Exhibit 15

Department of the Army
Regional General Permit (RGP) SAJ-105
and
Florida Department of Environmental Protection
West Bay Ecosystem Management Agreement (EMA)

Checklist for Activities Requiring Conservation Unit Project Approval within Type I and Type II Conservation Units

This checklist is to be completed in addition to the Individual Project Approval (IPA) Checklist for projects located within Conservation Units associated with RGP SAJ-105 and the EMA. This checklist applies to the activities listed in Special Conditions 12.d (4), (6), (8), (10), and 12.e. Check the appropriate boxes to determine whether the proposed project complies with Conservation Unit allowable uses. In order for the proposed project to qualify for Conservation Unit Project Approval under RGP SAJ-105 and under the EMA, all applicable responses must be marked "Yes."

	Yes	**No**	**N/A**	**Questions 1 through 16 are applicable to projects proposed within Type I or Type II Conservation Units for activities listed in Special Conditions 12.d (4), (6), (8), and (10):**
1.				If the proposed project is a passive recreational facility, is the proposed project identical to or of similar nature to one of the following: Hiking and biking trails, boardwalks, gathering shelters, restrooms, camping platforms, and horseback trails and hitching areas?
2.				If the proposed project a passive recreational facility, is the proposed passive recreational facility located in uplands with the exception of minimized trails and boardwalks crossing wetlands?
3.				Is the proposed project limited to and consistent with the preservation objectives for Conservation Units under RGP SAJ-105, and is it anticipated to result in no more than minimal adverse impacts to the Conservation Unit?
4.				If the proposed project is a *Conservation Burial Ground*, has the proposed project been certified by the Green Burial Council as a *Conservation Burial Ground* and would the project aid in the restoration, acquisition and/or stewardship of the Conservation Unit?

5.				If the proposed project is a linear utility or infrastructure facility, does the project consist of one or more of the following linear utility or infrastructure facility types: Electric transmission and/or distribution lines; water transmission and/or distribution lines; sewer transmission, collection, and/or distribution lines; natural gas transmission and/or distribution lines; data and/or telecommunications transmission and/or distribution lines (phone, cable, fiber optics, internet); or stormwater conveyances, but not stormwater ponds?
6.				If the proposed linear utility or infrastructure project includes facilities ancillary to the linear utility or infrastructure facility types listed in Question 5, are the ancillary facilities part of or do they support the linear utility and infrastructure facility?
7.				If the proposed linear utility or infrastructure facility project includes work in wetlands, is the project co-located with road crossings where practicable?
8.				If the proposed linear utility or infrastructure facility project includes work in wetlands, will the project be installed by directional bore methodology where practicable?
9.				If the proposed linear utility or infrastructure facility project includes work in wetlands, does the project meet the linear infrastructure criteria found in Special Condition 5.c. of the RGP?
10.				If the proposed project is a Nature Center, has a Leadership in Energy and Environmental Designed (LEED) certification of silver or higher been obtained and demonstrated?
11.				If the proposed project is a Nature Center with a single access road, does the access road comply with the criteria found in Special Conditions 5.c. and 12.e(1) of the RGP?
12.				Has the Land Disturbance acreage associated with the project been defined and calculated in accordance with the criteria in Special Conditions 12.c. and 12.g. and has the proposed Land Disturbance acreage been demonstrated not to exceed the cumulative Conservation Unit Land Disturbance cap of 183 acres?

13.				Has Land Disturbance acreage associated with the project proposed within converted wetlands been offset by an equal acreage amount consisting of preserved converted wetlands outside of the Conservation Unit but located within the same sub-watershed?
14.				Has Land Disturbance acreage associated with the project proposed within converted wetlands met the applicable provisions in Special Condition 5?
15.				Has Land Disturbance acreage associated with the project proposed within uplands been offset by an equal acreage amount consisting of preserved upland buffers outside of the Conservation Unit but located within the same sub-watershed?
16.				Has Land Disturbance acreage associated with the project proposed within unconverted wetlands been demonstrated to meet the provisions of Special Condition 5.c.?
	Yes	**No**	**N/A**	**Questions 17 through 25 are applicable to projects proposed within Type II Conservation Units for activities listed in Special Condition 12.e:**
17.				If the proposed project is a road or bridge wetland crossing, has the crossing been designed to not reduce or impair hydrologic conveyance?
18.				If the proposed project is a road or bridge wetland crossing, has bridging been utilized where practicable utilizing the following criteria for determining practicability: The degree of water flow within the wetland; the length of the wetland crossing; the topography of the wetland and associated upland; and the degree to which a roadway would adversely affect the movement of wildlife expected to use the wetland?
19.				If the proposed project is a road or bridge wetland crossing, has the crossing been designed to minimize wetland and upland impacts and does it meet the criteria found in Special Condition 5.c. of the RGP?

20.				If the proposed project is a recreational facility, does it consist of one of the following: Boat ramps, fishing piers, parks, picnic areas and pavilions, playgrounds/tot lots, and nature facilities but not include any sports or ball fields such as baseball fields, soccer fields, tennis courts, basketball courts, or golf courses?
21.				If the proposed project is a recreational facility with an associated parking facility, will pervious surface be utilized for the parking facility or has the use of pervious surface been adequately demonstrated as impracticable?
22.				If the proposed project is a recreational facility, is it located in uplands with the exception of minimized boat ramps, fishing piers, and access roads that cross wetlands?
23.				If the proposed project is a recreational facility, does the project utilize existing access roads to the maximum extent practicable?
24.				If the proposed project is a recreational facility and no existing access roads have been found to be practicable for use, does the proposed access road comply with Special Condition 5.c. and Special Condition 12.e(1) of the RGP?
25.				If the proposed project is a road crossing in the “Hydrologically Sensitive Area” of the Crooked Creek/West Bay Conservation Unit, does the proposed road crossing comply with Special Condition 12.f. of the RGP?