

APPENDIX E
Environmental Baseline Study

**Environmental Baseline Study
Miami Harbor
General Reevaluation Report**

FINAL REPORT



**Revised
November 2001**

**Prepared for
Jacksonville District
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
400 West Bay Street
Jacksonville, FL 32202**

**by
Dial Cordy and Associates Inc.
490 Osceola Avenue
Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250**

PREFACE

This report was previously issued to the US Army Corps of Engineers Jacksonville District during May 2001 and included an assessment of impacts and a preliminary list of mitigation options that were identified based on limited site investigations and communications with local resource personnel. The information was intended solely for internal planning purposes by the Corps, and due to the preliminary nature of the project alternatives and evaluations at the time the report, it was determined that those sections regarding a discussion of impacts and mitigation should not be circulated. Any information regarding project impacts and mitigation will be included in the Environmental Impact Statement for the project once the project alternatives have been determined and appropriate mitigation has been identified. A summary of direct impacts acreages is provided for the preliminary design alternatives.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE.....	II
LIST OF APPENDICES	IV
LIST OF FIGURES.....	V
LIST OF TABLES.....	VI
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Project Description.....	1
1.2 Background.....	1
1.3 Proposed Modification Alternative Descriptions	3
2.0 TECHNICAL APPROACH.....	7
2.1 Seagrass Community Assessment.....	7
2.1.1 Location of Survey Transects.....	7
2.1.2 Seagrass Mapping.....	7
2.1.3 Seagrass Occurrence, Abundance and Density.....	7
2.1.4 Analysis and Interpretation of Seagrass Data.....	11
2.2 Hardbottom and Reef Habitat Assessment	11
2.2.1 Habitat Characterization and Mapping.....	12
2.2.2 Visual Fish Survey.....	12
2.2.3 Photodocumentation.....	12
2.3 Essential Fish Habitat Identification.....	12
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE.....	15
3.1 Seagrass Communities.....	15
3.1.1 Quantitative Measures	15
3.1.2 Flora and Fauna Associated with Seagrasses.....	20
3.2 Hardbottom and Reef Communities.....	21
3.2.1 Dominant Biota of Hardbottom/Reef Habitats.....	21
3.2.2 Fishes Associated with Hardbottom/Reef Habitats.....	25
3.3 Unvegetated Softbottom Communities.....	25

3.4	Rock/Rubble Communities.....	27
3.5	Essential Fish Habitat (EFH).....	27
3.6	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	33
3.6.1	Johnson’s Seagrass.....	33
3.6.2	West Indian Manatees	33
3.6.3	Sea Turtles.....	35
4.0	PROJECT IMPACTS	35
7.0	REFERENCES.....	38

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Persons Consulted and Correspondence
APPENDIX B	Seagrass Transect Data
APPENDIX C	Side Scan Survey Results
APPENDIX D	Photographic Transects

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1 Location of Study Area	2
Figure 2 Proposed Modification Alternatives	5
Figure 3 Seagrass and Hardbottom/Reef Habitat Assessment Transects.....	9
Figure 4 Seagrass Distribution.....	16
Figure 5 Hardbottom and Reef Habitat Distribution	23
Figure 6 Threatened and Endangered Species Occurrence Map	34

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1 Habitat Classification System Used for Mapping of Seagrass Species.....	10
Table 2 Classification System Used for Mapping of Hardbottom and Reef Habitats.....	14
Table 3 Seagrass Frequency of Occurrence, Abundance, and Density Values for Miami Harbor Survey Transects.....	18
Table 4 Relative Abundance of Fish Species Observed During Visual Survey Miami Harbor, Florida.....	26
Table 5 Essential Fish Habitat Areas in South Florida	28
Table 6 Habitat Associations of Selected EFH Managed Species	29
Table 7 Biological Attributes Table for Selected EFH Managed Species	30
Table 8 Reproductive Requirements of Selected EFH Species.....	31
Table 9 Summary of Sea Turtle Nesting for Dade County Florida, 1980-2000.....	36
Table 10 Direct Impact Acreages by Habitat Type	37

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Miami-Dade County Seaport Department of the Port of Miami requested the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District, to study the feasibility of modifying portions of Miami Harbor to improve the Federal navigation system of channels. Hence, Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. (DC&A) was subcontracted by Gulf Engineers and Consultants Inc. (GEC) to conduct an environmental baseline study and preliminary impact assessment for proposed deepening and widening of Miami Harbor at Miami, Florida for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District, under contract No. DACW17-99-D-0043.

The DC&A study included conducting an environmental resource survey of the study area (Figure 1) that included field investigations (video surveys and diver surveys) to characterize marine habitats within the areas to be potentially impacted. Also, literature, data, and other information were collected, compiled, and reviewed. A summary of the findings of the DC&A study are presented in this report.


1.1 Project Description

The objective of the environmental baseline survey report was to document benthic marine habitat types in the Miami Harbor (Miami, Florida) area. These habitats included seagrass, unvegetated softbottom, rock/rubble, hardbottom, and reef communities. The field survey for this study was conducted from offshore Buoy #1 of Federal Channel through Government Cut; within and adjacent to Miami Harbor from Government Cut to the cruise ship channel turning basin; and along Fisherman's Channel to the southwest end of Dodge Island (Figure 1). The survey also included identification of protected marine plants, animals and habitats within the project area.

1.2 Background

Miami Harbor is a shallow saltwater sound at the northern end of Biscayne Bay, Florida. The Port of Miami is one of the major port complexes along the east coast of the United States. The first modifications authorized by Congress to expand the Port came in 1902 and several Acts have been authorized since to keep up with the demands of larger vessels using the Port. Many of the suggested alternative modifications for the report were discussed in the Navigation Study for Miami Harbor Channel, Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement-10140 (USACE, 1989). In the interim since that document resulted in the authorization of navigation improvements to Miami Harbor, container ships using the harbor and associated waterways have continued to increase in length, width, and draft. Cruise ships have also increased in size. Currently these types of ships experience delays and increased operational costs due to the existing limitations of channel depth and width.

Figure 1 Location of Study Area



The Miami-Dade County Seaport Department provided correspondence (Appendix A) from the Biscayne Bay Pilots outlining their concerns for the need to widen certain segments of the navigation channels in addition to the need for deepening. According to the harbor pilots, several Maersk container ships have grounded off of Buoy #1 at the beginning of the entrance channel due to variable and unpredictable currents. The pilots have requested widening the entrance channel from an existing 500-foot width to an 800-foot tapered entrance. The second location of proposed widening includes an area south of Government Cut between beacons 13 and 15. That portion of the channel includes an area where ships turn from one channel into another. Strong currents at that intersection of three different channels combined with the required decreased speed of the ship make it important to have as much swinging room as possible for the ship. A third location for widening recommended by the harbor pilots includes the south part of the Lummas Island (Fisherman's) Channel. Vessels docked along Lummas Island swing their onboard cranes 90 degrees out into the channel thereby blocking a portion of the channel. Under different conditions of wind, current, ship size and draft, passing those docked vessels results in an unsafe situation. Ships at dock sometimes experience a surging effect. The pilots suggest extending the southern edge of the Lummas Island Channel 100 feet to the south. Other alternatives for channel modifications relate to requests by the Miami-Dade County Seaport Department to expand their cruise ship terminals.

The proposed navigation modifications will undergo ship simulation testing and further environmental evaluation as part of the current study process for the Miami Harbor General Reevaluation Report and Environmental Impact Statement. Further coordination will occur as the study process continues.

1.3 Proposed Modification Alternative Descriptions

Proposed preliminary modification alternatives are summarized in Figure 2. A description of the proposed modification alternatives is as follows:

No Action Plan	Port would continue operation under the existing conditions.
Alternative 1	Widen seaward portion of cut-1 from 500 feet to 800 feet and deepen Cut-1 and Cut-2 from existing depth of 44 feet to a depth of 52 feet.
Alternative 2	Add turn widener between Buoy #13 and Buoy #15 and deepen from existing depth of 42 feet to a depth of 50 feet.
Alternative 3	Expand Fisher Island turning basin and deepen from existing depth of 42 feet to a depth of 50 feet.
Alternative 4	Relocate the western end of the main channel to allow for additional cruise ship berths.
Alternative 5	Widen Fisherman's channel approximately 100 feet to the south and deepen from current depth of 42 feet to a depth of 50 feet. Deepening would include Cut-3, station 0+00 to Cut-3 station 42+00

Alternative 6	Deepen Dodge Island Cut and the proposed 1200-foot diameter turning basin from 32 and 34 feet to 36 feet and relocate western end of Dodge Island cut to accommodate proposed Port expansion.
---------------	---

Figure 2 Proposed Modification Alternatives



2.0 TECHNICAL APPROACH

The technical approach utilized to document and characterize marine seagrass, hardbottom, and coral reef communities within the study area (Figure 1) is described below. Surveys were conducted during August and September 2000, with additional seagrass mapping of the Critical Wildlife Area (CWA) in November 2000.

2.1 Seagrass Community Assessment

2.1.1 Location of Survey Transects

Survey transects within the study area included the area 400 feet south of Fisherman's Channel, including the area within the CWA, the area adjacent to the Coast Guard Station, the Entrance Channel, and the area 500 feet north and south of the offshore channel (Figure 3).

2.1.2 Seagrass Mapping

Marine seagrass was mapped along 35 transects within the designated project study area by locating the end positions of the transects using Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS), laying a weighted line marked in one meter increments from the shore, and then conducting a visual diver survey along the weighted line to document seagrass distribution and occurrence from the shore to the edge of channel. Seagrass habitat and bottom type observed while crossing each transect were noted. Divers drift dove to the next transect, and if any seagrass was found between transects, a DGPS position at the start and end of the grass bed was recorded and the width of the grass bed estimated. Information recorded on seagrass habitat type and distribution was transferred from field logs and entered into a spreadsheet. Table 1 lists a description of habitat classifications used for mapping purposes. This approach allowed a visual representation of species' associations and occurrences across the shelf, channel, and slope as compared with bottom depth. Maps were produced for all stations surveyed that had seagrass present. A GIS map (ArcView) and database were created to illustrate seagrass distribution throughout the study area.

2.1.3 Seagrass Occurrence, Abundance and Density

To obtain biological data regarding the location, occurrence, abundance, and density of marine seagrass, a SCUBA point intercept survey was performed along each transect. For each transect,

the average percent (percent of sixteen 25 x 25 cm sub-units within a 1m² quadrat that contains at least one seagrass shoot) was estimated in 1m² quadrats at 10m intervals along

Figure 3 Seagrass and Hardbottom/Reef Habitat Assessment Transects




Table 1 Habitat Classification System Used for Mapping of Seagrass Species

Habitat Types	Description
<i>Halophila decipiens</i>	Monospecific bed of this species
<i>Halophila johnsonii</i>	Monospecific bed of this species
<i>Halodule wrightii</i>	Monospecific bed of this species
<i>Syringodium filiforme</i>	Monospecific bed of this species
Mixed Submerged Aquatic Vegetation	<i>S. filiforme</i> or <i>H. wrightii</i> with <i>H. decipiens</i>
Mixed Submerged Aquatic Vegetation with <i>H. johnsonii</i>	<i>S. filiforme</i> and or <i>H. wrightii</i> with <i>H. johnsonii</i>
Mixed Submerged Aquatic Vegetation with <i>H. johnsonii</i> and <i>H. decipiens</i>	<i>H. wrightii</i> with both species of <i>Halophila</i>
Unvegetated Bottom	Sand, silt or shell substrate with no seagrass or live bottom, may have marine algae present
Live-Bottom Habitat	Sponge and soft coral community over thin veneer of silty-sand

the transect line (Virnstein 1995; Fonseca et al. 1998; Braun-Blanquet 1965). Specific data recorded within each 1m² quadrat for each seagrass species present included the number of sub-units containing at least one shoot, an average cover abundance score (Braun-Blanquet 1965), a description of substrate type, and any other observations considered useful. The cover abundance scale is shown below.

Cover abundance was measured at 10m intervals beginning along each transect. The content of each quadrat was visually inspected and a cover-abundance scale value assigned to the seagrass coverage.

The scale values are:

- 0.1 = Solitary shoots with small cover
- 0.5 = Few shoots with small cover
- 1.0 = Numerous shoots but less than 5% cover
- 2.0 = Any number of shoots but with 5-25% cover
- 3.0 = Any number of shoots but with 25-50% cover
- 4.0 = Any number of shoots but with 50-75% cover
- 5.0 = Any number of shoots but with >75% cover

From the survey of quadrats along each transect, frequency of occurrence, abundance, and density of seagrass was computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Frequency of occurrence} &= \text{Number of occupied sub-units/total number of sub-units} \\ \text{Abundance} &= \text{Sum of cover scale values/number of occupied quadrats} \\ \text{Density} &= \text{Sum of cover scale values/total number of quadrats}\end{aligned}$$

2.1.4 Analysis and Interpretation of Seagrass Data

Distribution of seagrass community types were mapped for each transect from data collected in the field, as the potential for occurrence in an area. Frequency of occurrence, abundance, and density were calculated from the quadrat data based on Braun-Blanquet (1965) methodology.

2.2 Hardbottom and Reef Habitat Assessment

A reef and live-hardbottom assessment was conducted in the area offshore from the jetty in the federal channel to 15,000 feet offshore to verify existing resource maps and to characterize the marine resources in the study area. To verify the accuracy of existing reef and hardbottom maps (e.g., those of Continental Shelf Associates, 1993), towed underwater video (J.W. Fishers TOV-1TM) in conjunction with DGPS was used to record and mark the occurrence of hardbottom and

reef habitats along transects on each side of the entrance channel (Figure 3). Side-scan sonar imaging (Klein 590TM) was also utilized to map the hardbottom and reef habitat features offshore. Side-scan, video and field data collected was used to assess the accuracy of existing maps of coral reef and nearshore hardbottom habitats within the study area. A revised resource map was prepared based on remote surveys conducted and existing resource maps.

2.2.1 Habitat Characterization and Mapping

To illustrate the occurrence of reef and hardbottom habitats within the study area, existing resource maps of the area were compared to video and side-scan data. The classification system utilized for mapping is described in Table 2. Following compilation of habitat distribution in reef and hardbottom communities, data were transferred into a database for use in mapping using ArcView (GIS). A visual representation of habitat types was constructed using these data and existing maps for the Port area.

2.2.2 Visual Fish Survey

A visual survey of fishes found within Miami Harbor hardbottom communities was performed. Reef and hardbottom communities were chosen from stations where DGPS coordinates were taken in conjunction with towed video documentation of reef or hardbottom sighted. On reef and hardbottom areas, divers were deployed along a 50m transect. All dominant fish species observed were recorded and relative abundance gauged. Species lists were then compiled using existing reports and data collected.

2.2.3 Photodocumentation

Both video and still photos were used to document fish species present along fish survey transects. Video was recorded along each side of the 50m transect to document marine life. Still photographs were taken every 2m along the transect length.

2.3 Essential Fish Habitat Identification

The comprehensive Fishery Management Plan prepared by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC 1998b) establishes mangrove, seagrass, nearshore, and offshore reefs as essential fish habitat for coral, coral reefs, live-bottom habitat, snapper-grouper complex, red drum, penaeid shrimp, and coastal migratory pelagics. Furthermore, the plan establishes Essential Fish Habitat-Habitat Areas of Particular Concern (EFH-HAPC) within these areas for the spiny lobster

(*Panulirus argus*), Snapper-Grouper complex, and penaeid shrimp. Areas meeting the criteria of the management plan were identified within the study area and noted during the study.

Table 2 Classification System Used for Mapping of Hardbottom and Reef Habitats

Habitat Type	Description
Low -Relief Reef	Low profile stony coral, sponge, and gorgonian community
High-Relief Reef	High profile stony coral, sponge and gorgonian community
Patchy Low-Relief Reef	Isolated low profile stony coral, sponge, and gorgonian community
Patchy High-Relief Reef	Isolated high profile stony coral, sponge and gorgonian community
Scattered Rock/Algae/Sponge Community in Sand	Carbonate rock covered with algae, sponge or algae and sponge in sand
Sand	Softbottom habitats composed primarily of sand/sand with algae layer
Underlying Nearshore Bedrock with Sand	Oolitic limestone layer covered with fine layer of sand

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE

This section includes a description and review of the results of the marine resources survey. It outlines the findings of the seagrass community survey, including species occurrence, abundance, and density. It also addresses reef and hardbottom community distribution, species profiles, the presence of EFH, and occurrence records of protected marine plants and mammals. A summary of field data is located in Appendix B, while a list of persons contacted and pertinent correspondence is contained in Appendix A.

3.1 Seagrass Communities

Seagrass habitat cover type, abundance, and density for the study area are described below. Distribution and occurrence observations range from approximately 400 feet south of Fisherman's Channel, including the area of the CWA, and the area adjacent to the Coast Guard Station north of the entrance channel at the southern tip of Miami Beach (Figure 4).

3.1.1 Quantitative Measures

Marine seagrass species observed within the study area included *Halodule wrightii*, *Halophila decipiens*, *Syringodium filiforme* and *Thalassia testudinum*. Of the 35 transects surveyed (Figure 3), marine seagrass species were observed at 25 transects. A summary of occurrence records for each transect where seagrass is found in Table 3. Seagrass occurrence in these areas consisted of mixed Submerged Aquatic Vegetation (SAV) with *H. decipiens* and *H. wrightii*, mixed SAV with *H. wrightii*, and *T. testudinum*, mixed SAV of *T. testudinum* and *S. filiforme*, mixed beds of all species and, monospecific beds of *T. testudinum*, and monospecific beds of *H. decipiens*. No *H. johnsonii* was observed while surveying the 35 transects.

Frequency of Occurrence. *S. filiforme* had a range of occurrence values between 0 to 82 percent with a mean of 36 percent over the study area. *H. wrightii* occurred within 16 of the 35 transects sampled. Frequency of occurrence values ranged from 0 to 52 percent with a mean of 29 percent. *H. decipiens* occurred within 7 transects sampled. Frequency of occurrence for *H. decipiens* values ranged between 0 to 38 percent with a mean of 15 percent. In comparison, *T. testudinum* occurred within 15 transects surveyed, with a range of 0 to 50 percent and a mean of 19 percent.

Figure 4 Seagrass Distribution




Table 3 Seagrass Frequency of Occurrence, Abundance, and Density Values for Miami Harbor Survey Transects

	<i>Halodule wrightii</i>	<i>Halophila decipiens</i>	<i>Thalassia testudinum</i>	<i>Syringonium filiforme</i>	<i>Halodule wrightii</i>	<i>Halophila decipiens</i>	<i>Thalassia testudinum</i>	<i>Syringonium filiforme</i>	<i>Halodule wrightii</i>	<i>Halophila decipiens</i>	<i>Thalassia testudinum</i>	<i>Syringonium filiforme</i>
Transect	Frequency of Occurrence				Abundance				Density			
F4	-*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F5	-	-	0.1000	0.6000	-	-	4.0000	3.0000	-	-	0.8000	1.8000
F6	-	-	0.2500	0.5000	-	-	3.0000	3.5000	-	-	0.2500	0.5000
F7	-	-	0.2500	0.2969	-	-	2.0000	3.0000	-	-	0.5000	1.5000
F8	-	-	0.1667	0.5417	-	-	2.0000	3.7500	-	-	0.3333	2.5000
F9	-	-	0.4000	0.5250	-	-	3.5000	2.5250	-	-	1.4000	2.0200
F10	-	-	0.5000	0.2500	-	-	2.6667	3.0000	-	-	2.0000	0.7500
F11	-	0.1000	-	-	-	0.7500	-	-	-	0.3000	-	-
F12	0.1750	0.0500	0.2500	0.0500	3.0000	1.0000	1.5000	0.5000	0.6000	0.2000	0.6000	0.1000
F13	0.0625	-	0.0625	-	1.0000	-	1.0000	-	0.2500	-	0.2500	-
F14	-	-	-	0.3375	-	-	-	3.5000	-	-	-	1.4000
F15	0.5250	-	-	0.3375	4.3333	-	-	3.5000	2.6000	-	-	1.4000
F16	-	-	0.0625	0.5000	-	-	1.2500	3.5000	-	-	0.6250	1.7500
F17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B1	0.1667	0.1667	-	-	4.0000	4.0000	-	-	0.6670	0.6670	-	-
B2	0.2000	-	0.3000	-	5.0000	-	3.0000	-	1.0000	-	1.2000	-
B3	0.2000	0.2875	-	0.0063	4.0000	2.8000	-	0.0100	0.8000	1.4000	-	0.0100
B4	0.2153	0.3472	0.0833	-	2.1250	2.5000	3.0000	-	0.9444	1.3889	0.3333	-
B5	0.0179	0.3839	-	-	0.5000	2.1000	-	-	0.0714	1.5000	-	-
B6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B7	0.1339	-	-	0.2857	4.0000	-	-	5.0000	0.5714	-	-	1.4286
B8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	<i>Halodule wrightii</i>	<i>Halophila decipiens</i>	<i>Thalassia testudinum</i>	<i>Syringonium filiforme</i>	<i>Halodule wrightii</i>	<i>Halophila decipiens</i>	<i>Thalassia testudinum</i>	<i>Syringonium filiforme</i>	<i>Halodule wrightii</i>	<i>Halophila decipiens</i>	<i>Thalassia testudinum</i>	<i>Syringonium filiforme</i>
Transect	Frequency of Occurrence				Abundance				Density			
MB1	0.3889	-	-	-	3.5000	-	-	-	2.3330	-	-	-
MB2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MB3	0.0568	0.0682	-	-	1.5500	3.0000	-	-	0.2818	0.2727	-	-
MB4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1A	0.2727	-	-	-	1.6250	-	-	-	0.5909	-	-	-
2A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4A	0.2768	-	-	-	2.0000	-	-	-	0.5714	-	-	-
6A	0.0313	-	0.1719	0.3125	0.5000	-	3.0000	2.1250	0.0625	-	0.7500	1.0625
7A	0.2500	-	0.0179	0.8214	3.0000	-	0.5000	3.8333	0.8571	-	0.1429	3.2870
8A	0.1042	-	0.2639	0.5278	0.6667	-	2.8333	3.0000	0.2222	-	0.9444	1.6667

*= not detected

Note: Transects initially labeled F1, F2, F3, and 5A were determined to be outside of the study area and, therefore, were not surveyed.

Abundance. Abundance is expressed as a sum of the cover abundance scores divided by the number of quadrats where the specific species was assigned a score. Scores range from 0 to 5, where 1.0 is <5 percent cover, 2.0 is 5 to 25 percent cover, 4.0 is 50 to 75 percent cover, and 5.0 is >75 percent cover.

S. filiforme had the highest mean abundance within the study area (2.82). The range of abundance values ranged from 0 to 5 at the 14 transects where *S. filiforme* occurred. *H. wrightii* abundance values ranged from 0 to 5 over transects sampled with a mean of 2.67. *T. testudinum* occurred within 15 transects and had a mean abundance of 2.5, while *H. decipiens* had the lowest abundance values in the survey area with a mean value of 2.24 and a range of 0 to 4.

Density. Density is expressed as the sum of the cover abundance scores divided by the total quadrats sampled. When compared to abundance values, density values are very low compared to abundance because values are averaged across all quadrats within each transect, rather than only at occupied quadrats.

Across all transects sampled *S. filiforme* had the highest density (1.41). Density values for *S. filiforme* ranged from 0 to 3.27. In comparison, *H. wrightii* had density values ranging from 0 to 2.6 with a mean of 1.14. *T. testudinum* and *H. decipiens* both had relatively low density values (0.74 and 0.59).

3.1.2 Flora and Fauna Associated with Seagrasses

Seagrass communities provide important habitat for many different species of flora and fauna. *Caulerpa prolifera* was observed in video transects of *H. wrightii*, and algae of the genera *Halimeda*, *Udotea*, and *Penicillus* have also been listed as associates of seagrasses in southeastern Florida (Zieman, 1982). Many invertebrate species also utilize seagrass communities. The most obvious inhabitants include the queen conch (*Strombus gigas*), urchins including the long spine urchin (*Diadema antillarum*), nudibranchs, bivalve mollusks, and crustaceans including the spiny lobster (*Panulirus argus*), and the blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*). In some shallow seagrass areas, various soft corals and sponges were observed scattered within and adjacent to seagrass beds (see species listed in Section 3.2). Many fish species have also been shown to have life cycles dependent on seagrass beds. Of particular importance are the mullet (*Mugil cephalus*), snook (*Centropomus undecimalis*), and many prey species including mojarras and pinfish. Seagrass beds are also important nurseries for many of the fish associated with SAFMS Snapper-Grouper Complex (SAFMC 1998b).

3.2 Hardbottom and Reef Communities


Hardgrounds associated with the project area include a nearshore hardbottom area and three additional parallel reef tracts that run generally north/south (Figure 5). The hardbottom zone nearest to shore exists in a physically stressed environment, and involves the Miami Oolite Formation (Hoffmeister et al., 1967). Offshore from this nearshore hardbottom area, there are three parallel reef tracts (Duane and Meisburger, 1969). The first reef occurs approximately 100 to 2000 feet from shore, the second reef is located 3,000 to 6,000 feet offshore, and the third reef is approximately 8,000 feet or more offshore. There is an extensive sand area located between the second and third reef lines. The area between the first and second reef lines is characterized by small isolated hermatypic coral heads and interspersed coral rubble, with areas of open sand (see Appendix C for additional side scan data). Resources found within the Main Channel included scattered low- and high-relief reef, with its characteristic biota, but largely comprised softbottom and rock/rubble habitat. The areas of scattered rock/rubble within the channel do exhibit some sponge and coral growth, although, this habitat is not of the same quality as areas of hardbottom outside of the channel. The channel hardbottom is rock/rubble exposed from prior dredging events, and was colonized after previous dredging activities.

3.2.1 Dominant Biota of Hardbottom/Reef Habitats

Live hardbottom and coral reef communities in the offshore areas of the study area are predictably speciose and have been characterized several times (see Seaman, 1985; Blair and Flynn, 1989; and USACE 1989). The dominant feature of the reefs and hardgrounds (low- and high-relief habitats) off Miami-Dade County is the high density and diversity of gorgonian corals (USACE, 1989 and 1996a). Observed gorgonians during this survey were primarily of the genera *Eunicea* (e.g., *E. palmeri*), *Plexaura* (e.g., *P. homomalla*), and *Pseudopterogorgia*. Other observed genera included *Gorgonia*, *Plexaurella* (possibly *P. dichotoma*), and *Pterogorgia* (possibly *P. citrina* and *P. anceps*), and possibly *Pseudoplexaura*. Hard coral species also make up a significant part of the reef assemblages in this area. They include *Porites asteroides*, *Diploria clivosa*, *Siderastrea siderea*, and *Montastrea cavernosa* (Blair and Flynn, 1989). All four of these dominant species, and a fifth, *Montastrea annularis*, were observed during the 2000 survey. Sponges observed within the project area's hardgrounds and reefs during the survey included *Ircinia campana*, *Callyspongia vaginalis*, *Cliona* sp., *Iotrochota* sp. (possibly *I. birotulata*), *Geodia* spp. (possibly *G. gibberosa* and *G. neptuni*) and possibly *Amphimedon compressa*. The biota of the three outer reef tracts are consistent with the overall assemblage of stony corals, sponges, and gorgonians found offshore of Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties (USACE 2000). (Photographs of reef transects are shown in Appendix D.) Colonizing taxa such as sponges and

certain gorgonians were more prevalent in the channel's hardbottom areas than were hard corals. Observed algal species in both channel and offshore areas included *Caulerpa* spp., *Laurencia* spp., *Cladophora* spp., and *Halimeda* spp. Flynn, et al. (1991) noted the additional presence of *Dictyota* spp. and *Jania* spp. in the area.

Figure 5 Hardbottom and Reef Habitat Distribution



3.2.2 Fishes Associated with Hardbottom/Reef Habitats

A total of 28 species of fish were observed on the offshore reef sites. A summary of the species observed is shown in Table 4. The most abundant species encountered were cocoa damselfish (*Pomacentrus variabilis*), bicolor damselfish (*Pomacentrus partitus*), barjack (*Caranx ruber*), and bluehead wrasse (*Thalassoma bifasciatum*). Many other fishes were commonly or occasionally encountered within the study area. These included members of the families Chaetodontidae (butterflyfishes), Acanthuridae (surgeonfishes), Scaridae (parrotfishes), Labridae (wrasses), Haemulidae (grunts), Lutjanidae (snappers), and Pomacanthidae (angelfishes). Other species encountered in lesser numbers included hogfish (*Lachnolaimus maximus*), rock hind (*Epinephelus adscensionis*), and Spanish hogfish (*Bodianus rufus*). These results are similar to fish species observed by Bohnsack et al. (1992; 1999).

3.3 Unvegetated Softbottom Communities

Off of Miami-Dade County, softbottom habitats fall between the second and third reef lines within the study area and hence may provide a corridor for reef species to travel between reef lines and also be an important foraging area for some fish species (Jones, et al., 1991). Other unvegetated softbottom habitats are located between scattered reef patches and rock/rubble habitats both within and adjacent to the channel and between seagrass beds that occur outside the channel.

During the summer months, the most abundant algal species on unvegetated sand substrates in the project area belong to the green algae genera *Caulerpa*, *Halimeda*, and *Codium* (USACE, 1989 and 1996b). The former two taxa were observed during summer 2000 surveys. In winter months, brown algae (*Dictyota* spp. and *Sargassum* spp.) dominate (USACE, 1989 and 1996b). In addition, several species of sponges (e.g., *I. campana*, *C. vaginalis*, and *Iotrochota* sp.) and gorgonians (e.g., *Eunicia* spp. and *Gorgonia* sp.) were observed during transects through softbottom habitats. Individual colonies of algae, soft corals, and sponges that occasionally occur in these areas where little structure is available may serve to provide temporary refugia for small, motile species. Invertebrate fauna utilizing softbottom areas include the Florida fighting conch (*Strombus alatus*), milk conch (*Strombus costatus*), king helmet (*Cassia tuberosa*), and the queen helmet (*Cassia madagascariensis*) (USACE, 1996b).

The most ubiquitous infauna of inshore softbottom communities include polychaete and sipunculan worms, oligochaetes, platyhelminthes, nemerteans, mollusks, and peracarid crustaceans. Compared to shallow sand flats, seagrass communities, and areas adjacent to reef tracts, the deeper, dredged areas of the channel and harbor likely supports a less diverse infaunal species assemblage.

Table 4 Relative Abundance of Fish Species Observed During Visual Survey Miami Harbor, Florida

Common Name	Scientific Name	South Transects	North Transects
Bluehead Wrasse	<i>Thalassoma bifasciatum</i>	A	C
Slippery Dick	<i>Halichoeres bivittatus</i>	C	C
Cocoa Damselfish	<i>Pomacentrus variabilis</i>	A	A
Beaugregory	<i>Pomacentrus partitus</i>	A	A
Bar Jack	<i>Caranx ruber</i>	A	--
Princess parrotfish	<i>Scarus guacamaia</i>	O	O
Rainbow parrotfish	<i>Scarus guacamaia</i>	O	O
Stoplight parrotfish	<i>Sparisoma viride</i>	O	O
Ocean surgeon	<i>Acanthurus bahianus</i>	-	C
French Angelfish	<i>Pomacanthus paru</i>	O	O
Grey Angelfish	<i>Pomacanthus arcuatus</i>	O	-O
Townsend Angelfish	<i>Holocanthus sp.</i>	R	-
Rock Beauty	<i>Holocanthus tricolor</i>	-	C
Reef Butterflyfish	<i>Chaetodon sedentarius</i>	C	C
Foureye Butterflyfish	<i>Chaetodon capistratus</i>	C	C
Porkfish	<i>Anisotremus virginicus</i>	C	C
Pigfish	<i>Orthopristis chysoptera</i>	C	C
Tomtate	<i>Haemulon aurolineatum</i>	C	C
Gray Snapper	<i>Lutjanus griseus</i>	O	C
Hogfish	<i>Lachnolaimus maximus</i>	O	O
Bluestripe Grunt	<i>Haemulon sciurus</i>	-	C
Yellowtail Snapper	<i>Ocyurus chysurus</i>	C	C
Redlip Blenny	<i>Opioblennius atlanticus</i>	O	O
Seaweed Blenny	<i>Parablennius marmoreus</i>	O	O
Spotted Scorpionfish	<i>Scorpaena plumieri</i>	O	O
Pearly Razorfish	<i>Hemipteronotus novacula</i>	-	O
Spanish Hogfish	<i>Bodianus rufus</i>	-	R

3.4 Rock/Rubble Communities

Within the project area there are both naturally occurring rock outcrops and rubble material that has been left from prior dredging events. The most obvious biological features of most of the rock/rubble-based habitats are resident sponges and macroalgae, whereas the remainder of the rock/rubble habitats serves as raw material for reef-building species. The latter case was apparent in the channel zone adjacent to the existing reef tracts. Observed sponge species included *Ircinia campana*, *Callyspongia vaginalis*, and *Iotrochota* sp. (possibly *I. birotulata*). Observed soft corals were similar to those of adjacent reefs, and included the genera *Eunicea Plexaura* and *Pseudopterogorgia*. Habitats provided by rock and rubble and associated sponges, algae, and soft corals provide significant refugia many species of juvenile fish species.

3.5 Essential Fish Habitat (EFH)

The SAFMC (1998a, 1998b) has designated that mangrove, seagrass, nearshore hardbottom, and offshore reef areas within the study area as EFH (Table 5). The nearshore bottom and offshore reef habitats of southeastern Florida have also been designated as EFH-HAPC (SAFMC 1998a, 1998b). Managed species that commonly inhabit the study area include penaid shrimp and spiny lobster (*Panulirus argus*). These shellfish utilize both the inshore and offshore habitats within the study area. Life stage utilization of these and other managed species are shown in Tables 6, 7, and 8.

Members of the 73 species Snapper-Grouper Complex that commonly use the inshore habitats for part of their life cycle include blue stripe grunts (*Haemulon sciurus*), French grunts (*Haemulon flavolineatum*), mahogany snapper (*Lutjanus mahogoni*), yellowtail snapper (*Ocyurus chysurus*), and Nassau grouper (*Epinephelus striatus*). These species utilize the inshore habitats as juveniles and sub-adults. As adults, they utilize the hardbottom and reef communities offshore Table 6. In the offshore habitats, the number of species within the Snapper-Grouper Complex that may be encountered increases. Other species of the Snapper-Grouper Complex commonly seen offshore in the study area include gray triggerfish (*Balistes capricus*) and hogfish (*Lachnolaimus maximus*). Coastal migratory pelagic species also commonly utilize the offshore area adjacent to the study area. In particular, the king mackerel (*Scomberomorus cavalla*), and the Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus maculatus*) are the most common. As many as 60 corals can occur off the coast of Florida and all of these fall under the protection of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Plan (SAFMC 1998a).

Table 5 Essential Fish Habitat Areas in South Florida

Estuarine Areas (Fisher Island, Main Channel, Inner Entrance Channel)	Seagrass
	Estuarine Water Column
Marine Areas (Outer Entrance Channel, Nearshore and Offshore areas)	Live/Hard Bottom
	Coral and Coral Reef
	Artificial Reefs
	Water Column

Source: South Atlantic Fisheries Management Council, 1998

Table 8 Reproductive Requirements of Selected EFH Species

**DRAFT
1/30/98**

Species	Reproduction																																																			
	Fertilization/development		Mating type		Spawning strategy			Parent care	Domain	Temporal Schedule												Periodicity		Fecundity				Maturation age																								
	External	Internal	Oviparous	Ovoviviparous	Viviparous	Monogamous	Polygamous	Broadcast spawner	Andromous	Catadromous	Iteroparous	Semelparous	Batch	Protected	Non-protected	Rivertine	Estuarine	Marine	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Annual spawning	2 or more per year	2 or more years	Undescribed	<100	100-1,000	1,000-10,000	10,000-100,000	100,000-1 million	1-10 million	>10 million	Undescribed	<6 months	0.5 to 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	3 to 5 Years	> 5 Years	Undescribed				
Brown shrimp <i>Penaeus aztecus</i>	■		■			■				■			■				■		■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■					■					■										
Pink shrimp <i>Penaeus duorarum</i>	■		■			■				■			■				■				■	■	■	■							■																					
White shrimp <i>Penaeus setiferus</i>	■		■			■				■			■			■	■				■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■			■																					
Cobia <i>Rachycentron canadum</i>	■		■			■				■		■	■	■								■	■	■	■	■				■																						
Gray snapper <i>Lutjanus griseus</i>	■		■			■	■			■		■	■	■			■						■	■	■	■	■			■																						
Red drum <i>Sciaenops ocellatus</i>	■		■			■	■			■		■	■	■		■	■	■	■						■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■																		
Spanish mackerel <i>Scomberomorus maculatus</i>	■		■			■	■			■		■	■	■			■				■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■		■																						

Source: NOAA Biogeography Program [Http://biogeography.noaa.gov/](http://biogeography.noaa.gov/)

3.6 Threatened and Endangered Species

3.6.1 Johnson's Seagrass


Johnson's Seagrass (*Halophila johnsonii*) was listed as a threatened species by NMFS on September 14, 1998 (63 FR 49035) and a re-proposal to designate critical habitat pursuant to Section 4 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) was published on December 2, 1998 (64 FR 64231). The final rule for critical habitat designation for *H. johnsonii* was published April 5, 2000 (Federal Register, Vol. 65, No. 66). *H. johnsonii* has one of the most limited geographic ranges of all seagrass species. It is only known to occur between Sebastian Inlet and northern Biscayne Bay on the east coast of Florida (Kenworthy 1997). No *H. johnsonii* was observed within the study area.

3.6.2 West Indian Manatees

The West Indian manatee (*Trichechus manatus*) has been listed as a protected mammal in Florida since 1893. Federal law under the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 and the Endangered Species Act as amended in 1973 protects manatees. Florida provided further protection in 1978 by passing the Florida Marine Sanctuary Act designating the state as a manatee sanctuary and providing signage and speed zones in Florida's waterways.

Within Miami-Dade County there exist both permanent and transient populations of manatees. Surveys show that during the winter months when temperatures drop, manatees from north Florida and also Miami-Dade County will migrate to the Florida Power and Light (FPL) power plant at Port Everglades (USGS 2000). During the summer months when the water warms, manatees return to the counties to the north and south to forage and reproduce. Telemetry and aerial surveys (Figure 6) confirm manatees are present within Miami-Dade County all year (Miami-Dade County 1999a, USGS 2000).

Figure 6 Threatened and Endangered Species Occurrence Map



3.6.3 Sea Turtles

Miami-Dade County is within the normal nesting range of three species of sea turtles: the loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*), the green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), and the leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*). The green sea turtle and leatherback turtle are both listed under the U. S. Endangered Species Act, 1973 and Chapter 370, F.S. The loggerhead turtle is listed as a threatened species. Within the 21 miles of beach within the Miami-Dade County line a total of 319 sea turtle nests were found in 1999 (Miami-Dade County 1999b). From 1980 through 2000, an average of 183 sea turtle nests were discovered on Miami-Dade County beaches. On Fishers Island a total of 24 sea turtle nests were observed during 2000. A summary of sea turtle nesting activity for Miami-Dade County is found in Table 9. The majority of sea turtle nesting activity occurred during the summer months of June, July and August, with nesting activity occurring as early as March and as late as September (Miami-Dade County 2000). The waters offshore of Miami-Dade County are also used for foraging and shelter for the three species listed above and possibly the hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) and the Kemp's ridley turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*).

4.0 PROJECT IMPACTS

Direct impacts to seagrass, hardbottom, and reef communities from the various proposed preliminary modifications are numerically described in Table 10. One of the purposes of this document was to provide the Corps with the baseline environmental information to assist in formulating reasonable alternatives for the project. Therefore, it should be noted that the proposed modifications are preliminary in design and could change during the planning process, thereby reducing impacts to the natural resources described in this report.

Table 9 Summary of Sea Turtle Nesting for Dade County Florida, 1980-2000

Year	Number Nests	Number Hatchlings Released
1980	10	800
1981	31	2800
1982	66	6505
1983	69	6772
1984	69	6678
1985	75	7200
1986	123	14991
1987	129	10966
1988	105	10682
1989	164	13609
1990	185	16941
1991	166	14294
1992	163	15835
1993	267	20751
1994	288	25359
1995	369	27771
1996	290	23726
1997	258	18809
1998	333	22470
1999	319	26580
2000	193	-

Table 10 Direct Impact Acreages by Habitat Type

	Footprint Area (Acres)	Seagrass (Acres)	Unvegetated Bottom (Acres)	Rock Rubble w/ Algal Sponge Community (Acres)	Rock/Rubble w/ Live Bottom (Acres)	Low-Relief Hardbottom (Acres)	High Relief Reef (Acres)
Alternative 1	227.8	0	70.1	41.3	51.7	35.1	21.1
Alternative 2	5.6	0	1.7	3.9	0	0	0
Alternative 3	15.5	0.7	9.4	5.4	0	0	0
Alternative 4	56.3	0	30.1	26.2	0	0	0
Alternative 5	228.9	1.7	166.8	59.4	0	0	0
Alternative 6	78.2	22.8	55.4	0	0	0	0

7.0 REFERENCES

- Blair, S., and B. Flynn. 1989. Biological monitoring of hardbottom communities off Dade County Florida: community description. *In* Diving for Science. 1989. Proceedings of the American Academy of Underwater Science, Ninth Annual Scientific Diving Symposium (Eds. Lang and Jaap). Costa Mesa, California.
- Bohnsack, J.A., D. E. Harper, D.B. McClellan, M.W. Hulsbeck, T.N. Rutledge, M.H. Pickett, and A. Eklund. 1992. Quantitative visual assessment of fish community structure in Biscayne National Park. NOAA NMFS-SEFSC. 45 pp.
- Bohnsack, J.A., D.B. McClellan, D.E. Harper, G.S. Davenport, G.J. Konoval, A.M. Eklund, J. P. Contillo, S.K Bolden, P.C. Fishcel, G. S. Sandorf, J.C. Javech, M.W. White, M.H. Pickett, M.W. Hulsbeck, J.L Tobias, J.S. Ault, G..A. Meester, S.G. Smith, and J. A. Luo. 1999. Baseline data for evaluating reef fish populations in the Florida Keys, 1979-1998. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-427. 61 pp.
- Braun-Blanquet, J. 1965. Plant sociology: the study of plant communities. Hafner Publications, London. 439 pp.
- Continental Shelf Associates (CSA). 1993. Coast of Florida erosion and storm effects study, Region III: mapping and classification of hard bottom areas in coastal waters off Palm Beach, Broward, and Dade Counties. Final report for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District. Jacksonville, Florida. (Three individual reports, 30 pp. each.)
- Duane, D.B., and E.P. Meisburger. 1969. Geomorphology and sediments of the nearshore continental shelf, Miami to Palm Beach, Florida. USACOE Coastal Engineering Center Technical Memorandum No. 29. 47 pp.
- Flynn, B.S., S.M. Blair, and S.M. Markley. 1991. Environmental monitoring of the Key Biscayne Beach Restoration Project. In: *Preserving and Enhancing Our Beach Environment*. Proceedings of the 1991 Beach Preservation Conference, Charleston, South Carolina. Tallahassee, Florida.
- Fonseca, M.S., J.W. Kenworthy, and G.W. Thayer. 1998. Guidelines for the conservation and restoration of seagrasses in the United States and adjacent waters. NOAA Coastal Ocean Program Decision Analysis Series, No. 12. NOAA Coastal Ocean Office. Silver Spring, Maryland.
- Hoffmeister, J.E., K.W. Stockman, and H.G. Multer. 1967. Miami limestone of Florida and its recent Bahamian counterpart. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*. 78:175-190.

- Jones, G.P., D.J. Ferrell, and P.F. Sale. 1991. Fish predation and its impacts on the invertebrates of coral reefs and adjacent sediments. *In* The Ecology of Fishes on Coral Reefs. Academic Press Inc. 754pp.
- Kenworthy, W.J. 1997. An updated status review and summary of the proceedings of a workshop to review the biological status of the seagrass *Halophila johnsonii* Eisemon. Report to Office of Protected Species, NMFS, NOAA. 23pp.
- Miami-Dade County. 1999a. Aerial Manatee Sightings 1990-1999. Department of Environmental Resources Management. Miami, Florida.
- Miami-Dade County. 1999b. Sea Turtle Nesting Data 1999. Park & Recreation Department. Miami, Florida.
- Miami-Dade County. 2000. Sea Turtle Nesting Data 2000. Park & Recreation Department. Miami, Florida.
- Seaman, W., Jr. Ed. 1985. Florida aquatic habitat and fishery resources. Florida Chapter of American Fisheries Society. 542 pp.
- South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC). 1998a. Final comprehensive amendment addressing essential fish habitat in fishery management plans of the South Atlantic Region. Charleston, SC. 142 pp.
- South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC). 1998b. Final habitat plan for the South Atlantic Region: essential fish habitat requirements for fishery management plans of the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, SC. 408 pp.
- U.S Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1989. Navigation Study for Miami Harbor Channel, FL. Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement – 10140.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1996a. Coast of Florida Beach Erosion and Storm Effects Study, Region III, Feasibility Report with Final Environmental Impact Statement. 76 pp.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1996b. Miami Harbor Channel, Florida. 10140 General Reevaluation Report. 44 pp.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2000. Broward County, Florida Shore Protection Project General Reevaluation Report. Prepared by Coastal Planning and Engineering Inc./Olsen and Assoc. Inc.

- U.S. Geologic Survey (USGS). 2000. Sirenia Project, Florida Caribbean Science Center. Gainesville, Florida.
- Virnstein, R.W. and L.J. Morris. 1996. Seagrass Preservation and Restoration: A Diagnostic Plan for the Indian River Lagoon. Tech. Mem. #14. St. Johns River Water Management District, Palatka, FL. 43pp.
- Zieman, J.C. 1982. The Ecology of Seagrasses of South Florida: A Community Profile. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, Office of Biological Services, Washington, D.C. FWS/OBS-82/25. 158pp.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Persons Consulted and Correspondence

Appendix A List of Persons Consulted and Pertinent Correspondence

Name	Affiliation	Information
Susan Markley, Ph.D.	Miami-Dade County Environmental Resource Management	Manatee Data
Craig K Grossenbacher	Miami-Dade County Environmental Resource Management	Project History
Steven M. Blair	Miami-Dade County Environmental Resource Management	Hardbottom Maps
Bill Ahern	Haulover Park	Turtle Monitoring Data
Kelly Schratwieser	FDEP	Dade County Manatee Protection Plan
Ricardo Zambrano	FFWCC	Critical Wildlife Area Bird Species List
Mike Johnson	National Marine Fisheries Service	Seagrass information
Carol Knox	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission	Manatee Data

Correspondence Available at Jacksonville District ACOE

APPENDIX B

Seagrass Survey Data

Seagrass Survey Data

Alt 5									
Transect	Total Quadrats	Occupied Quadrats	Sub Units	Occupied Sub Units	Sum Cover Score	Species	Frequency	Abundance	Density
F11	5	2	80	8	1.5	HD	0.1000	0.7500	0.3000
F12	5	1	80	4	1	HD	0.0500	1.0000	0.2000
F12	5	1	80	14	3	HW	0.1750	3.0000	0.6000
F13	4	1	64	4	1	HW	0.0625	1.0000	0.2500
F15	5	3	80	42	13	HW	0.5250	4.3333	2.6000
F5	5	3	80	48	9	SF	0.6000	3.0000	1.8000
F6	4	2	64	32	7	SF	0.5000	3.5000	1.7500
F7	4	2	64	19	6	SF	0.2969	3.0000	1.5000
F8	6	4	96	52	15	SF	0.5417	3.7500	2.5000
F9	5	4	80	42	10.1	SF	0.5250	2.5250	2.0200
F10	4	1	64	16	3	SF	0.2500	3.0000	0.7500
F12	5	1	80	4	0.5	SF	0.0500	0.5000	0.1000
F14	5	2	80	27	7	SF	0.3375	3.5000	1.4000
F16	4	2	64	32	7	SF	0.5000	3.5000	1.7500
F5	5	1	80	8	4	TT	0.1000	4.0000	0.8000
F6	4	1	64	16	3	TT	0.2500	3.0000	0.7500
F7	4	1	64	16	2	TT	0.2500	2.0000	0.5000
F8	6	1	96	16	2	TT	0.1667	2.0000	0.3333
F9	5	2	80	32	7	TT	0.4000	3.5000	1.4000
F10	4	3	64	32	8	TT	0.5000	2.6667	2.0000
F12	5	2	80	20	3	TT	0.2500	1.5000	0.6000
F13	4	1	64	4	1	TT	0.0625	1.0000	0.2500
F15	5	2	80	24	6	TT	0.3000	3.0000	1.2000
F16	4	2	64	4	2.5	TT	0.0625	1.2500	0.6250
F4									
F17									
ALT 6									
Transect	Total Quadrats	Occupied Quadrats	Sub Units	Occupied Sub Units	Sum Cover Score	Species	Frequency	Abundance	Density
B1	6	1	96	16	4	HD	0.1667	4.0000	0.6667
B3	10	5	160	46	14	HD	0.2875	2.8000	1.4000
B4	9	5	144	50	12.5	HD	0.3472	2.5000	1.3889
B5	7	5	112	43	10.5	HD	0.3839	2.1000	1.5000
B1	6	1	96	16	4	HW	0.1667	4.0000	0.6667
B2	5	1	80	16	5	HW	0.2000	5.0000	1.0000
B3	10	2	160	32	8	HW	0.2000	4.0000	0.8000
B4	9	4	144	31	8.5	HW	0.2153	2.1250	0.9444

B5	7	1	112	2	0.5	HW	0.0179	0.5000	0.0714
B7	7	1	112	15	4	HW	0.1339	4.0000	0.5714
B3	10	1	160	1	0.1	SF	0.0063	0.1000	0.0100
B7	7	2	112	32	10	SF	0.2857	5.0000	1.4286
B2	5	2	80	24	6	TT	0.3000	3.0000	1.2000
B4	9	1	144	12	3	TT	0.0833	3.0000	0.3333
B6									
B8									
B9									
B10									
ALT 3									
Transect	Total Quadrats	Occupied Quadrats	Sub Units	Occupied Sub Units	Sum Cover Score	Species	Frequency	Abundance	Density
MB3	11	1	176	12	3	HD	0.0682	3.0000	0.2727
MB1	9	6	144	56	21	HW	0.3889	3.5000	2.3333
MB3	11	2	176	10	3.1	HW	0.0568	1.5500	0.2818
MB2									
MB4									
ALT 5/6									
Transect	Total Quadrats	Occupied Quadrats	Sub Units	Occupied Sub Units	Sum Cover Score	Species	Frequency	Abundance	Density
1A	11	4	176	48	6.5	HW	0.2727	1.6250	0.5909
2A									
3A									
4A*	7	2	112	31	4	HW	0.2768	2.0000	0.5714
Manatee zone									
Transect	Total Quadrats	Occupied Quadrats	Sub Units	Occupied Sub Units	Sum Cover Score	Species	Frequency	Abundance	Density
6A	8	1	128	4	0.5	HW	0.0313	0.5000	0.0625
7A	7	2	112	28	6	HW	0.2500	3.0000	0.8571
8A	9	3	144	15	2	HW	0.1042	0.6667	0.2222
6A	8	4	128	40	8.5	SF	0.3125	2.1250	1.0625
7A	7	6	112	92	23	SF	0.8214	3.8333	3.2857
8A	9	5	144	76	15	SF	0.5278	3.0000	1.6667
6A	8	2	128	22	6	TT	0.1719	3.0000	0.7500
7A	7	2	112	2	1	TT	0.0179	0.5000	0.1429
8A	9	3	144	38	8.5	TT	0.2639	2.8333	0.9444

APPENDIX C

Side Scan Survey Report

Side-Scan Report Available at Jacksonville District ACOE

APPENDIX D

Transect Photographs




Photo 1: Star mountain coral along mid reef transect north of entrance channel.



Photo 2: Coral and algae representative of mid reef north of channel.



Photo 3: Gorgonian and sponge assemblage along mid reef tract north of entrance channel.



Photo 4: Hardbottom and octocorals along transect.



Photo 1: Coral and sponge growth representative of outer reef area.



Photo 2: Rope sponge, boring sponge and gorgonians on outer reef.



Photo 3: Representative coral, sponge and octocorals along outer reef transect.



Photo 4: Sponge and algae community along transect, outer reef.



Photo 1: Beginning of transect showing hard coral and sponge growth.



Photo 2: Transect line with representative octocoral and algae community.



Photo 3: Hardbottom area along mid reef transect.



Photo 4: Hard coral growth representative of mid reef transect.