artificial reefs, boulders, transplants, they do not replace the natural reef. Thank you.

2.0

RACHEL SILVERSTEIN: Hello again. Since we have extra time I figured I might go into a little bit of my comments I was going to save for this evening, but I just want to clarify one thing to you.

We've been characterized as project opponents, and I just want to clarify that we're not trying to shut down this project or prevent the port from functioning or take away anybody's jobs. All we're trying to do with the litigation here and with our efforts to highlight what's going on with the reefs is to make sure that the law is being followed, that the reefs are being protected, and that this project is done without harming resources that really belong to all of us and harm -- you know, there's important jobs that rely on the reefs as well as we just heard Bill Cole mentioning as well.

Our shorelines are protected by our coral reefs. We have diverse fish and other kinds of habitats in there, not to mention the mangroves and the sea grasses that are all going to be impacted as well. So I just want to clarify that.

And I also want to talk about this fact sheet that the Army Corps has been passing around. I find it quite misleading and containing a lot of misinformation. For example, it says, "Did the Miami harbor deepening project cause excessive coral or coral reef damage? No", and then basically blames critics of the project.

2.0

The Army Corps' own contractors found extensive damage at the Port of Miami. The Army Corps has admitted to extensive amounts of damage occurring at the Port of Miami. And the critics of the project that the Army Corps is including in here and calling inconclusive studies and incomplete studies on is actually the expert Federal agency with jurisdiction over this project, the National Marine Fisheries Service, and their conclusions.

So I just want to note, you know, we're so far beyond denying what happened in Miami. The point is that we're all here today to learn lessons from Miami, to move forward, to improve what's going on in Port Everglades, and denying what happened in Miami is not a productive way to learn lessons.

And so that's what I want to share with all of you. I hope we can move forward, examine what happened, be honest about it, and improve things for

the future for our reefs. Thanks.

2.0

STEVE WEBER: My name is Steve Weber. I live in the Everglades House which is adjacent to the inlet.

Back in August 2013 we attended this session, we responded. I have not seen the report where supposedly our comments were included in. I don't know if there was a response to them, but I just want to reiterate two comments that were already made.

Our concern is the use of explosives to blast bottom rock formations in order to deepen the port's channels from 42 to 48 feet. We're concerned about the blasts as residents of buildings nearly 50 years old, we are concerned about the effects impacts and may damage building foundations and well and piping systems because of the blasts.

The other point that was made is compensation. We're concerned about the response from the operable authorities in the event of damage to property should the port project cause damage to existing local structures and infrastructure. There must be a billing mechanism to compensate residents for the cost of construction and/or repairs.

Many of these high-rise buildings have undergone recent 40-year inspections and made substantial investments on concrete restoration, wind protection mitigation, and other costly upgrades. The surrounding condominium association cannot bear the financial costs of more potentially expensive repairs.

Thank you very much.

2.0

RON CODDINGTON: Thank you for a little bit more time.

What I also wanted to do is come out in strong, extremely strong support of the National Marine Fisheries' comments regarding this project.

National Marine Fisheries after watching what went on in Miami has suggested that the Corps give consideration to a closed or a cessation of dredging during the peek coral spawning period. This is very important. You're listening to experts when they make these opinions. National Marine Fisheries knows what they're talking about.

While that's something very new and innovative, don't we want to be new and innovative at Port Everglades? Remember, this is a great port. We can do it right.

By looking at a coral closure, it's not as unusual as it seems. All of our dredging projects along the beach stage themselves for the turtle spawning season, the turtle nesting season.

In this case we need to look at the most critical corals that are off our coast, and an extra measure that can help prevent coral damage is to suspend dredging during the peek spawning seasons.

Much of this peek spawning season also coincides with the highest water temperatures when our corals are most susceptible to coral bleaching and coral disease. By giving them a break during this time period, we may significantly reduce the impact that this dredging project has, that in cooperation with the innovative engineering techniques that I hope the Corps comes up with, and we can find that this is one of the best dredging projects that has ever been done in a coral reef environment. Thank you, sir.

COMMISSIONER CHIP LAMARCA: Ron, I think that also coincides with tourism season as well.

I've been honored to represent District 4 which goes from the beach Deerfield Beach down to Harbour Inlet at Port Everglades for the past six years, and

I live on the coast in the north part of the county.

And I could tell you the vast majority of my time in office has been spent in the Army Corps' offices, dealing with National Marine Fisheries, NOAA agencies, not just one project, but luckily for me two projects - the Beach Renourishment Project in Segment II of which a couple of our contractors are here, as well as some of our staff who did an amazing job with the project, environmentally friendly. We brought sand from an outside source. We did all the things that we thought were going to be the most effective for our environment, not cost effective but environmentally effective.

One of the things that's important is, and it's as we look at the project that we're talking about here -- I've made most of those trips with our port staff and other folks in the advocacy team. We're obviously waiting, what, this has been 20 years, a bit patient. I would consider that's pretty good patience, even with the Federal government. But the bottom line is we have done this in a procedure that has been taking environment first, taking our economy along with that as it goes and as port expansion happens, those are important things. But we don't want to be the ones that don't do

something, as Ron had mentioned, that isn't the most environmentally friendly.

I want to mention something and I want to just say that I represent one side of the political spectrum that's not usually given credit for caring about the environment, which is unfortunate, because we take half of the people out of the conversation if we do that.

I wasn't in the office in the late 70s or early 80s, but somebody decided it was going to be a great idea to put a million tires in the ocean. Now, as for somebody who thinks about conservation first, we'll look at that and say, you know, why are we doing this? I get the concept, but clearly we're dealing with that now. So we don't want to be in a situation we're dealing with anything like that in the future.

Clearly we want to move the project forward, we want to do it environmentally consciously, and I will be keeping an eye on the issue of blasting.

- - - - -

(Session 1 of the Comments Section is concluded.)

2.0

(The following is a transcription of Session 2 of the Comments Section of the meeting.)

2.0

DREW MARTIN: I'm disappointed to go first

because I wanted to hear some of the other comments.

But I'm Drew Martin. I'm here on behalf of the

Loxahatchee Group of the Sierra Club, which

represents Martin, St. Lucie, and Palm Beach

counties, but we are concerned about the Port

Everglades project.

Our concern begins first with our unhappiness about how the project was handled at Miami, the dredging project in Miami. I was disappointed that you knew the Corps didn't admit that there were problems with that project, but instead basically says they haven't decided yet if there were problems. I have seen evidence that looks to me like there are problems with that project and that there were loss of corals.

I'm particularly concerned about the fact that there is a large coral die-off taking place off of the coast of southeast Florida, and these projects I think are significantly contributing to that die-off.

I believe that we need to study the evidence

and see how much this has impacted the loss of corals off of southeast Florida, because this die-off has happened in parallel with the dredging of the Miami project, so we see that there is a potential for some correlation in this damage.

2.0

I'm concerned about the deep dredging, how it affects beaches through erosion. Deep dredging increases the flow of current in and out of the ports, and as we know the sand flows south along the beaches, and so this dredging project could damage beaches all the way down to the very tip of Florida, that is a serious problem.

I'm concerned too that larger oil tankers will be brought into this area, that there could be a catastrophic oil spill. I don't think that has been addressed, and that is one of my concerns about larger tankers being used and larger ships. I don't see all the benefits.

Since you said at the end of this we can come back for some more, I'm going to hopefully come back again. Thank you.

- - - - -

JEFF TORODE: I'm going to do some speed reading here, our big two minutes.

My name is Jeff Torode. I own and operate

South Florida Diving Headquarters. I was a member of SEFCRI, vice chair for many years, and a member of the Coastal Ocean Forum.

2.0

I would like to thank, first of all, all of you that were involved with the legal action to get us here today. Thank you very much. Hopefully this comes of something.

First let me restate that the dive industry is against Port Everglades expansion for obvious reasons. I have a list here that I'm not going to go through all of them because I don't have much time, but obviously the destruction of coral reefs is detrimental to our industry and the vitality of our industry.

We question the validity of the project after segmenting the turning notch to improve the cost benefit analysis, it's a little quick pencil action there. We also question the need to have two Post Panamax ports within 30 miles of each other.

We acknowledge this project is probably going to go through no matter what, but we ask you to use the best available science and plan for monitoring and mitigation of the reefs in Port Everglades.

Let's get a supplemental EIS that incorporates the lessons we've learned from Miami. Instead of

accounting for all the estimated 150 meter indirect or impact zones, that should be moved to 1000 meters.

2.0

The scope of the mitigation plan needs to be extended and the cost factored into the estimated project cost to affect the cost benefit analysis.

I'm going to skip to the conclusion.

You know, the biggest thing we need is a third party. We need another set of eyes independent to see what's going on. It's not that we don't trust the Corps, but there's been a lot of misinformation that's come out. And when you say, oh, the corals are dying because of disease and warm water when, in fact, they've been buried for a year already, that's pretty much a dead giveaway.

When you ask for what else can we do, stop hiring dredging companies that violate, continually violate best management practices and standards. Why don't we start there. Maybe we should let another agency pick that dredging company. Thank you.

\_ \_ \_ \_

SKIP COMMAGERE: Thank you very much. I have to rush through this as well.

And I want to talk tonight about the cost of

mitigation damage, the loss of jobs, and the reduction of quality of life. The cost of mitigating is going to be substantially more than I think that they believe.

2.0

If you look at the reefs on either side of the channel all the way through this project, it's amazing and it's full of life. This life feeds the life on the reefs in Broward County.

The loss of jobs, you know, you would think with all these ships are coming in we're going to have a lot of new jobs. The problem is that those ships, the size, they are going to be, everything is going to be mechanized as much as possible, especially in a right to work state. They're just about ready to pass a 20 percent import fee. The feasibility or the volume of imports may drastically be reduced.

Reduction of quality of life. I ask you to use Google Earth, Google Earth ports of southern California, large ports, zoom in, look at the quality of life in those particular areas, and ask yourself, is that where I want to live? And I don't think it is. Thank you.

- - - - -

JUSTIN TUTTLE: Hi there. My name is Justin

Tuttle. I've been in south Florida for about ten years now, and one of the things that first drew me here is the diving and just being on the water. And one thing that you really have here in particular is accessible reef that you could literally get to from walking off the beach.

2.0

And I think that one of the things that we really need to assess and look at is the real, not only the environmental impact and do an environmental assessment, but also a reassessment with the idea that if we are discovering new facts, new information, that there is either more coral or that the dredges have an impact that is, that does not necessarily correspond to the models, that then we have to be able to stop the dredging, mandatory halt, and then take an actual look at what's really happening so that way we avoid dredging that ends up killing the reef that has an impact and we just kind of plow through full steam ahead.

So basically that realignment of the actual facts to the models and to the assessments that are going to weigh some sort of legal mechanism that enforces what's really happening. That's it.

- - - - -

STANLEY PANNAMAN: Hi. My name is Stan

Pannaman, and I'm the conservation chair for the Broward Group of the Sierra Club, and I'm also a resident of Tamarac. I care what happens in Broward County.

2.0

Florida reefs are invaluable to the economy, ecology, and livelihood of south Florida. Our reefs generate billions of dollars for the local economy and create habitat for valuable fish and other marine life. Unfortunately we have lost over 80 percent of the reefs since 1970.

I urge the Army Corps of Engineers to incorporate lessons learned from PortMiami and to the environmental planning so the same disaster does not happen in Port Everglades.

I urge you to make full use of the best available science to plan for monitoring and mitigation of the reefs near Port Everglades. The Corps should establish turbidity's limits and protectives of the reefs and prevent excessive sedimentation that can smother reefs like they did in PortMiami.

I believe that third party oversight is essential in order to protect habitat, and I also request that interpretation reports and surveys to limit conflicts of interest.

Please implement mandatory regulations on reef damage and do not solely rely on adaptive management which fail to protect reefs during the dredging at PortMiami.

2.0

Finally I urge the Corps to draft a supplemental environmental impact statement that considers the weight of PortMiami and lessons learned there. Thank you.

- - - - -

LAILA HADDAD: My concerns have already been expressed.

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_

CHERYL PHIPPS: I'm going to send my comments via e-mail. Thank you.

- - - - -

SHELBY WEDELICH: Hi. My name is Shelby
Wedelich. I'm a tropical marine ecosystem
management student at the University of Miami. And
I think that this project needs some serious,
serious revamping in terms of the management of the
mitigation surveys.

Sedimentation doesn't just affect the coral at the time of sedimentation. It increases disease after the fact up to several years afterwards, and coral reefs supply a third of the economy here, and

they're really drastically reduced already. They
can't afford another hit. And we already have
redundancy in the type of port from Port of Miami.

2.0

There's not, I don't see the economic benefit of continuing as we are when you already have such a drastic cost that's not properly represented because you're not representing -- you're only representing the loss within the dredging area. I want to see how this model accounts for sedimentation outside. And I don't think it's going to be an if there is a plume, I think it's going to be a when, because there is inefficiencies in the dredging material that are currently being used. That's absolutely unacceptable, and I am really, really disturbed by the project proceeding as planned.

There are also impacts on sea grasses and mangroves that I don't think are properly considered because all of those environments interact in terms of the antigenetic life stages of fish that are extremely valuable to our tourism and our local economy.

And that's all I have to say about that. Thank you.

- - - -

DR. ROSS CUNNING: Hello. I'm Ross Cunning,

and I am a researcher at the University of Miami studying coral biology and ecology.

2.0

I've been studying the reefs here only for about ten years, but I've witnessed major declines in these ecosystems. Given the numerous threats facing our reefs, I believe we must do everything in our power to protect them and ensure their future persistence, and this includes protecting them from sedimentation and other damages associated with dredging operations.

As part of these operations I believe that third party oversight is essential in order to obtain honest data interpretation, reports and surveys, and to limit conflicts of interest. The best way to ensure that we understand the true impacts to our reefs is to make all of the raw data that is collected available immediately and openly through convenient online platforms, such that any interested party is able to easily access, review, analyze, and make conclusions based on these data.

This level of transparency is absolutely critical in both the preliminary and supplemental environmental impact assessments, baseline surveys, and during the project itself, and I urge the Corps to make data accessibility a top priority moving

forward.

2.0

Finally I urge the Corps to draft a supplemental environmental impact statement that considers the Port of Miami lessons learned based on the best available science that includes all interpretations of those data that have been presented and published. Thank you.

- - - - -

MICHAEL CONNELLY: Hello. I am a graduate student at the University of Miami in the Cnidarian Immunity Lab, and I'd like to raise some concerns about sublethal effects of sediment on corals related to their immune status and potential for sediment to create disease within these populations.

I'd also like to point out a recent 2016 study that demonstrated that these Broward corals are at the northern extent of their range and are genetically distinct from a lot of the other Acropora corals in south Florida, and that should be taken into concern with regards to the coral mitigation and restoration, and especially that these corals that are within their northern limits are adequately preserved and restored.

I would also reiterate Ross' points about third party oversight and the availability of the data

collected by the Corps to independent researchers for their, to be able to draw their own conclusions.

2.0

And then I'd also like to say on a personal note I've been in Florida for five years and my favorite dive site is off the Fort Lauderdale beach park which is within two kilometers of this site, and I would really hate to see personally the site damaged as a result of the dredge impact and not be able to enjoy that for myself and the friends that visit me here for a dive and want to experience that. So that's all I have to say.

- - - - -

SPEAKER FOR PHIL KUSHLAN: Obviously I'm not Phil. He couldn't make it, so I'll be speaking on his behalf.

My name is Philip Kushlan. I'm a Coconut Grove resident who grew up diving in reefs in south Florida. I'm also a Ph.D. student studying the resiliency of south Florida's reefs, as well as an active member of Miami Waterkeeper.

I had the opportunity to help in the rescue of corals from the Port of Miami as the dredging was starting, and I was amazed by the site. It was incredible to see these huge flat morphologies of several species that are usually only found out on

the reef thriving in this marginal inshore habitat; however, they were already being buried at the margins by sediment.

2.0

I also had several chances to revisit the mitigation site, as well as some of the sites near the channel during the project, and saw firsthand these huge corals which had survived at the port for years were being buried alive by sediment. It was unambiguous. You could sweep the sediment away at the margins and find the buried skeleton with no outward growth on it and a layer of black anoxic bacteria growing. There's no way that the coral tissue could have died prior to being covered by sediment. It was the burial that killed the tissue.

We have an important opportunity to avoid the mistakes the Port of Miami dredged up in Port

Everglades by drafting a supplemental environmental impact statement reflecting the lessons that we should have learned, namely: one, that we need to incorporate baseline data that actually is a baseline and not taken years ago where after dredging has already begun; two, that we need accurate turbidity monitoring and we need to be looking far beyond 150 meters from the channel; and third, most importantly, that we need independent

third party oversight of compliance.

Thank you for your consideration. Phillip Kushlan.

2.0

ANDRIANA FRAGOLA: Hi, everybody. My name is Andriana Fragola, and I am a graduate student at the University of Miami Rosenstiel School focusing on marine conservation and shark research. That doesn't mean I don't care about corals and the rest of the ecosystem.

So I've grown up in Miami. I was born here, and I've been diving since I was 12 years old. The ecosystems and the reefs here are incredibly important to the economy of Florida, for ecosystem services, storm buffering, there are so many things that I know Sierra is definitely going to reiterate right after me.

But there's so many benefits that come from these ecosystems, and something in particular to consider for this Port Everglades project is kind of what Mike was saying about how they are genetically different. So it's incredibly important to protect this separate genetic diversity, as well as the fact that the thickets in this area are much healthier than a lot of the Florida, further southern

Floridian Acropora coral reefs, so it's incredibly important to take that into account.

2.0

It is also incredibly important to understand that these are species that are listed under the Endangered Species Act, so that means that they are endangered, which means endangerment of extinction, so any threat and any kind of deleterious problems that could come from this dredging, any reduction in their population is serious going forward for their protection and their existence in the future.

So it is something that should be taken seriously, and any precaution to reduce this any kind of bad effects for these corals is incredibly important, so important to think about. So that's all I have to say.

- - - -

ADAM NARDELLI: Hi. I am a graduate of Nova
Southeastern Oceanographic Center. I'm also an AP
environmental and science teacher, high school. But
I've been a recreational dive instructor for over
five years, a diver down here for over ten years. I
have seen the reefs go down and downhill since I
started here ten years ago. The reefs cannot handle
any more environmental impact.

But aside from the aesthetic reasons and the

ethical reasons of why we should protect these reefs and avoid projects like this, the devastation that occurred from the Port of Miami's dredge was where 80 percent of corals were buried should be a case study of what not to do in the future. And why this continues to happen I understand is because economic boom is a win for big business. And I want to talk about the dive industry down here in south Florida which often gets overlooked.

2.0

From DEMA, a letter was sent out from Tom

Ingram who's the executive director. South Florida

or Florida in general is second to California in

terms of certifications.

Recreational scuba diving and snorkeling contribute about \$11 billion to the US gross domestic product. In Florida largely centered in south Florida snorkeling accounts for about 4.24 million visitor days per year while scuba diving accounts for about 4.56 million visitor days annually. The combination of snorkeling and scuba diving creates about 26,000 full time equivalent tourism-related jobs in Florida each year. This is over \$20 million in sales of equipment, education, and travel for local economies in the state.

Overall it contributes about \$904.4 million to the

1 Florida economy each year.

So this is an industry that's going to be hurt by this, because nobody wants to dive in water that is poor visibility. Nobody wants to dive on mitigated corals. Okay. They come here to see beautiful pristine reefs that we used to have, and if we let them go grow and do their own thing they will come back. That's all I have to say.

2.0

RACHEL SKUBEL: Hello, everyone. My name is Rachel. I'm a Ph.D. student at UM studying how sharks are responding to climate change in south Florida.

So as everyone else has been saying, obviously these corals aren't only important for fish species and other marine wildlife that depend on them, but they're also important for the south Florida economy of fishing, diving, snorkeling. It's more than just the corals, and so that's why it's obviously such a huge issue. And I myself have been fortunate enough to go diving by these coral reefs, and I can attest to the fact that I would do it again, and I'd be concerned if their health was in decline.

And as we saw at the Port of Miami, obviously the sedimentation there was shown, you know, by

multiple scientific studies to cause damage that could not necessarily be reversed like could not reverse mortality, et cetera.

And I'd like to say, you know, in addition to what's been said here, you know, being someone who studies climate change, the issue is that we need to give these corals the best chance they can in the face of climate change that's obviously already a huge issue, and when you add these other stressors to it which have been shown, you know, very conclusively to cause other declines in health and, you know, really, really challenge them, we need to consider, you know, really giving them the best chance and, you know, incorporating climate change modeling of impact to these corals in the supplementary environmental assessment because, you know, it's important to have a holistic understanding of what these corals are going to be going through and, you know, consider the best way to protect them given their endangered status and importance overall. Thank you.

- 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

23

24

25

SIERRA SARKIS: Hi. I'm Sierra. I'm also a student, graduate student at University of Miami. My focus is marine conservation.

2.0

I just think it's important to start by pointing out that the Florida reef track is the only coral reef that extends into the continental United States, so it's extra special and we should take extra caution in planning these projects that could be so environmentally damaging.

Obviously the environmental damage was vastly underestimated at the PortMiami project, and so now this project being so similar we have a really unique opportunity to do it right this time and avoid all of the blunders that occurred at PortMiami.

Over 250 acres of critical habitat were damaged and hundreds of coral colonies were buried, and at this point in time given all of the climate change impacts and all of the stress that's already occurring on our coral reefs and given our new presidential administration, we really don't have the time to make these types of mistakes anymore.

And also a lot of other people that have come up and spoken, a lot of the other speakers have already expressed the economic importance of our coral reefs, and I just personally don't think that the economic benefits of this dredge project outweigh the loss of these ecosystems. Just I

really hope that we can do it right this time. And that's all I have to say.

2.0

MATTHEW SCHWARTZ: Good evening. My name is

Matthew Schwartz. I'm director of a little

nonprofit in Florida, south Florida called South

Florida Wildlands Association established in 2010 to

protect the wildlife and habitat in the greater

everglades.

We've been on this project for quite some time. We have objections to it. We have severe objections to it. I'm going to talk as somebody who's also sued the Federal government several times over failed NEPA documents and won, and I'm going to say a few words about NEPA.

First of all, NEPA doesn't require an outcome. There's no particular outcome that NEPA requires. What it does require these folks to do in the Army Corps is to take what's called a hard look at the environmental consequences from a major Federal project before that project takes place. So I'm going to lay out a few of the things, just some of the things. I'll probably never get finished. It should have been three minutes.

Another thing before I start that, this is --

what is this thing? What are you guys doing?
You're cheerleading for the port? You're
cheerleading for the port? This is a rhetorical
question. You guys are working as lobbyists for
Port Everglades? You're here to evaluate a project
objectively, scientifically; not to cheer and talk
about the economic benefits to the county. That's
disgusting. I'll throw that away here.

2.0

Okay. These are the things that I'm suggesting you look at carefully: impacts on all fish, manatees, sea turtles, birds, sea grasses in the area, impacts on the recreation.

I was just looking at my e-mail, I was invited to run a kayaking trip at Whiskey Creek right across the channel. John U. Lloyd State Park now has a new name that I forgot the name of it, but there's a creek right across the channel that's going to be impacted by this project severely. There are mangroves over there. There's a state park. People paddle there, they fish there, they recreate there, and that's going to be impacted.

I'm running out of time. I'm going to have to come back.

Look at the no action alternative seriously. Somebody just mentioned we just dredged the port

30 miles away. You need to compare this. A reasonable range of alternatives has to be evaluated when you do these projects. Evaluating that particular project in relationship to what you did at Miami is a good way to start.

Okay. I'll come back.

MARA SHLACKMAN: I'll pass for now.

2.0

- - - - -

CHELSEA KREBS: Hi there. I am definitely not here as an expert. I'm just a local. I've lived in Fort Lauderdale my whole life. My father's a commercial fisherman. I work for the parks department now.

I've got nothing against Port Everglades. I spend a lot of time in Port Everglades. I'm an ocean kayaker. I cut through the port to get out the inlet. BSO yells at me a lot. I'm used to that. They're just doing their job.

I also work as a mate part time on a tender service boat that works in Port Everglades, the yacht transport. We pick them off the yacht, we bring them to Pier 66, they have a margarita, we say goodbye.

I spend a lot of time in Port Everglades. I'm

there in the morning. I'm there at night. I'm there in the pouring rain. I know what time that stupid cruise ship plays that stupid theme from the love song, talking on the cell phone while they're doing that.

2.0

I got nothing against Port Everglades. I know you got to do what you got to do. I am totally against this project however. I don't know why anyone would go ahead with this project knowing what you know about what happened in Miami. Why do you want to do that again here in Fort Lauderdale?

And I know you guys have a job to do. I know you're just here to, you know, to make the, what is it, the inlet bigger; is that what we're doing here?

Okay. We have to live here. We have to work here. There might be an oil spill because of this. There are endangered species that live out here and they're going to get killed. And I just don't know how you can go ahead with this project. I'm sorry.

- - - - -

JUSTIN BUEHLER: Hello. I want to start by saying I oppose the project. I think any coral loss is too much.

That being said, with the assumption the project is moving forward, my main concern is the

protecting the spawning snook that congregates inside the outer entrance channel during the months of August, September, and October.

2.0

So this is a picture of snook and how they congregate in the outer entrance channel. I took this September 24th of last year. Okay. So the area I'm talking about is right over here where what's commonly called the second reef intersects with the entrance channel.

Those snooks stack up there to spawn, and it's like a magnetic force draws them to that position.

Now, if there's any blasting that takes place in this area, it's very dangerous. It cannot take place during the months of August, September, or October.

I put my concerns on like a forum, and I got a response from someone who said they worked on a previous blasting project. He said in the early 80s -- he says, "Your concern is well-founded. In the early 80s I was a project manager on a blasting project, and the first shot floated 180 spawning snook. The project over 90 days had a dead snook count of 1800, and that's just the ones that floated in the first ten minutes."

So I guess, you know, let's just be clear. If

there's any blasting that takes place in the outer entrance channel, it cannot take place during August, September, or October, because it's just not worth the risk. These fish build the entire regions of population, and if they're killed it could be horrible, catastrophic for the fishing industry and everything. So anyway that's it. Thanks.

2.0

PROFESSOR ANDREW BAKER: Hello. My name is
Andrew Baker. I'm a professor of marine biology and
ecology at the University of Miami Rosenstiel School
of Marine and Atmospheric Science, which is about
two miles away from the Port of Miami dredge site.

My lab was one of the few to receive a permit to rescue in a last minute attempt to save some of the corals from Miami, and so I brought some of these along as a visual aid. Some of these corals as you can see are quite beautiful. They're maybe two, possibly even three decades old, and corals that take 25 years to grow are going to take 25 years to be replaced.

The number of corals influenced by the sediment plume at the Port of Miami is truly staggering. If you scale up the numbers from calculations that have been done, we're talking about tens of thousands,

possibly hundreds of thousands of corals just like this that have been lost as a result of those activities.

2.0

Now, you'll see information in the handout that you all have where a calculation is made of .4 miles, of square miles being lost as a result of this activity, and that number is dismissed as being an insignificant impact given the scale of the Florida Keys as a whole. I reject that argument 100 percent.

This is, .4 miles is one mile in that direction and almost half a mile in that direction, and this was an area right off of Miami Beach, right off of South Beach. With all of the impacts the corals are facing from climate change, from disease, from other impacts, nutrient pollution, corals need all the chances they have to be able to survive these impacts, and the last thing that we should be doing is physically destroying them in a way that could have been avoided.

So I want to point out as a coral scientist and a geneticist that with the declining population of corals that we have, we have to make sure that there is sufficient stepping stones for corals to be able to migrate in an era of climate change through these

natural corridors that exist which includes

Miami-Dade and Broward County. If we remove these
stepping stones by removing .4 of a square mile, we
greatly impact the ability of these reef ecosystems
to recover as a result of climate change impacts.

Thank you.

2.0

JANE FAWCETT: Hi. My name is Jane Fawcett, and I'm a Pompano Beach resident, but I'm also on the Southeast Florida Coral Reef Initiative and involved with our Florida reefs management coming up with the comprehensive management strategy. Our nonprofit Vone Research has been involved with coral reef restoration, particularly moving, transplanting corals of opportunity and reattachment of Elkhorn fragments.

Anyway, what I wanted to do is tell you that I've been diving and snorkeling off this area for since 1968. That's a long time. And I've seen firsthand the loss of 80 percent of the corals. And even 10 percent would be too much, but 80 percent is just staggering. And I'm really afraid that the future generations will not have the opportunity to experience this national treasure.

I know Sierra already mentioned that it's the

barrier reef in the continental United States, and it is something that not only locally we should protect, there should be a national effort to doing everything we can.

2.0

So I have five things for the Army Corps. And thank you for letting us engage in our comments.

Number one is don't make the same mistakes that you made in the Port of Miami. They are drastically underestimated.

Number two, third party oversight is critical.

I don't see Cry of the Water here or Palm Beach Reef
Rescue, but they do an excellent job of keeping
these types of projects in line.

Number three, mandatory regulations on reef damage. There's got to be compensation for the reef damage. We know there's going to be damage.

I'm going to echo the other people. We definitely need a supplemental EIS statement. I believe it has not been updated since 2015, and so much occurred in 2015 and 2016. Here it is 2017, that needs to be updated in the form of a supplemental EIS.

And fifth, to move it out to 1000 meters for controlling, looking at control locations, monitoring. 150 meters is nothing. It really needs

to be 1000 meters. We've seen damage beyond that in multiple projects in the past.

But again, thank you for the opportunity to express our concerns, and I really hope we don't continue this drastic loss of coral.

2.0

- - - - -

CHERYL HARRIS: Thank you. I'm a resident of Delray Beach, and I don't have any credentials like most of the people in this room do, but I am concerned about what could happen because of this project given what did happen in the Port of Miami.

Coral reefs and the other ecosystems in our oceans and waterways here are very important, and it's for numerous reasons that have been noted by many of the people here already and by people who have been writing in various forms and places. And I join everybody's urging you to learn from what happened during the operations in PortMiami and to take every precaution this time to preserve the reefs and minimize what could happen to the other life in the waters near Port Everglades.

Also just because there could be, this can be irreversible damage that occurs, and, therefore, the environmental assessments and precautions need to be thorough, and so I hope that the Corps staff will

proceed cautiously here where some ecosystems are at stake. Thank you.

CARL MAHLER: Good evening. I'm a diver and a boater who regularly uses these waters with my family, and I know we've heard a lot about corals and economics tonight, but the population of Broward County has exploded by 8 1/2 percent over the last five years, and that leads all other counties in the state and has continued, is expected to continue.

The port needs to be allowed to grow in order to meet this demand. The big Post Panamax ships are already coming into Port Everglades, and having a deeper and wider channel would increase the safety margins of those ships that come into the port. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

2.0

RACHEL SILVERSTEIN: Hello. I'm Rachel Silverstein. I'm the executive director and waterkeeper of the local nonprofit called Miami Waterkeeper.

We've actually been involved in litigation for the last two years in the Port of Miami for Endangered Species Act violations for the damage that occurred there and we're also involved in litigation for Endangered Species Act and NEPA concerns here at Port Everglades.

2.0

2.2

So I'm very happy that this public comment meeting is taking place and that the Army Corps has agreed to rescope NEPA. I think we need to learn a lot of lessons from what happened in Miami and I'm hopeful that going through this process that we would get there.

But I did see this Frequently Asked Questions document that many people have referenced. This contains a ton of misinformation and really misleading information, and in particular I want to know this question posed in the Frequently Asked Questions, "Did the Miami Harbor deepening project cause excessive coral damage or coral reef damage?" The answer is "no" here, and then it blames critics of the Miami deepening project for overstating the impacts.

In fact, the data about the impacts comes from the Army Corps' own contractors and from the expert Federal agency in this project, the National Marine Fisheries Service.

This document also calls the National Marine Fisheries Service's conclusive reports that were published as peer reviewed literature and a peer

reviewed journal as inconclusive, and we're expected to wait for the Army Corps' contractors to complete their study and deference was given to them, when, in fact, their data is showing the same thing as everybody else is showing as well.

So this is really disheartening, and we're going to be submitting very long technical remarks and comments about how to do this project better.

Of course, two minutes isn't enough to get into all of it. We've had some really awesome comments tonight, so I want to thank everybody for coming out and standing up for the reefs as well. It's really important.

But I do want to correct the record here with these Frequently Asked Questions and, you know, we will be making these longer technical comments available for the public as well. Thank you.

\_ \_ \_ \_

2.0

LISA MICELI: All right. I hate speaking, everyone knows. I'm going to look over here because I get nervous.

Well, everyone already spoke about what I didn't know how to put into two minutes. We spoke about coral damage, disease, the sea grass beds, the beach renourishment, the sediment coverage, the

decline in tourism, endangered species, the problem with the Miami dredging.

2.0

So I'm here to speak, I guess, I'm a local.

I'm a resident. I was born, I was raised here 46

years, I'm old. And so the ocean,

Lauderdale-By-The-Sea is actually my home, Fort

Lauderdale beach. I know everything. I've seen our

land disappear. I grew up and there were no

buildings, there were no condos, so I've seen our

corals disappear.

And I just think this is -- you know, what about the manatees? I can't tell you how many times I've been on the boat. I go on Royal Caribbean three times a year. Our favorite thing to do is when the music's playing The Love Boat and, you know, we look at the manatees and the families and, you know, that's our joy. We look forward to that. So when you start blasting, how are you protecting these animals? That's their home.

What about when, you know, the cover, the sediment, it's going to cover all the fish, the corals. I'm a coral hugger, you know. What about the little creatures and the lobsters? That's going to affect lobster season, you know, the diving industry.

No one wants -- I dive almost every week in

Lauderdale-By-The-Sea, and I honestly stopped

diving, what is it, I haven't dived in like 6,

7 months because they just did a beach renourishment

and there's nothing. The coral reef is gone.

There's no fish. I used to sit and take photos, and

now I'm lucky if I see a fish go by, I'm like, oh,

get the camera.

So now we're at that problem here, and we have it in Miami, so now we're merging it together. So this is going to hurt us. It's going to hurt tourism, it's going to hurt diving industry, and it's going to hurt the future generation.

2.0

MICHAEL KRANZLER: Good evening. Much what's already been said is what I was going to say, so I'll keep this pretty short.

I come here really as an attorney. I have a major concern as to how the information came out about the Miami Port project.

What I really want to see is some third party oversight to prevent any misrepresentations. As some of you may be aware, in the Miami Port project there are Federal filings that had photographs of coral that was undamaged and represented as being

part of the Miami Port that was actually from the Cayman Islands. I believe there's the photo right there.

2.0

So, again, as an attorney that's a major concern to me. That's something I would never risk my license over that. I just want to make sure you have some sort of oversight this time around to prevent that from happening again. We can't make any decisions, the courts can't make any of their decisions if they don't have the right information.

- - - - -

INA TOPPER: Hi. My name is Ina Oost Topper, and I'm the chair for the Broward Chapter of the National Sierra here.

One thing that hasn't come up yet tonight was, number one, indeed given the political changes in Washington, in a few months from now there's no more EPA. Does it mean that whatever we're doing here is for naught tonight and everything will go out the window? I'm just saying it's a hypothetical question, but one wonders.

Number two, it is well-known by now that all the data that recollect before the Port of Miami project was started was a result of very outdated research. Who's to say that we are not doing the

right research right now? What assurance do we have that the whole thing is not repeating itself all over again?

Number three, I did see something about relocating coral. What is the proof of a very successful venture like that? Do we have any research, extensive research where it has been done? You're talking about quite a bit of relocation, and I'm just wondering, can we be told what the success rate is of such extensive relocation?

Thank you so much.

2.0

BRETTNY HARDY: Hi. I'm Brettny Hardy and I work at Earth Justice. Thank you for putting on this comment period and hearing all of the public.

I personally lived in Key West for five years and have dived and worked throughout the regions, so the corals and the marine environment here have a special place in my heart.

I work as an attorney now, and I'm representing Miami Waterkeeper, Center for Biological Diversity, Florida Wildlife Federation, and DEMA. Together we brought litigation challenging the adequacy of the original EIS that the Army Corps published to support the dredging project here in Port

Everglades, because the original EIS contains the same plans and information that the Army Corps had included at Port of Miami, and you've heard already how things went in Port of Miami. Thankfully that litigation is on hold and the Army Corps now is rescoping the EIS and producing a supplemental environmental impact statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And I want to briefly talk about the legal responsibilities of the Corps in producing that The gentleman previously mentioned that the Corps needs to take a hard look at the impacts of Miami. The National Environmental Policy Act that governs the environmental review process here is one of our most fundamental environmental protections, and it requires the Corps to really stop and take a look at what's going to happen to coral before it starts dredging, to look at all reasonable alternatives, to consider the cumulative impacts that are going to happen with regard to all the other stressors that coral reefs are facing, and to look at the most accurate scientific information possible. And this is really important, because there are at least six out of seven of the coral species that are listed on the Endangered Species Act that are present in this project area, so the

Corps needs to take this seriously and do the best job that it can. Thank you.

2.0

STANLEY PANNAMAN: I'd like to address what Rachel Silverstein from Miami Waterkeeper said.

In Miami the dredging proved disastrous for the coral reefs in the area. The Corps had assumed there would be minimal impact to coral, but instead fine grain sediment from the dredging project harmed tens of thousands, if not hundreds of thousands of coral colonies, and over 250 acres of reef designated as critical habitat for the ESA listed Staghorn corals.

The National Marine and Fisheries Service assessed that that area and determined that 95 percent of the surveyed reefs are no longer suitable for habitat for corals and some of the damaged reefs will never recover naturally.

This is what we could expect to happen right here in Broward County when they start the dredging if they don't take into consideration the mitigation and if we don't have third parties to monitor what's going on.

The Army Corps of Engineers is a political agency. These people follow orders. We cannot

trust the orders that they follow. We have to ourselves see what's going on. Thank you.

2.0

KELLY COX: Hi, everyone. My name is Kelly

Cox. I'm the staff attorney and program director

for Miami Waterkeeper. We're, as Rachel said, a

local nonprofit focused on swimmable, drinkable, and

fishable water in south Florida.

I think what I take issue most about this project is the failure to incorporate lessons learned from the Port of Miami. In fact, I think the blatant disregard for the expert agency's opinion, and the expert agency being the National Marine Fisheries Service in this project, the Corps is the action agency. They're supposed to defer to the expert agency under NEPA and other environmental laws for expert opinions.

These people who work at NMFS and NOAA are, in fact, experts. They're Ph.D.'s. They're trained in fisheries, sciences, and many of them are future members of this governmental organization maybe sitting in this room. And I think it's really, really important that we just don't disregard what the expert agency is saying, and that's exactly what happened in the Port of Miami.

1 The National Marine Fisheries Service came 2 forward and said that they grossly underestimated the impacts in Port of Miami, and I think that the 3 4 Corps ignored that in a letter in May of 2015 I believe. And the National Marine Fisheries Service 5 even said that the sedimentation impacts with coral 6 7 reefs in the Port of Miami was an unequivocal take, a legal take of endangered and threatened species. 8 And I think that the Corps needs to actually do 9 10 something about that rather than just taking it with a grain of salt and disregarding it. 11

And so I hope here in Port Everglades that we're able to actually utilize these experts and their expert opinions and effectuate action based on those expert opinions rather than saying no thanks, we'd rather not. The easy way out is not necessarily the best way out for our clean water economy here in south Florida, including all the industries that rely on the clean water and not turbid water, and all of the different people who enjoy and recreate and live in south Florida for this exact reason. Thank you.

23

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

24

25

MELISSA RILEY: Hi. My name is Melissa Riley, and I live in Dania Beach of Broward County. I'm

Currently studying marine mammal science at UM, and I'm also concerned about corals as many people who have spoke are. But I'd also like to remind the Corps that sea turtle season is from May to October, and I worked for the Broward County Sea Turtle Conservation Program and I'm very concerned about the endangered species of sea turtles that nest in the area. Thank you.

2.0

- - - - -

MATTHEW SCHWARTZ: So I just wanted to emphasize a little more about the terrestrial environment in the vicinity of both the channel and the intracoastal, and I did mention John U. Lloyd park and I felt bad because the park was recently renamed. It's now the Dr. Von D. Mizell-Eula Johnson State Park. These are named after two civil rights leaders in Broward County responsible for integrating beaches in Broward County. It wasn't that long ago.

And I'm going to read from the approved management plan by the DEP and talk about the resources of that park and how this project, what you guys are going to have to figure out how this project is going to affect it. But this is their statement.

"The park provides public beaches that are enjoyed by nearly half a million Florida residents and visitors each year.

"The park protects nearly two-and-a-half miles of active marine turtle nesting area the three species of marine turtle, including the endangered green marine turtle, the leatherback marine turtle, and the threatened loggerhead marine turtle.

"The park protects seven types of natural communities and their rare and endemic plants and animals including Beach Jacquemontia, wood stork, brown pelican, osprey, and three species of imperiled green turtle within a highly developed urban area. It's a very important resource for the people in Broward County.

"The park also protects the site of a dedicated African-American beach in Broward County which is representative of Florida's history during the civil rights movement."

Virtually everything I've said about this area also applies to West Lake Park and the Anne Kolb Nature Center, also in that same channel. And these sediments will move up and down the intracoastal, they'll also move out to the beach area hitting the marine turtles that a lot of people are concerned

about. This is a very important manatee area, we know that for sure.

2.0

I also want to talk, I've got 13 seconds, heavy metals will be entering into the water from this project, and Broward County has acknowledged that roads, industrial activity are the major source.

We've got lead, cadmium, chromium, zinc, all of these issues have to be covered in that hard look that you folks do when you do this environmental impact statement. And I do suggest you do a full environmental impact statement, not a supplemental. Start from scratch. Thank you.

- - - - -

DREW MARTIN: Drew Martin again with the Loxahatchee Group of the Sierra Club.

I'm not an expert on science, but I actually do have a Master's degree in international business, so I can't say I'm an expert but I think I have some knowledge of the international trade. And I think that the economic study is far exaggerated in its benefits of what it'll do for the economy in south Florida while the risks and damage to the dive industry and the fishing industry is far underestimated, and this is a great concern to me.

We live on a planet that is fairly small and

there is no place else in the universe we can live. The coral reef system is an integral part of the environment ecological system that our species evolved with. If we kill this reef system not only will it dramatically damage south Florida, but it will dramatically damage the world. So many of the species, the reefs are the nurseries for so many of our species, the reefs and the estuaries.

2.0

I don't feel -- I feel that we rush to these judgments to approve these projects based on false economic data that makes us feel like, oh, we have to do this, we have to create this, we have to allow all these large tanker ships, a lot of these large ships into Florida, but we really don't have to do that. There is an existing port of 42 feet, it's economically successful right now.

There is no urgency to approve this project, but there is an urgency to protect the earth. And if we don't do that, then all this economic benefit that supposedly we're expecting will be for nothing because our species won't be around to enjoy it.

So I hope you will take that into consideration when you are doing your EIS. And I agree with a third party analysis. And I hope these beautiful corals will be around for the next generation.

Thank you.

MARA SHLACKMAN: Hi. I've been a resident of Fort Lauderdale beach for over 20 years now, and I want to certainly echo and thank all the other speakers who have spoken tonight.

And I've been out on the beaches with friends of mine who were monitoring turtle nests during sea turtle nesting season. I don't have the stamina to stay up that late to be out there into the wee hours of the morning doing that. But it's very important that we protect our sea turtle population.

Also I was thinking as I was listening to the remarks of others here that I see a lot of flocks of ibis and I see pelicans and other birds in the area, and I wonder how this will affect them and whether that's been studied. So I think the Corps really needs to take a hard look at all these issues. Thank you.

- - - - -

JEFF PALUMBO: Hey guys. I just wanted to bring up a couple things that weren't addressed either.

I'm a UM grad student. I'm also the president of the research diving club at UM. And when we dive

we actually make a drive all the way up to Fort
Lauderdale to do beach dives because we don't have
access to a boat, so it's actually one of the only
places in south Florida where you can go without a
boat and dive and it's actually worth it and not a
bridge.

2.0

So that's one of the things I'd really like to point out is that California may be beating Florida in certifications which is also money, but they're right behind Florida -- or you're right behind California. And if you had, if you lose beaches like this you're not going to get them back. So there's no boat -- basically you have to have a boat to go diving here if you lose that beach. It's not totally true, but it's one of the best beaches in Florida to go diving off of. And if you lose that you lose one of the biggest keystone staples of Florida. And all this money like from diving and all that, it's going to go out the window.

I teach every weekend, I take people out scuba diving, I teach them how to dive, and just seeing how excited people get, like it really changes your mind in how you view things. But if that goes away, I mean, there's no price for that. So the whole state really is at risk here on one beach. It may

sound a little dramatic, but that's how I see it as a scuba instructor and as a diver. Thank you.

2.0

PROFESSOR ANDREW BAKER: I don't know where my original two minutes went, the blink of an eye.

So there is something else that I wanted to share with everyone here which was that you may have heard in the news reports that the corals in the Port of Miami were principally affected by a disease outbreak which occurred about the same time as the dredging activities was going on.

And, in fact, the contractors who work for the Corps to look at the environmental effects of dredging actually published a paper not so long ago, a few months ago, based on the fact that they had recorded the most lethal unprecedented episode of coral bleaching potentially on record. And interestingly enough and coincidentally enough the epicenter of the outbreak of this disease was a mere two miles away from the dredge site. Subsequently the disease spread all the way down through the Keys and all the way up into Martin County and it has become Florida's worst ever disease on record.

In the report that these contractors published no mention is made of the fact that a large Federal

dredging project is occurring at the same time and virtually and identically the same place as the epicenter of the outbreak.

2.0

And I think it's really important that we point out, first of all, that there's good scientific evidence that dredging can cause disease as a result of stuff that's released into the water column with the sediments; and secondly, that even if the dredging activity doesn't cause the disease, it will severely exacerbate the effects of a disease, both of which could end up with the same patterns of disease that we, in fact, documented.

So I think we need to keep our eyes open that there are potentially interacting stressors that dredging will exacerbate. A lot of our focus, my focus has been on the direct impacts of sedimentation, but there's lots of indirect impacts of dredging and sedimentation that exacerbates existing problems.

I also want to reiterate a point that's been made many times which is that the data sets all agree, the data sets from the contractors of the Army Corps and the data sets from Florida DEP, from NOAA, they all agree what changes is in the interpretation and the presentation of those results

which are very misleading if you read the contractor's version of the report.

So I would really push for as my single strongest recommendation that there be, as has been mentioned, a third party oversight of what is going on so that we have the opportunity to be transparent in both the presentation of the data and its interpretation. Thank you.

- - -

2.0

ADAM NARDELLI: I don't have much to say, but just a second. It seems to me that there is a consensus for third party oversight. So just can we go on record. Can we just call like an order to vote on how many people are in agreement that there should be third party oversight, can you just raise your hand so that we can come up with a consensus? So it seems like this side of the room, I don't know, probably, there's 39, about 90 percent of the room. I would say that, about 39 people are in consensus for a third party oversight. That's all I just want to put on the record.

MICHAEL CONNELLY: I want to point out also that in 2014 and 2015 there was widespread bleaching as a result of thermal stress in the Keys and along

the south Florida reef track, and I'd like to make the recommendation that should there be observed high sea surface temperatures that the Corps should potentially reduce or suspend their dredging operations in the summer months when those temperatures are here.

- - - - -

STANLEY PANNAMAN:

During sea turtle season do you plan on dredging?

TIM MURPHY: Sir, I'm precluded from answering

I have one question, sir.

any questions. I apologize for that, but I can't answer any questions.

- - - - -

DAN BURDENO: Hi. My name is Dan Burdeno. I'm a graduate from the University of Miami RSMAS campus in '12 where I studied coral biology. And I would just like to reiterate pretty much what everyone else, my colleagues, my friends have said that we need a third party oversight. We need a better look at what happened in Miami. It was absolutely disgusting what was allowed to happen.

And honestly what's even more disgusting to me is the response that the Army Corps and other divisions of our government had in coming out and basically trying to brush it under the rug, trying

Page 75

to say that this didn't happen when it did and we have the evidence that it did happen. And I think that is one of the main things that we need to take a look at in how they handled the actions after the fact and how that's going to be the same way they're going to handle it after Port Everglades, and that, you know, I would like to see change. And I really, really want to see change, and I hope that we see change, and I hope it's not repeated. Thank you.

(Session 2 of the Comments Section is concluded.)

	rage 70
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA, )
3	county of broward.
4	I, Victoria Suarez, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing, Pages 1 to and including 76, is a
6	true and correct transcription of my stenographic
7	notes of requested proceedings had in the City of
8	Fort Lauderdale, County of Broward, State of Florida.
9	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto affixed
10	my hand this 2nd day of March, 2017.
11	
12	NDTC4
13	varen_ Victoria
14	Victoria Suarez,
15	Court Reporter :
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	