### JACKSONVILLE HARBOR DEEPENING STUDY CIRCULATION AND SALINITY MODELING

Presented by:


Michael Kabiling, Ph.D., P.E., C.F.M. Senior Engineer, Taylor Engineering, Inc.

October 22, 2012

### BUILDING STRONG®

# **Study Area and Project Area**

- Lower (northern) 124 mi of the St. Johns River
- From mouth near Mayport to upstream of Astor
- Project area extends to the first 14 miles of the St. Johns River or from river mouth to the cruise terminal






U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS | Jacksonville District



## EFDC Hydrodynamic & Salinity Model Mesh






### **BUILDING STRONG®**

### **USACE EFDC Model Mesh**

- model domain comprises
   4,824 curvilinear horizontal water cells
- six equally divided layers in the vertical direction
- model variables are
  - water surface elevation
  - velocity
  - salinity



#### **BUILDING STRONG®**


## **Model Boundary Conditions**


6

- Ocean water level
- Lateral inflows
- Rainfall and Evaporation
  - Jax Int'l Airport, Jax Beach, Federal Point, Crescent City, and Deland

### Wind

- Jax Int'l Airport, Hastings, Gainesville Reg. Airport, Daytona Beach Int'l Airport, and Umatilla
- Salinity






#### **BUILDING STRONG®**


# **EFDC Model Lateral Inflows Conditions**

- 146 point source discharges
  - surface tributaries
  - springs
  - wastewater treatment outfalls
- Gauged inflows for model validation
- SJRWMD HSPF Model flows
  - ungauged inflows (validation)
  - production runs
  - ► 1995 landuse
- small wastewater treatment outfalls, GW inflows — as annual average values



### **BUILDING STRONG®**


# Hydrologic Conditions Based on USGS Gage Discharges



8

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS | Jacksonville District

### **Model Validation Stations**




**BUILDING STRONG®** 

# **Model Re-Calibration (Water Level)**

Statistics for Dry Period (12/1/1998 – 4/1/1999)

Station Parameters	Bar Pilot Dock	Long Branch	Main St. Bridge	Buckman Bridge	Shands Bridge
Correlation Coefficient, R	0.992	0.988	0.986	0.976	0.974
Root Mean Square Error, RMSE (ft)	0.285	0.154	0.148	0.118	0.108

- Correlation Coefficient ability to predict trend (~ 1.0 for perfect model)
- RMSE indication of model accuracy (~0.0 for perfect model)




**BUILDING STRONG®** 

# **Model Re-Verification (Water Level)**


Statistics for Dry Period (4/1/2001 – 8/1/2001)

Station Parameters	Bar Pilot Dock	Long Branch	Main St. Bridge	Buckman Bridge	Shands Bridge
Correlation Coefficient, R	0.993	n/a	0.986	0.978	0.977
Root Mean Square Error, RMSE (ft)	0.279	n/a	0.144	0.092	0.092



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS | Jacksonville District

# Model Re-Calibration (Salinity)




Correlation Coefficient — ability to predict trend (~ 1.0 for perfect model)

RMSE — indication of model accuracy (~0.0 for perfect model)

### **BUILDING STRONG®**

### Model Re-Calibration (Salinity)




**Dry Period** 

#### US. ARWAY CORPS OF ENGINEERS || Jacksonville District

# Model Re-Verification (Salinity)


Stations	<b>Wet Per</b> (8/1/2001 – 12		<b>Dry Period</b> (4/1/2001 – 8/1/2001)		
	Correlation Coefficient	RMSE (ppt)	Correlation Coefficient	RMSE (ppt)	
Dames Point (Surface)	0.893	5.963	0.788	2.376	
Dames Point (Bottom)	0.819	6.189	0.744	2.640	
Acosta Bridge (Surface)	0.899	2.665	0.909	2.008	
Acosta Bridge (Bottom)	0.907	0.904	0.940	1.866	
Buckman Bridge (Surface)	0.490	0.904	0.925	0.951	
Buckman Bridge (Bottom)	0.483	0.978	0.870	1.784	



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS | Jacksonville District

### **Model Re-Verification (Salinity)**

### **Dry Period**



### **Model Validation**


16

- EFDC model is validated with water level and salinity data collected in1995 to 2005 for wet period, dry period, and wind condition.
- Model is suitable for predicting hydrodynamic and salinity changes in the Lower
   St. Johns River from the potential channel deepening projects.



## **USACE Baseline Depths**


- 2009 & 2010 river & channel surveys
- Mayport and Mile Point projects




#### **BUILDING STRONG®**

# EFDC Model Applications (1996 – 2001)

- SJRWMD Baseline
- USACE Baseline at 40 ft
  - Compare with 44-, 46-, and 50-ft dredge
  - Compare with USACE
     recommended depth
- USACE Baseline at 40 ft with SLC1 (0.39 ft) and 155 MGD Water Withdrawal
  - Compare with 44-, 46-, and 50-ft dredge
    Compare with USACE recommended depth







#### **BUILDING STRONG®**

### **EFDC Results (Animations)**

## USACE Baseline at 40 ft

- Velocity (<u>Plan</u>)
- Salinity (<u>Plan</u> and <u>Profile</u>)





#### **BUILDING STRONG®**

## **50-ft Channel Dredge Impact**


### Acosta Bridge Salinity

- Project at 50 ft (Deepening Only)
   mean salinity increases by 0.8 ppt
- Project at 50 ft (with SLC1 &WW)
   mean salinity increases by 1.4 ppt


### Main Street Bridge WL

- Project at 50 ft (Deepening Only)
   increases tidal range by 0.2 ft
- Project at 50 ft (with SLC1 &WW)
   mean tide level up 0.3 ft 0.4 ft


#### Main Street Br WMa(Basellines vs. Project at 50 ft)



#### Acosta Bridge Surface Salswitta Baseline vs. Project at 50 ft)




#### Acosta Bridge Bottom Salinity (Baseline vs. Project at 50 ft) Bottom



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS | Jacksonville District


### Salinity Impact (Acosta Bridge) Channel Deepening Only



21


**BUILDING STRONG®** 

### Salinity Impact (Acosta Bridge) with 0.39 ft SLR and 155 MGD Water Withdrawal



**BUILDING STRONG®** 

### Salinity Impact (Buckman Bridge) Channel Deepening Only



23

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS | Jacksonville District

### Salinity Impact (Buckman Bridge) with 0.39 ft SLR and 155 MGD Water Withdrawal

Baseline at 40 ft (Current condition) Percent of Time 30-Day Moving Average Baseline at 40 ft (Future Condition) Exceeds Given Salinity Project at 44 ft (Future Condition) (Buckman Bridge) 100% Project at 46 ft (Future Condition) Project at 50 ft (Future Condition) 90% 1% of 6 years = 22 days 80% Percent of Time 70% 60%<sup>60%</sup><sup>61%</sup> 60% 54% 49% 43%44%<sup>45%</sup> 50% 45%45%45% 41% 40% 27%<sup>29%<sup>31%31%32%</sup></sup> 30% 25%25% 21% 17% 20% 5% 7% <sup>8% 8% 9%</sup> 10% 0% >10 ppt >3 ppt >5 ppt >10 ppt >3 ppt >5 ppt Surface Bottom

24

**BUILDING STRONG®** 

### **GRR Circulation and Salinity Modeling**

**USACE** contact:

### Steven Bratos Steven.M.Bratos@usace.army.mil

25

### **GRR Circulation and Salinity Modeling**

Discussion

26

