



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20314-1000

15 APR 1992
25 Apr 92

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

CEMP-RF (200-1a)

MEMORANDUM FOR

COMMANDER, HUNTSVILLE DIVISION, ATTN: CEHND-PM-OT
COMMANDER, SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION, ATTN: CESAD-PD-R

SUBJECT: Defense Environmental Restoration Program for Formerly
Used Defense Sites (DERP-FUDS), Inventory Project Reports (INPR)
for Sites Requiring Ordnance and Explosive Waste (OEW) Site
Inspections

1. Reference memorandum, CEHND-PM-OT, 21 February 1992, SAB (enclosed).
2. I concur with Huntsville Division's recommendation detailed at the reference, for initiation of ordnance and explosive waste (OEW) projects as listed below. Accordingly, the following projects (as detailed at the respective INPR) are approved:

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Project No.</u>	<u>Project Category</u>	<u>Project Phase</u>
a. Culebra Island NWR	I02PR006802	OEW	SI*
b. Camp Greene	I04NC002101	OEW	SI
c. Camp Croft	I04SC001603	OEW	SI
d. Fort Lauderdale Hsg	I04FL024901	OEW	SI
e. Spencer Bb Tgt Site	I04FL082801	OEW	SI
f. Keystone Bb Tgt Site	I04FL089101	OEW	SI
g. Chaffee Bb Tgt Site	I04FL085601	OEW	SI
h. Switzerland Bb Tgt St	I04FL083201	OEW	SI
i. Desecheo Island	I02PR006901	OEW	SI
j. Camp Battle	I04NC070901	OEW	SI
k. Laurinburg-Maxton AAB	I04NC001903	OEW	SI

*Site Inspection

3. The projects are assigned to Huntsville Division for execution.
4. In the future, at sites where existence and location of ordnance is reasonably certain, CEHND should consider recommending a engineering evaluation/cost analysis (EE/CA) or even remedial investigation (RI) to avoid duplication of effort, and time lapses between phases. The archives search/site visit activities associated with the SI can be accomplished as the first phase of an EE/CA or RI. See paragraph 7b below.


CEMP-RF (200-1a)

SUBJECT: Defense Environmental Restoration Program for Formerly Used Defense Sites (DERP-FUDS), Inventory Project Reports (INPR) for Sites Requiring Ordnance and Explosive Waste (OEW) Site Inspections

5. Note the approved project numbers listed above are consistent with the numbers assigned by CESAD. In the future CEHND must use the project number rather than the site number when recommending an OEW project. CEHND and CESAD must insure the project numbers listed at the cost estimate sheets (within the respective INPRs) are corrected to reflect the numbers above.
6. At a majority of the above sites, CEHND must pay particular attention to the deed restrictions recorded with the transfer of the site to private ownership since current policy states "deed restrictions may absolve or limit DoD responsibility for OEW projects".
7. Within sixty days of the date of this memorandum, the following actions must be completed:
 - a. CESAD must ensure the landowner(s) are notified of the decision and provide copies of the notification letter to CEMP-RF and CEHND-PM-EP. Additionally, CESAD must ensure appropriate project information is included in FUDS project inventory database.
 - b. CEHND must ensure the projects are included in the appropriate fiscal year workplan. Additionally, CEHND must provide CEMP-RF pros and cons of recommending SI at these sites rather than more comprehensive phases such as EE\CA or RI, and propose future policy for CEMP-RF adoption.
8. POC: Mr. Jim Coppola, (202) 504-4992.

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF MILITARY PROGRAMS:

Encl


MICHAEL H. FELLOWS
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Chief, Environmental Restoration
Division
Directorate of Military Programs

CF:
CEHND-PM-EP