

**INFORMATION SHEET**  
**DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS**  
**RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK**  
**COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** Jacksonville  
**FILE NUMBER:** SAJ-1993-475-MRE

**REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:** Mark R. Evans **Date:** 26 May 2004

**PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:** In the office Y (Y/N) **Date:** 26 May 2004  
 At the project site \_\_ (Y/N) **Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**

**State:** Florida  
**County:** St. Johns  
**Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates:** 29°48'41" & 81°19'02"  
**Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres):** 122 acres  
**Name of waterway or watershed:** Moses Creek

**SITE CONDITIONS:**

Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	0.2								
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
_____									

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?				X	
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?					X
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?			X		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		X			

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

**TYPE OF DETERMINATION:** Preliminary \_\_ Or Approved

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD** (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite): The applicant only requested information on three small isolated wetlands, with impacts totaling 0.12 acre, where the estimated size of the three wetlands identified totals approximately 0.2 acre. The site contains other wetlands, both non-jurisdictional and jurisdictional. The review conducted did not encompass the entire property.